# **SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - - - - - - - - - - - - -NEW YORK STATE RIFLE & PISTOL ) ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., ) Petitioners, ) ) No. 20-843 v. KEVIN P. BRUEN, IN HIS OFFICIAL ) CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF ) NEW YORK STATE POLICE, ET AL., ) Respondents. ) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Pages: 1 through 123 Place: Washington, D.C. Date: November 3, 2021

# HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888 www.hrccourtreporters.com

1 S

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 1 2 3 NEW YORK STATE RIFLE & PISTOL ) ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., ) 4 5 Petitioners, ) ) No. 20-843 б v. KEVIN P. BRUEN, IN HIS OFFICIAL ) 7 CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF ) 8 9 NEW YORK STATE POLICE, ET AL., ) 10 Respondents. ) 11 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 12 13 Washington, D.C. 14 Wednesday, November 3, 2021 15 16 The above-entitled matter came on for 17 oral argument before the Supreme Court of the United States at 10:00 a.m. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES:
2	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.; on behalf
3	of the Petitioners.
4	BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD, Solicitor General, New York, New
5	York; on behalf of the Respondents.
6	BRIAN H. FLETCHER, Principal Deputy Solicitor General,
7	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for the
8	United States, as amicus curiae, supporting the
9	Respondents.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioners	4
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	57
8	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
9	BRIAN H. FLETCHER, ESQ.	
10	For the United States, as amicus	
11	curiae, supporting the Respondents	91
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
13	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioners	118
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
4	Gorsuch is participating remotely this morning.
5	We will hear argument this morning in
6	Case 20-843, New York State Rifle & Pistol
7	Association versus Bruen.
8	Mr. Clement.
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
10	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
11	MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and
12	may it please the Court:
13	The text of the Second Amendment
14	enshrines a right not just to keep arms but to
15	bear them, and the relevant history and
16	tradition, exhaustively surveyed by this Court
17	in the Heller decision, confirm that the text
18	protects an individual right to carry firearms
19	outside the home for purposes of self-defense.
20	Indeed, that history is so clear that
21	New York no longer contests that carrying a
22	handgun outside of the home for purposes of
23	self-defense is constitutionally protected
24	activity. But that concession dooms New York's
25	law, which makes it a crime for a typical

5

1 law-abiding New Yorker to exercise that 2 constitutional right. This Court in Heller labeled the very 3 few comparable laws that restricted all outlets 4 for carrying firearms outside the home for 5 6 self-defense outliers that were rightly 7 condemned in decisions like Nunn against 8 Georgia. New York likens its law to a 9 10 restriction on weapons in sensitive places. But 11 the difference between a sensitive place law and 12 New York's regime is fundamental. It is the 13 difference between regulating constitutionally 14 protected activity and attempting to convert a 15 fundamental constitutional right into a 16 privilege that can only be enjoyed by those who 17 can demonstrate to the satisfaction of a 18 government official that they have an atypical 19 need for the exercise of that right. 20 That is not how constitutional rights Carrying a firearm outside the home is a 21 work. 2.2 fundamental constitutional right. It is not 23 some extraordinary action that requires an 24 extraordinary demonstration of need. 25 Petitioners here seek nothing more

б

than their fellow citizens in 43 other states 1 2 already enjoy, and those states include some of 3 the most populous cities in the country. Those states, like New York, limit the firearms in 4 sensitive places but do not prohibit carrying 5 for self-defense in any location typically open 6 7 to the general public. I'm happy to continue by point --8 JUSTICE THOMAS: Mr. Clement, sorry to 9 interrupt you. The -- if we analyze this and 10 11 use history, tradition, the text of the Second 12 Amendment, we're going to have to do it by 13 analogy. 14 So can you give me a regulation in 15 history that is a base -- that would form a 16 basis for legitimate regulation today? If we're 17 going to do it by analogy, what would we 18 analogize it to? What would that look like? 19 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Your Honor, I 20 suppose, if you're going to reason by analogy, 21 then you could, you know, go back and you could 2.2 find analogous restrictions relatively early in 23 our nation's history about prohibiting certain 24 types of firearms or having firearms in -- or 25 any weapon, really, in certain sensitive

locations, and I think you could reason in that
 way.

3 Here, I think the reasoning works the opposite direction, which is you typically have 4 a baseline right to carry for self-defense, and 5 the only historical analogs that really 6 7 restricted the right of a typical law-abiding citizen to carry for self-defense to the same 8 degree as the New York law here were those laws, 9 very few, typically post-Reconstruction laws 10 11 that purported to eliminate any right to carry, 12 openly or concealed. And those court -- those -- those laws were essentially invalidated by 13 14 every court that was applying an individual 15 rights view of the Second Amendment.

And those decisions, of course, were exhaustively considered by this Court in Heller. And those decisions were praised for their understanding of the Second Amendment and the relationship between the prefatory clause and the operative clause.

And, equally important, the -- those laws were set forth by this Court and singled out by this Court as the very few restrictions historically that were comparable to what the

1 District of Columbia was doing in Heller. 2 JUSTICE THOMAS: So if we look at the -- you mentioned the founding and you mentioned 3 post-Reconstruction. But, if we are to analyze 4 this based upon the history or tradition, should 5 we look at the founding, or should we look at 6 7 the time of the adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment, which then, of course, applies it to 8 the states? 9 10 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Thomas, I 11 suppose, if there were a case where there was a 12 contradiction between those two, you know, and the case arose in the states, I would think 13 14 there would be a decent argument for looking at 15 the history at the time of Reconstruction as --16 you know, and -- and -- and giving preference to 17 that over the founding. 18 I think, for this case and for Heller 19 and I think for most of the cases that will 20 arise, I don't know that the original founding 21 history is going to be radically different from 2.2 that at Reconstruction. 23 But I quess what I would say is I do 24 think that's about where it stops, because the 25 point here isn't to look at history for the sake

9

1	of studying history. The point is to look at
2	the history that's relevant for understanding
3	the original public meaning of the Second
4	Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment.
5	JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Clement, how could
6	it stop there? In Heller, we made very clear
7	that laws that restricted felons from carrying
8	or possessing arms and laws that forbade
9	mentally ill people from doing the same we,
10	you know, basically put the stamp of approval on
11	those laws. And those laws really came about in
12	the 1920s, didn't they?
13	MR. CLEMENT: You know, Justice Kagan,
14	I I I think some of those laws in their
15	current form took that shape in the 1920s, but I
16	also think there was a tradition from the
17	beginning for keeping certain people outside of
18	the group of people that were eligible for
19	possession of firearms.
20	I you know, I think, obviously,
21	there is a different tradition with respect to
22	felons, in part, because, you know, you start at
23	the time of the framing, and most felonies are
24	capital crimes. So, you know, the the the
25	need to disenfranchise felons for firearm

10

1 possession was a little different at the 2 framing. So I think you do need to make those 3 kind of adjustments, but I think those adjustments can be made. 4 I think, really, there are two reasons 5 6 to at least be skeptical of post-1871 history. 7 I mean, the first is I just don't really understand why it's terribly relevant in forming 8 9 the original public meaning of the Constitution. 10 But, of course, the second reason is it's just 11 about that time that the collective rights view 12 started to creep into the decisions of some 13 state supreme courts. And I think -- so in Heller is a 14 15 perfect example that this Court didn't 16 absolutely stop its analysis in 1871, but, when 17 it looked at those later sort of postbellum 18 state supreme court decisions, the ones that 19 relied on a collective rights view were given very short shrift. And I think that's the 20 21 appropriate way to sort of deal with these historical analogs. 2.2 23 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I have two --24 two questions. One -- one is on history. I 25 mean, it's law office history. In McDonald, we

had professors of history ran departments in the
 English Civil War and they all said the history
 in Heller was wrong.

4 You've read the briefs here. I don't 5 know. You read the briefs of the historian of 6 the Air Force, and she says it's this way and 7 the other ones say it's the other way. How are 8 we supposed to deal with that?

9 There's a good case -- this is a 10 wonderful case for showing both sides. So I'm 11 not sure how to deal with the history.

12 And my other question is I'm not sure 13 what New York does. We're talking here about 14 outside New York City. New York says we have 15 about 90,000 licenses to carry concealed weapons 16 or maybe it's 40,000 or maybe it's 10,000. But 17 there's been no trial. There's been no proceeding. All it is is dismissed law in the 18 19 -- so -- so -- so how are we supposed to find 20 out, A, what the history is, which is my minor question, really -- there's a lot of debate on 21 2.2 that -- but, second, how are we supposed to know 23 what we're talking about in terms of what New 24 York does since they say they give thou --25 including to one of your clients, they give a

12

1 license to carry a concealed weapon? So there 2 are concealed weapon licenses all over the 3 place. So -- so what are we supposed to do 4 about those two things? 5 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice Breyer, 6 7 let me start with the major question, which is -- because I think that's actually very 8 9 straightforwardly answered -- which is there's no serious question about the experience of the 10 11 individual Petitioners in this case. 12 And they both sought unrestricted 13 licenses and they were both denied unrestricted 14 licenses, notwithstanding that they satisfy 15 every other requirement that the state has to be 16 licensed for a concealed carry. 17 And so I'm happy to debate why the 18 state statistics don't really prove anything 19 particularly relevant, but I think they're irrelevant for a more fundamental reason. I 20 21 mean, you know, if there were a debate between 2.2 the parties about whether 95 percent or 23 90 percent of the citizens of New York were denied their confrontation rights in criminal 24 25 trials, but you had before you two individuals

1 who were clearly denied the right to confront 2 the witnesses against them, you wouldn't worry 3 about the other 95 percent --JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I have to say --4 MR. CLEMENT: -- or the other --5 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- Mr. Clement --6 7 MR. CLEMENT: -- 90 percent. JUSTICE KAGAN: -- that's not really 8 9 the way your brief is written. The way your 10 brief is written is to say, you know, this is a 11 -- a -- a -- a regulatory scheme that deprives 12 most people of the right to carry arms in 13 self-defense. And your brief puts a lot of 14 emphasis on that, like don't believe the state 15 that they are going to really take seriously 16 people's need for self-defense because they 17 always reject these licenses. 18 You know, if you had a bunch of 19 statistics which suggest that the state is quite 20 sensitive to people's need for self-defense and gives these licenses a significant amount of the 21 2.2 time, you might think differently about the 23 regulatory scheme, wouldn't you? I mean, that's 24 the way your brief reads to me. 25 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice Kagan, two

1 points. 2 One is I wouldn't feel any differently with respect to my two individual clients, who 3 were denied their right to exercise their Second 4 Amendment rights. 5 6 But, more broadly, the reason I'm so 7 confident that this regime is problematic on its face is because, on its face, at least as 8 9 interpreted by the highest court in New York, 10 the requirement you need to show in order to 11 carry concealed for self-defense but not for 12 hunting and target practice is you have to show that you have a need for self-defense that 13 14 distinguishes you from the generalized 15 community, from the general community. 16 So New York's law on its face says 17 that the only way that you can carry for 18 self-defense is if you demonstrate your 19 atypicality with respect to your need for self-defense. And that's --20 21 JUSTICE BREYER: So what do they say? 2.2 Because, look, Mr. Koch can. He has his 23 license. He can carry it for self-defense under 24 the license to and from work and, as you say, 25 can carry it for hunting, target practice, et

1 cetera, concealed, and in your opinion, is it 2 supposed to say you can carry a concealed qun 3 around the streets or the town or outside just for fun? I mean, they are dangerous, guns. I 4 mean, so what's it supposed to say? 5 6 MR. CLEMENT: It's -- it's supposed to 7 be what New York says that they give to lots of applicants at least in other counties, which is 8 9 an unrestricted license, which basically means 10 that somebody who has demonstrated to the state 11 that they're of good moral character, that they 12 have all the necessary training, whatever the 13 state requires --14 JUSTICE BREYER: So 40,000 --15 MR. CLEMENT: -- whatever the state --16 JUSTICE BREYER: -- or 50,000 or 17 60,000 is not enough. You have to show you have a good moral character, and then, if you just 18 19 would like to carry a concealed weapon, which is 20 a dangerous thing, as I said, you can just do it, just that's what the Fourth -- that's -- in 21 2.2 your opinion, that's what you want, no 23 restrictions? MR. CLEMENT: Well, certainly, New 24 25 York is entitled to have laws that say that you

16

1 can't have weapons in sensitive places, in 2 addition to whatever regulation --3 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, I'm not saying --4 5 MR. CLEMENT: -- for carrying that. JUSTICE BREYER: Right, right. I'm 6 7 not saying that. MR. CLEMENT: And -- and -- and New 8 York has those laws, and we don't challenge 9 those. What we would -- what we're asking for 10 11 -- I mean, one way to think about it is we're 12 asking that the regime work the same way for self-defense as it does for hunting. 13 14 When my clients go in and ask for a 15 license to concealed carry for hunting purposes, 16 what they have to tell the state is they have an 17 intent to go hunting. They don't have to say: 18 I have a really good reason to go hunting. I 19 don't have to say I have a better reason to go 20 hunting than anybody else in my general 21 community. And it's there --2.2 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. Well, the 23 difference, of course, you have a concealed 24 weapon to go hunting. You're out with an intent 25 to shoot, say, a deer or a rabbit, which has its

17

1 problems. But, here, when you have a 2 self-defense just for whatever you want to carry 3 a concealed weapon, you go shooting it around and somebody gets killed. 4 MR. CLEMENT: With respect, Justice 5 6 Breyer, that's not been the experience in the 43 7 jurisdictions that allow their citizens to have the same rights that my -- my clients are 8 9 looking for. This is not something where we're 10 asking you to take some brave new experiment 11 that no jurisdiction in Anglo-American history 12 have -- have --13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement --14 MR. CLEMENT: -- have ever done. 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- may I -- you're 16 talking about 43 other jurisdictions. And I 17 suspect that when we get into those 43 other 18 jurisdictions that there are going to be a 19 handful that are identical. 20 The one thing that I've looked at in 21 this history is the plethora of regimes that 2.2 states pick, and that starts in English law, 23 through the colonies, through post-Constitution, 24 to post-Civil War, to the 19th Century, to even 25 now, those 43 states that you're talking about,

18

1 most of them didn't give unrestricted rights to 2 carry in one form or another until recent times. 3 Before recent times, there were so many different regulations. What it appears to 4 me is that the history tradition of carrying 5 6 weapons is that states get a lot of deference on 7 this. And the one deference that you don't -haven't addressed is the question presented is 8 9 what's the law with respect to concealed 10 weapons. 11 In 1315, the British Parliament 12 specifically banned the carrying of concealed In colonial America, at least four, if 13 arms. 14 not five, states restricted concealed arms. 15 After the Civil War, there were many, many more 16 states, some include it in their constitution, 17 that you can have a right to arms but not 18 concealed. 19 You can go to Alabama, Georgia, and 20 Louisiana, which are now more open -- are more 21 free in granting the right to carry guns, but 2.2 they prohibited through their history concealed 23 weapons, the carrying of concealed weapons. 24 It seems to me that if we're looking 25 at that history and tradition with respect to

19

1 concealed arms that there is not the same requirement that there is in the home. 2 3 One of the things Heller pointed to was there were few regulations that prohibited 4 the carrying or the keeping of arms in homes. 5 But that's not true with respect to the 6 7 regulations about keeping of arms outside of homes. 8 9 Putting aside the -- the prohibitions, regulations on sensitive places, regulations on 10 the types of people, it seems to me that I don't 11 12 know how I get past all that history --13 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice --14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- without you 15 sort of making it up and saying there's a right 16 to control states that has never been exercised 17 in the entire history of the United States as to 18 how far they can go in saying this poses a 19 danger. MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Sotomayor, 20 21 there's a lot to that question. I'll try to take it, you know, sequentially if I can. 22 I mean, you know, let's start with 23 24 concealed carry restrictions. I mean, it is 25 true that during time periods where open carry

1	was allowed that some states did specifically
2	restrict concealed carry on the precise theory
3	that if we allow you to carry open, then, if
4	you're carrying concealed, you're probably up to
5	no good.
6	And Heller did exhaustively survey
7	those cases, and what it concluded is that if a
8	state allows open carry, then it can prohibit
9	concealed carry, I suppose vice versa, and
10	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But you're asking
11	us to make the choice for the legislature.
12	We're only looking at concealed here.
13	MR. CLEMENT: We are not asking you to
14	make that, and
15	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, yeah, you
16	are, because you're conditioning history on a
17	different fact.
18	MR. CLEMENT: I don't think we're
19	asking to for anybody to make that choice.
20	In fact, the relief we've asked for is to have
21	an unrestricted license because, under New York
22	law as it currently exists, that's the only way
23	that you can have a carry right for a handgun.
24	But, in framing our relief in the
25	complaint, we, you know, framed it so that there

1 are other relief consistent with the decision. So, if New York really wanted to say, you know, no, we have a particular problem with concealed carry, notwithstanding that traditionally that's 4 the only way we allow people to carry, if they want to shift to an open carry regime, they could do that consistent with everything we've said here.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 Now I don't think anybody expects that to happen because, if you look at the New York 10 11 law specifically, it's a law that prohibits the 12 carrying of handguns except for permit holders, and then its provisions about permit holders 13 14 speak specifically to concealed carry.

15 So that's why we've framed our request 16 the way we have. But what we're doing, I think, 17 is completely consistent with the majority 18 decision in Heller's analysis of the historical 19 cases. We've said that those very few states 20 that tried to prohibit both concealed carry and open carry and so gave no outlet for the right 21 to carry a firearm for self-defense outside the 2.2 23 home, those were the laws that the Heller 24 majority identified as being analogous to the 25 D.C. restriction in Heller that was invalidated.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I do know that 2 many of the laws conditioned or retained the 3 right of the state to decide which people were eligible. And the historians -- to carry the 4 arms, that you had to be subject to the approval 5 of the local sheriff or the local mayor, et 6 7 cetera. And during the Civil War, that was used to -- to deny Black people the right to hold 8 arms. We now have the Fourteenth Amendment to 9 10 protect that. 11 But why is a good cause requirement 12 any different than that discretion that was given to local officials to deny the carrying of 13 14 firearms to people that they thought it was 15 inappropriate, whether it was the mentally ill 16 or any other qualification? I -- that's how I 17 see the good cause as fitting in -- within that 18 tradition. 19 MR. CLEMENT: So -- so let me make a 20 point about how it's so different from that 21 tradition, but then also let me make a 2.2 historical point. 23 This -- it's radically different to say that if you are a typical New Yorker, so you 24 25 qualify -- you satisfy every other

1 qualification, you're not a felon, you don't 2 have any mental health problems, you've done 3 everything else we've asked you, but you are typical in the sense that you don't have an 4 atypical need to carry for self-defense, I don't 5 think there's any historical analog to that. 6 7 As to the historical examples, with all due respect, I -- I don't think I read the 8 9 surety laws the same way that you do. Those surety laws, which were only in -- in -- in 10 11 place in a minority of jurisdictions, but, 12 nonetheless, I think they help us because those surety laws, first of all, start with the 13 14 proposition that there's a baseline right for 15 every person, every member of the people, 16 protected by the Second Amendment, to carry. 17 And what they do is, if somebody, 18 essentially, as a complainant, can come into court and say that somebody is -- has a 19 20 propensity to use them in an offensive or 21 violent way, then, if you satisfy a neutral 2.2 fact-finder, then you don't automatically get to disarm that person. You put them to the choice 23 24 of posting a surety, and then they can continue 25 to possess their firearm.

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Clement,
2	you in your opening, you talked about the
3	right applying in any location typically open to
4	the general public.
5	I'd like to get some sense about what
6	you believe could be off limits, like university
7	campuses. Could they say you're not allowed to
8	carry on a university campus?
9	MR. CLEMENT: So, Mr. Chief Justice, I
10	I think the answer to your question is yes.
11	And I think that what I would say, though, first
12	of all, is the language I was talking about, any
13	location open to the general public, that's
14	right from the license denial on Joint Appendix
15	page 40 41. So that wasn't loose language on
16	my part. That's that's right there from
17	where we are told, in capital letters, where we
18	cannot carry, any location, all caps, typically
19	open to
20	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, what
21	sort of place do you think they could be
22	excluded from? In other words, you can get a
23	permit, but the state can impose certain
24	restrictions, for example, any place in which
25	alcohol is served.

25

1 MR. CLEMENT: So --2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Can they say 3 you cannot carry your gun at any place where alcohol is served? 4 MR. CLEMENT: So, Mr. Chief Justice, I 5 6 think you -- probably the right way to look at 7 those cases would be look at them case by case and say, okay -- this Court in Heller, for 8 9 example, said sensitive places include government buildings and schools. I think 10 11 those, you can probably tap into a pretty good 12 tradition. 13 I think any place that served alcohol 14 would be a -- a -- a -- you know, a tougher 15 case for the government. I think we would have 16 a stronger case. They might be able to 17 condition the license holder on not consuming 18 any alcohol. There might be a variety of laws. 19 And we could have those debates, but --CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What about a 20 football stadium? 21 2.2 MR. CLEMENT: I -- I -- I think, 23 again, football stadium, you probably take it on its own and -- and look to the historical 24 25 analogs. But here's -- I guess, if I could

26

offer some general principles, I think there's 2 two principles. 3 One is, you know, restriction of access to the place is something that I think 4 would be consistent with the way government 5 buildings have worked and schools have worked. 6

1

7 Not any member of the general public can come in They restrict access. With -- with or 8 there. 9 without a gun, if you're an adult that has no 10 business to be in a school, you're excluded. So I think that's a factor that would support 11 12 treating that as a sensitive place.

13 A second principle that I would offer 14 is these sensitive place restrictions really are 15 a different animal than a carry restriction 16 because I think a true sensitive place 17 restriction is not just going to limit your 18 ability to carry concealed, but it's going to 19 be, say, this is a place where no weapons are 20 allowed. You know, whether they're firearms or 21 other weapons, no weapons are allowed.

2.2 And then the third point that I would 23 say -- and this is just an analogy, but I think it's a useful analogy -- is I think the way to 24 25 think about this is a little like the nonpublic

1 forum doctrine in the First Amendment, which is 2 you -- you start with the place and you try to 3 understand is this a place where, given the 4 nature of the place, its function, its restrictions on access, that weapons are out of 5 6 place? And, if so, that's probably a sensitive 7 place --JUSTICE KAGAN: So -- but --8 9 MR. CLEMENT: -- where the state can 10 say --JUSTICE KAGAN: -- but I think --11 12 JUSTICE BARRETT: But what --JUSTICE KAGAN: -- what the Chief 13 14 Justice is trying to do is figure out how those 15 cash out in the real world. So I'll give you a 16 few more. New York City subways. 17 MR. CLEMENT: So, you know, I -- I 18 think that the -- the question of whether you 19 could restrict arms in the subways, you know, I 20 mean, you -- you'd have to go through the 21 analysis, I think, and say, you know, is there a 22 restriction on access generally? I suppose it's 23 \_ \_ 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: No, I mean, I got the 25 analysis --

28

1 MR. CLEMENT: Okay. JUSTICE KAGAN: -- all three parts of 2 3 it. How does it cash out? What does it mean? MR. CLEMENT: You know, I -- I don't 4 5 know how those are going to cash out in 6 particular cases because I think the way that 7 you would normally deal with that is you'd, you know, look at all the briefing we had in the 8 9 this case on the history of these various 10 things. 11 And so, you know, on behalf of my 12 individual clients, I suppose I could give away 13 the subway because they're not looking to go --14 you know, they're not in Manhattan. 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: The Chief Justice --16 MR. CLEMENT: They're in Rensselaer 17 County. 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- started with 19 universities, and you said that that would be 20 all right. Did you mean that? MR. CLEMENT: Yeah, I -- I -- I --21 yes, I -- I -- I --22 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Because --24 MR. CLEMENT: -- I did mean that. 25 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- because -- because

1 that's open for -- you know, anybody can walk 2 around the NYU campus. 3 MR. CLEMENT: Well, NYU doesn't have 4 much of a campus. 5 (Laughter.) JUSTICE KAGAN: I -- I would -- I 6 7 would go back to New York, and I think you'll find that that's wrong. Similarly, the Columbia 8 9 campus. 10 MR. CLEMENT: Columbia's got a campus, 11 and I don't know whether they restrict access 12 there at all. And -- and, you know -- and 13 maybe, you know, if they don't restrict access 14 to parts of the campus, maybe those are parts of 15 the campus where they wouldn't enforce the policy anyways. 16 17 The point I'm trying to make, though 18 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you can't say, you 20 know, there are 50,000 people in one place, you 21 know, a -- a -- a ballpark, there are 50,000 22 people in one place, they're all on top of each 23 other, we don't want guns there. That's -- you 24 -- you couldn't -- the -- the -- the city or the 25 state couldn't do that?

1	MR. CLEMENT: I think they might well
2	be able to, because, again, you can't get into
3	Yankee Stadium without a ticket. I'd have to
4	understand in, you know, many of these
5	jurisdictions you know, I don't know every
б	jurisdiction. I don't know enough about Yankee
7	Stadium. But, you know, a lot of these stadiums
8	are not run by the government anyway. So, if a
9	private entity wants to restrict access, I don't
10	know where the state action is for there to be a
11	second
12	JUSTICE KAGAN: Suppose the state says
13	no protest or event that has more than 10,000
14	people.
15	MR. CLEMENT: I I I think that
16	might be, you know, trickier. Maybe they could
17	justify that under strict scrutiny, but I don't
18	think that would be a sensitive places
19	JUSTICE BARRETT: But why not?
20	MR. CLEMENT: restriction.
21	JUSTICE BARRETT: I mean, I guess it's
22	about the level of generality, all these
23	questions that Justice Kagan's asking you or
24	that the Chief asked you, if if you concede,
25	as I think the historical record requires you

1 to, that states did outlaw guns in sensitive 2 places, can't we just say Times Square on New Year's Eve is a sensitive place? Because now 3 we've seen, you know, people are on top of each 4 other, we've -- we've had experience with 5 6 violence, so we're making a judgment, it's a 7 sensitive place. MR. CLEMENT: So here -- here's what I 8 9 would suggest, that the right way to think about 10 limiting guns in Times Square on New Year's Eve 11 is not as a sensitive place but as a time, 12 place, and manner restriction. 13 And that might be a perfectly 14 reasonable time, place, and manner restriction, 15 but I don't think that's -- the sensitive places 16 doctrine, as I understood it, from -- and, 17 obviously, it's a brief reference in the Heller decision, so I -- I may not fully understand it 18 19 -- but I understood that those were certain 20 places where they were just no weapon zones all 21 of the time because of the nature of that 2.2 institution. 23 And I think it's probably worth 24 thinking about rallies and Times Square, that there may be restrictions, but they would be 25

1 done --JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Mr. Clement --2 3 MR. CLEMENT: -- under the rubric of 4 JUSTICE ALITO: -- could we --5 6 MR. CLEMENT: -- time, place, and 7 manner. JUSTICE ALITO: -- could we start with 8 9 the purpose of the personal right to keep and 10 bear arms? And the core purpose of that right, 11 putting aside the military aspect, is 12 self-defense. 13 So starting with that, could we 14 analyze the sensitive place question by asking 15 whether this is a place where the state has 16 taken alternative means to safeguard those who 17 frequent that place? 18 If it's a -- if it's a place like a 19 courthouse, for example, a government building, 20 where everybody has to go through a magnetometer 21 and there are security officials there, that 22 would qualify as a sensitive place. Now that doesn't provide a mechanical 23 24 answer to every question, and -- but it -- would 25 that be a way of analyzing -- of -- of beginning

33

1 to analyze this? 2 MR. CLEMENT: Justice Alito, that 3 might be a way of analyzing it. The reason I'm a little bit reluctant to go that route as 4 opposed to really think about the nature of the 5 6 place and the restrictions that are associated 7 with its core activity is because I worry that, if you went that direction, then the state would 8 9 say: Well, you know, this part of the city, we 10 have a lot of police officers, and so you really 11 don't need to exercise your own individual 12 self-defense right there because we -- we have your back. And I --13 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I don't know --14 15 MR. CLEMENT: -- and I don't think 16 that's --17 JUSTICE ALITO: -- I don't know what 18 the -- I don't know what those places would be, 19 but continue. MR. CLEMENT: Well, I think my friends 20 would tell you that, you know, the whole City of 21 22 New York is that way. 23 And I -- I -- I think there are a lot 24 of people in New York, and New York may have a 25 lot of reasons to have regulations that are a

34

1 little bit different than in upstate New York, 2 where my individual Petitioners reside, but I 3 don't think that they can take all those people 4 in New York and deny them of their fundamental 5 constitutional --6 JUSTICE BREYER: So how --7 MR. CLEMENT: -- rights. JUSTICE BREYER: -- how do we do this? 8 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you just said --JUSTICE BREYER: How --10 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 12 Breyer. 13 JUSTICE BREYER: How? I mean, so far, 14 we've been -- and to my mind, I think NYU does 15 have a campus. You're not certain. All right? 16 (Laughter.) 17 JUSTICE BREYER: You think that in New 18 York City people should have considerable 19 freedom to carry concealed weapons. I think 20 that people of good moral character who start 21 drinking a lot and who may be there for a 2.2 football game or -- or some kind of soccer game 23 can get pretty angry at each other, and if they 24 each have a concealed weapon, who knows? 25 And there are plenty of statistics in

1 these briefs to show there's some people who do 2 know, and a lot of people end up dead, okay? So 3 what are we supposed to do? To sort of float around, like with NYU, and say, hey, oh, this is 4 the rule, it seems to work out in upstate New 5 6 York, we don't know, of course, and we do know 7 that your client is carrying a concealed weapon 8 because he has a right to in some instances? 9 And even following Heller and 10 following the history, which I thought was 11 wrong, even so, what are we supposed to say in 12 your opinion that is going to be clear enough 13 that we will not produce a kind of gun-related 14 chaos? 15 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Breyer, I would sort of point you to two things that maybe

16 17 would give you some comfort. I mean, one is the 18 experience of the 43 states, and there are 19 amicus briefs on both sides getting into the 20 empirical evidence, but there really isn't a 21 case that those 43 states that include very 2.2 large cities like Phoenix, like Houston, like 23 Chicago, they have not had demonstrably worse problems with this than the five or six states 24 25 that have the regime that New York has. So

36

that's one place to look. 1 2 The other place that I think you would 3 find some -- some -- something persuasive there is their own amicus brief on their side by the 4 City of Chicago, because the City of Chicago is 5 6 in a shall issue jurisdiction, and the City of 7 Chicago goes on to sort of, you know, essentially brag about all of the ways that 8 9 they've done, consistent with that regime, to reduce crime in Chicago that probably doesn't 10 11 have a direct analog in downstate Illinois. 12 But, of course, you know, one of the 13 problems with this case --14 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, most people 15 think that Chicago is, like, the -- the world's 16 worst city with respect to gun violence, Mr. 17 Clement. 18 MR. CLEMENT: Chicago in their 19 corporate --20 JUSTICE KAGAN: And Chicago doesn't think that, but everybody else thinks it about 21 22 Chicago. 23 MR. CLEMENT: And nobody thinks that 24 about Phoenix, and nobody thinks that about 25 Houston, and nobody thinks that about Dallas,

37

1	and nobody thinks that about San Diego, which,
2	even though it's in a restricted state, is a
3	shall issue jurisdiction.
4	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement?
5	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
б	Clement.
7	Justice Thomas, anything further?
8	JUSTICE THOMAS: Mr. Clement, where
9	does Mr. Nash live?
10	MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Nash lives in
11	Rensselaer County, New York, which
12	JUSTICE THOMAS: Is that close to NYU?
13	MR. CLEMENT: That is nowhere near
14	NYU, Justice Thomas. And, you know, I think, if
15	you if you look at their the county
16	website, they talk about there are 153,000
17	people spread over 955 square miles. And yet
18	that's the context in which my individual
19	clients are being denied their Second Amendment
20	rights.
21	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
22	Breyer, anything further?
23	Justice Alito?
24	Justice Sotomayor?
25	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counselor, your

38

1 client is permitted to -- Mr. Nash, one of the 2 two -- to carry when engaged in outdoor 3 activities of any kind, like camping, hunting, and fishing, on back roads, with the few --4 substantially lesser number of people. 5 6 Tell me how many places in Rensselaer 7 County does your client have a self-defense risk. 8 9 MR. CLEMENT: Well --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: A serious -- I 10 11 mean, at what point do we look at the 12 restriction and the burden it places? Meaning, 13 yes, I'm sure it has a center of town, I'm sure 14 it may have a shopping center or two, but it's 15 not like he's totally restricted from carrying a 16 qun. He's just restricted from carrying one 17 basically in those sensitive places --18 MR. CLEMENT: Well --19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- because the rest of his home is pretty distant from each --20 from other homes. 21 2.2 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Sotomayor, 23 just so we start on the same wavelength or the 24 same page, literally, page 41 of the Joint 25 Appendix, this tells Mr. Nash where he can carry

39

1	concealed. And what the officer, McNally, told
2	him was: "I emphasize that the restrictions are
3	intended to prohibit" italicized "you from
4	carrying concealed in ANY LOCATION" all
5	caps "ANY LOCATION typically open to and
6	frequented by the general public."
7	Now I would submit
8	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's the point.
9	MR. CLEMENT: that's that's a
10	pretty broad number of places in Rensselaer
11	County. And it would include, I fear, most of
12	the roads in the county at night when you're
13	traveling and might think that you have a need.
14	I mean, if if Mr. Nash has a
15	relative whose car breaks down and has to have a
16	a change of tire and he wants to go out and
17	assist them with that and wants to make sure
18	that he is he he is in a position to
19	defend himself, I don't think he can do it
20	consistent with this license restriction.
21	And at the end of the day, I think
22	what it means to give somebody a constitutional
23	right is that they don't have to satisfy a
24	government official that they have a really good
25	need to exercise it or they face atypical risks.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan, 2 anything further? 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Clement, you -you said, I think, in passing that it would be 4 fine if New York banned open carry so long as it 5 6 allowed concealed carry. Is that correct? 7 MR. CLEMENT: Certainly, that's consistent with the relief we're looking for. 8 We're looking for some outlet to exercise our 9 constitutional right to carry firearms outside 10 11 the home. 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: How is it consistent with the history? I mean, the history seems 13 14 very clear to me that it's sort of like the 15 exact opposite of how we think about it now, in 16 other words, that there are lots of places that 17 wanted people to display their arms as a matter 18 of transparency, and what they prohibited was 19 the concealed carry. So I'm thinking, like, if you look to 20 21 the history, you end up with a completely 2.2 different set of rules from the ones that you're 23 suggesting with respect to concealed versus 24 open. And it's a -- it's an example, I think, 25 of -- of the difficulties of looking to history,

41

1 where people were operating on such different, to use your term, wavelengths. 2 3 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Kagan, first 4 of all, I would have thought that, you know, we sort of crossed the bridge to use history in 5 6 this context in Heller. 7 But, if we're going to look to history 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: No, I think --9 10 MR. CLEMENT: -- I actually think, if 11 \_ \_ 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- Mr. Clement, the 13 question is how to use history and, you know, 14 where do you look, you know, how far do you 15 look. Do you look to the 1920s when all these 16 felon laws were passed, as well as public 17 purpose laws of exactly the same kind as New 18 York. 19 So one question is, how far up do you 20 Another question is, you know, with what look? sense of flexibility do you look? And I think 21 2.2 that this is an example of that. It's like, no, 23 we're not going to ask for an exact analog 24 because we realize that the world has changed 25 and regulatory schemes are very different

42

because regulatory interests are very different. If we tried to copy history, we would find ourselves in a world in which the only thing that a state could do is tell people, you know, you can't carry it concealed, you have to carry it open.

7 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Kagan, let me give you an example of how I think the Court 8 9 should use history in this context, and I'll go 10 exactly to the Georgia statute that was at issue 11 in Nunn against Georgia. Now that was a statute 12 that, on its face, prohibited carrying simpliciter. So it didn't say open. It didn't 13 14 say concealed.

15 Now the court that analyzed that 16 reversed -- vacated the indictment of somebody 17 under the statute because the statute didn't 18 specify and they didn't think that person had 19 carried concealed, but when they looked at it, 20 they interpreted it in light of the context at 21 the time and they thought, boy, it is not 2.2 consistent with the Second Amendment that 23 Georgia actually -- that court actually thought 24 directly applied to the state, which is 25 interesting, but -- but they said that's not

43

consistent with the Second Amendment to prohibit
 any means for carrying.
 Then, consistent with kind of the
 norms of the time, kind of almost as like a

5 severability holding, dare I say it, they said, 6 well, all right, the open carry, that's allowed. 7 I mean, rather, that's -- that's -- we're going 8 to say that to the extent this statute prohibits 9 open carry, that's unconstitutional, but to the 10 extent that it prohibits concealed carry, that's 11 constitutional.

12 Now the -- the -- the fundamental problem with the law that carries over as a 13 14 direct analogy is it gave no outlet to exercise 15 the constitutional right to carry for 16 self-defense. The norms of the time had a 17 favoring for open carry over concealed. I will 18 grant you that the norms of the time have 19 flipped, and, certainly, in New York, based on 20 the rest of their licensing regime, I assume 21 that they would prefer that my client -- clients 2.2 carry concealed rather than openly. 23 But I think that's the way you can use 24 the history, and you can use it with some

25 contextual sensitivity, but you cannot sort of,

you know, throw it all out, because I do think 1 2 the analogy is pretty clean between a law that prohibits any form of carry and what New York is 3 doing here. 4 And, of course, that was one of the 5 6 laws that this Court specifically looked to in 7 the Heller decision as well. JUSTICE KAGAN: And -- and when you 8 9 look at this history in the properly contextual 10 way, do you see no difference between the kind 11 of regulation that was allowed in the home and 12 the kind of regulation that was allowed in 13 public places? Because it seems to me that the 14 history -- and -- and Justice Sotomayor 15 developed it at some length -- but the history 16 is replete with that distinction, that the --17 and, indeed, Heller recognizes that. 18 Heller recognizes that the home is a 19 very special place, both because -- you know, for similar reasons for the Fourth Amendment but 20 21 also because the need for self-defense is so 2.2 much greater there. 23 MR. CLEMENT: So I -- I -- I think, in 24 terms of -- I'm not going to tell you that the 25 context doesn't matter at all. I mean, take the

1 sensitive places law, right? They just -- they 2 don't really affect the keep right the way that they affect the carry right, unless you try to 3 say the entirety of Manhattan is a sensitive 4 place, and then they might affect both. But, in 5 6 general, the -- the analysis is going to be 7 slightly different. But I would say that, you know, I 8 don't think those differences are material here. 9 I think, if the District, instead of just 10 11 banning handguns inside the home, had adopted a 12 permitting regime that required District residents to show that they had an atypical need 13 14 to possess a handgun inside the home, I'm not 15 sure anything in Heller would have been 16 different because it's just inconsistent with a

17 constitutional right to either ban the exercise 18 of it or say that it's a privilege that you can 19 only exercise if you show that you are atypical 20 from the rest of the people who are equally 21 protected by the constitutional right.

22 JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you.

23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

24 Gorsuch?

25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Clement, are you

46

-- are you able to hear me? 1 2 MR. CLEMENT: Loud and clear. JUSTICE GORSUCH: Great. Some of your 3 amici have asked us to provide further guidance 4 to lower courts in cases beyond your own. And 5 6 so, putting aside your -- your case for the 7 moment, they've pointed out that some lower courts have refused to apply the history test, 8 9 for example, and said they will not extend Heller outside the home until this Court does. 10 11 Other courts have applied intermediate 12 scrutiny and variations of that. Some have suggested that strict scrutiny would be 13 14 appropriate to treat this right comparably to 15 other rights under our modern tiers of scrutiny. 16 I -- I -- I -- I'd just be curious 17 what -- what -- what views you have about all 18 that. 19 MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Justice 20 I -- I think we would start with the Gorsuch. idea that text, history, and tradition is an 21 2.2 appropriate way to deal with this right. That's what the Court said in Heller. 23 I think this Court would allow the 24 25 Court to make clear that the same analysis

1 applies outside of the home. And I think this 2 case, like Heller, is such an outlier that the 3 Court wouldn't have to say too much more unless it wanted to. 4 I think, if it wanted to, though, it 5 6 would already, I think, go a long way to 7 correcting some of the mistakes in the lower court to say that text, history, and tradition 8 9 is the test, not part of the test but the test inside and outside the home. 10 11 And if this Court prefers to go the 12 level of scrutiny route, I would simply say two 13 things. One, we would prefer strict scrutiny as 14 being consistent with a fundamental 15 constitutional right. But, even if it's going 16 to be intermediate scrutiny, probably the 17 single-most important thing to remind the lower 18 courts is that intermediate scrutiny requires 19 narrow tailoring. And a law like this that takes a 20 21 person who has no proclivity whatsoever, unlike 2.2 the surety laws, to misuse firearms and says you 23 simply can't carry them for self-defense 24 anywhere frequented by the public because you 25 haven't demonstrated an atypical need, I mean,

48

1 that's about as untailored a law as I can 2 imagine. 3 So I think, if you did one of those two things -- either make clear that it's text, 4 history, and tradition outside the home as well 5 6 as inside or made clear that narrow tailoring is 7 an integral component of the test -- that would go a long way to clearing up some of the 8 confusion in the lower courts. 9 10 JUSTICE GORSUCH: And I know you --11 you've had a substantial debate with your 12 friends on the other side about the Statute of 13 Northampton. We haven't heard about that today, 14 and I just wanted to give you a chance. 15 MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Justice 16 Gorsuch. I'd say just a couple of quick things 17 about the Statute of Northampton. 18 First of all, I think that it was very 19 clear from the Knight's Case and the treatises 20 that this Court relied on in Heller that by the time of the framing of the English Bill of 21 2.2 Rights, that was not a general prohibition on 23 carrying outside the home but was a prohibition 24 on either carrying unusual and dangerous weapons 25 or using common weapons in a way that terrorized

49

1 the public. And so I don't think that that 2 supports the other side's position here. 3 And the second thing I would say is that probably the single-most obvious point 4 about the history is there just are no reported 5 cases on this side of the Atlantic, not in 6 7 actual reporters, not in newspaper reports about crimes of the day, that show anybody being 8 prosecuted for a violation of the Northampton 9 10 crime simply by carrying common firearms for 11 self-defense. 12 And the one U.S. early court that 13 dealt with this, the common law equivalent of 14 the statute, was State against Huntly in North 15 Carolina, which was an opinion that was cited 16 favorably in the majority opinion in Heller, and 17 that case went out of its way to say that simply 18 carrying firearms per se is not an offense; it's 19 the intent to terrorize the people that is 20 prohibited by Northampton. 21 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. 2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 23 Kavanaugh. 24 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Mr. Clement, I 25 have several questions.

1	First, I want to make sure I
2	understand your main problem here with this
3	permitting regime, as I understand it, is the
4	discretion that's involved with the permitting
5	officials, and your point that that's just not
6	how we do constitutional rights, where we allow
7	basic blanket discretion to grant or deny
8	something for all sorts of reasons.
9	But I understand you would not object
10	or do not object to the regimes that are used in
11	many of the other 42 states, the shall issue
12	regimes. I mean, there could be particular
13	problems with those, but I do not understand you
14	to object to shall issue regimes.
15	Is that accurate?
16	MR. CLEMENT: That's accurate, Justice
17	Kavanaugh. And as you say, they're the you
18	know, especially if you have something like good
19	moral character, there is the possibility for
20	discretionary abuse in those regimes as well.
21	But the thrust of this case is, you
22	know, we we'd like what they're having. We'd
23	like what the people in the other 43 states are
24	allowed to do and exercise their rights, and in
25	many of those states, it's shall issue.

1 And -- and that is, of course -- you 2 know, New York purports to have effectively a 3 shall issue regime with respect to hunting. The only other caveat I wanted to add is it's the 4 discretion combined with the atypicality 5 6 requirement. 7 So, if they came up with some, you know, sort of, like, magic wand that gave them a 8 9 precise reading of typicality, and so there was 10 no discretion, but the standard was still at the 11 end of the day you have to show that you are 12 atypical from the rest of the people protected by the Second Amendment, we would have a problem 13 with that as well. 14 15 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Right. A shall issue regime with an atypicality requirement 16 17 would be no good in your view? 18 MR. CLEMENT: Exactly. 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Yeah. MR. CLEMENT: Even if it could be 20 21 somehow if you could come up with some objective 2.2 standard of typicality. 23 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Okay. And the issue before us, as I understand it, is the 24 25 permitting regime. We don't have to answer all

52

the sensitive places questions in this case,
 some of which will be challenging no doubt, is
 that accurate?

MR. CLEMENT: That's 100 percent 4 accurate. And it's -- so there's sort of a 5 6 market test of the accuracy of that, which is 7 New York does have sensitive place laws, and we 8 have not challenged them in this litigation. 9 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And then, to 10 follow up on Justice Thomas's question and also 11 Justice Gorsuch's, we should focus on American 12 law and the text of the Constitution and we 13 don't start the analysis in a vacuum, but we 14 start it with the text, which you say grants a 15 right to carry, and then historical practice can 16 justify certain kinds of regulations, but the 17 baseline is always the right established in the text. And there will be tough questions, as the 18 19 questions -- arguments revealed, about what the 20 historical practice shows, but the default or 21 baseline is the text, correct? 2.2 MR. CLEMENT: That -- that -- that's 23 absolutely right, Justice Kavanaugh. And, of

Heritage Reporting Corporation

course, that's no different from something like

the First Amendment, where, of course, you start

24

25

1 with the text, and it's very emphatic text, you 2 know, no law abridging speech, but then you look to history and tradition just to realize, oh, 3 well, there's a long tradition of treating 4 defamation and libel different going back to the 5 6 framing, so you use that history to inform the 7 text, but the focus is on the text. 8 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And last question, 9 following up on Justice Gorsuch's question, is

10 he points out some courts have used intermediate 11 scrutiny or strict scrutiny. You know, those 12 are balancing tests. I think Professor Alicea's 13 amicus brief is very helpful on that. There's 14 well-developed law in other areas.

But it'll be no surprise to you I have concern that that would just be a balancing test that would leave -- make it a policy judgment basically for the courts.

And I don't know why we would -- you say you'd be okay with that, but I'm not sure why we would smuggle all that into here and then it would just be a policy judgment that would be unanchored from the historical practice.

24 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Kavanaugh,25 two points just in response to that.

1 One, you know, as -- as you articulate 2 the concerns with interesting balancing, that might be a reason that if you're going to go 3 with the level of scrutiny's approach, you would 4 qo to strict scrutiny, where I just think 5 6 there's less play in the joints. 7 But the second --JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, I mean, 8 9 maybe. But what's a compelling interest? Do 10 you have a compelling -- there's a lot of play 11 in the joints in -- in some of the other areas, so I don't know that you want to open that door. 12 MR. CLEMENT: And -- and -- and -- and 13 14 the second point I was going to make, though, 15 Justice Kavanaugh, which is maybe more consonant 16 with the thrust of the question is, you know, 17 whatever was the case in Heller, where I -- I sort of read the majority opinion as actually 18 19 already rejecting interesting balancing, but 20 whatever was the case in Heller, you know, we 21 now have this 13 years of experience with lower 2.2 courts applying the test. And in -- in our view, you know, 23 24 they've made a muddle of it and the -- you know, it's -- it's probably -- the experience of the 25

55

1 last 13 years is probably a very good reason to 2 prefer a text, history, and tradition approach 3 to this area of the law. JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: 5 Justice 6 Barrett? 7 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Clement, I have 8 one question. So a couple times, in response to my 9 question about Times Square and New Year's Eve 10 11 and then just now as well to Justice Kavanaugh, 12 you made reference to the First Amendment. And, 13 obviously, a lot of the questions that have been 14 asked have been focused on how do we -- how can 15 the state fairly regulate, because everybody 16 agrees there have to be some regulations, and it 17 might not be the case that we can always find 18 exact historical analogs, so we're turning to 19 the First Amendment. 20 In response to me, you said, well, 21 that might be analogous to a time, place, and 2.2 manner restriction. So do you think the First 23 Amendment and the, you know, edifices that we 24 have structured around it would be a helpful 25 place to look? Is that what you're suggesting?

56

1	MR. CLEMENT: Well, I'm suggesting
2	that there is a lot of useful teaching in the
3	First Amendment. I'm not sure I'm suggesting
4	you should just take sort of doctrines lock,
5	stock, and barrel from the First Amendment.
6	But, you know, I mean, going back, you
7	know, well over a hundred years to, like,
8	Robertson, when the Court was just talking in
9	dictum about the First and the Second Amendment,
10	it drew the analogy between allowing some
11	restrictions on the Second Amendment and, in the
12	First Amendment context, the First Amendment
13	being consistent with libel and defamation.
14	As I suggested to the Chief Justice, I
15	think the way you think about a nonpublic forum
16	and why that's different from First Amendment
17	purposes from a park, I think, could be useful
18	in some of these contexts.
19	You know, if you focus on the nature
20	of the location, you might say this is
21	inappropriate for weapons. But, in the same way
22	as in the First Amendment, you just don't get to
23	say, well, we're going to make it a nonpublic
24	forum by saying no First Amendment activity
25	there. You can't just take a location and say

57

1	we're going to make this a sensitive place by
2	saying no Second Amendment activity there.
3	So those kind of analogies, and,
4	lastly, the analogy being you look at a law that
5	says no concealed carry in a particular place on
6	one night of the year quite differently from a
7	law like this that says there's really no way
8	for a typical New Yorker to conceal carry
9	anywhere that the general public is allowed to
10	go.
11	Those under the First Amendment,
12	those are radically different laws, and I think,
13	under the Second Amendment, those are radically
14	different laws.
15	JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you.
16	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
17	counsel.
18	General Underwood.
19	ORAL ARGUMENT OF BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD
20	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
21	MS. UNDERWOOD: Mr. Chief Justice, and
22	may it please the Court:
23	For centuries, English and American
24	law have imposed limits on carrying firearms in
25	public in the interest of public safety. The

58

1 history runs from the 14th Century statute of 2 Northampton, which prohibited carrying arms in fairs and markets and other public gathering 3 places, to similar laws adopted by half of the 4 American colonies and states in the founding 5 period, to later state laws that relaxed 6 7 restrictions for people who had a concrete need for armed self-defense. 8

9 Starting as early as the early 1800s, 10 states began taking different approaches to 11 regulating firearm-carrying in public. Some 12 states provided that a person who carried firearms in public without reasonable cause 13 14 could be arrested and required to post a bond. 15 Other states made it a misdemeanor to carry a 16 handgun without reasonable grounds to fear an 17 attack.

18 Other states and territories began --19 banned carrying handguns in towns and cities altogether or restricted it to situations of 20 21 immediate threat. And in the early 1900s, many 2.2 states made good cause a requirement for a 23 license to carry a concealed handgun while also 24 prohibiting in some cases the open carrying of 25 handguns.

1	In total, from the founding era
2	through the 20th Century, at least 20 states
3	have at one time or another either prohibited
4	all carrying of handguns in populous areas or
5	limited it to those with good cause.
6	New York's law fits well within that
7	tradition of regulating public carry. It makes
8	a carry license available to any person not
9	disqualified who has a non-speculative reason to
10	carry a handgun for self-defense.
11	New York is not an outlier in the
12	extent to which the state restricts the ability
13	to carry firearms in public, and it's not an
14	outlier in asking a licensed applicant to show
15	good cause for a carry license.
16	Many ordinary people have received
17	carry licenses in New York State. If the Court
18	has questions about how the law works in
19	practice, it should remand for fact-finding, and
20	if the Court finds the history ambiguous, it
21	should review the law under intermediate
22	scrutiny and uphold it.
23	JUSTICE THOMAS: General Underwood,
24	you seem to rely a bit on the density of the
25	population. You say, I think, that states like

60

1 New York have high-density areas. And implicit in that is that the more 2 rural an area is, the more unnecessary a strict 3 rule is. So, when you are -- when you suggest 4 that, how rural does the area have to be before 5 6 your restrictions shouldn't apply? 7 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I -- I think the way the New York statute works is consistent 8 with a reasonable rule, which is that there's 9 not a cutoff, there's not a number at which 10 11 things change, but that licenses -- unrestricted 12 licenses are much more readily available in more 13 -- in less densely populated upstate counties 14 than they are in dense metropolitan areas. 15 And that is a virtue of the system of 16 having licenses handled by licensing officers 17 who are part of the local community and who take 18 the density of population into account, as well 19 as the -- many other factors. JUSTICE THOMAS: Well, the -- Mr. Nash 20 21 lives in a -- quite a low-density area. That's 2.2 why I'm interested in where your cutoff is. 23 It's one thing to talk about Manhattan or NYU's It's another to talk about rural 24 campus. 25 upstate New York.

1	MS. UNDERWOOD: He actually lives in
2	what I would call an intermediate area. He
3	lives in Rensselaer County, which is not that
4	far from Albany, and it contains the City of
5	Troy and a university and a downtown shopping
6	district, but it also contains substantial rural
7	areas.
8	And that is precisely what the
9	licensing officer here was taking into account
10	when he made the differentiation between, you
11	know, don't take it to the shopping mall, don't
12	take it downtown, but you can take it in the
13	in the sort of back-country areas.
14	JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
15	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: General, you
16	you mentioned that the the gun is I
17	I guess permits are read more readily
18	available in a less populated area.
19	MS. UNDERWOOD: Unrestricted permits
20	
21	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Unrestricted
22	permits.
23	MS. UNDERWOOD: are are more
24	readily available in less populated areas, yes.
25	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Now Heller

relied on the right to defense as a basis for
 its reading of the -- of the Second Amendment,
 or that was its reading.

Now I would think that arises in more 4 populated areas. If you're out in the woods, 5 6 presumably, it's pretty unlikely that you're 7 going to run into someone who's going to rob you on the street. On the other hand, there are 8 9 places in a -- in a densely populated city where 10 it's more likely that that's where you're going 11 to need a gun for self-defense and, you know, 12 however many policemen are assigned, that, you 13 know, there are high-crime areas.

14 And it seems to me that what you're 15 saying is that's probably the last place that 16 someone's going to get a permit to carry a gun. 17 How is that -- regardless of what we 18 think of the policy of that, how is that 19 consistent with Heller's reasoning that the 20 reason the Second Amendment applies a -- a direct personal right is for self-defense? 21 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I'll say a 2.2 23 couple of things about that. One, we -- if you go right to history 24 25 and tradition, the history was to regulate most

62

63

1 strenuously in densely populated places. That's 2 what fairs and markets are. So we have history. But we also have a rationale for that 3 history, which is that where there is dense 4 population, there is also the deterrent of lots 5 6 of people and there is the availability of law 7 enforcement. In -- in England, the idea was 8 that it was the King's Peace and it was, in 9 fact, an insult to the king for people to take 10 things into their own hands and --11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but 12 that's not always true. It depends, obviously, 13 in the jurisdiction and all that, but simply 14 because a place is -- well, it's paradoxical 15 that you say a place is a high-crime area, but 16 don't worry about it because there are a lot of 17 police around. 18 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, and the other thing is that this is -- that these regulations 19 20 are all an effort to accommodate the right, to -- to recognize and -- and respect the right of 21 2.2 self-defense while regulating it to protect the 23 public safety. And in areas where people are 24 packed densely together, as the questioning that 25 just happened displays, the risks of harm from

64

1 people who are packed shoulder to shoulder, all 2 having guns, are much more acute than they are 3 at --CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Oh, sure, and 4 I can understand, for example, a regulation that 5 6 says you can't carry a gun into, you know, 7 Giants Stadium, just because a lot of things are going on there and it may not be safe to have --8 9 for people to have guns. 10 On the other hand, if the purpose of 11 the Second Amendment is to allow people to 12 protect themselves, that's implicated when 13 you're in a high-crime area. It's not 14 implicated when you're out in the woods. 15 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I -- I think it 16 is implicated when you're out in the woods. 17 It's just a different set of problems. I mean, 18 you're --19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yeah, deer. 20 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- you're deserted there and you can't -- and law enforcement is 21 22 not available to come to your aid if something 23 does happen. But --24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, how many 25 muggings take place in the forest?

1 (Laughter.) 2 MS. UNDERWOOD: If we -- if we --3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: How many do you think? 4 MS. UNDERWOOD: I don't know, but I 5 6 will tell you that our licensing officer told us 7 that rapes and -- and robberies happen on the 8 deserted bike paths and that he has some concern about that. 9 10 So, I mean, I take your point that 11 there is a different risk in the city, but there 12 is also a different public safety consideration, and that is why the licensing officer is meant 13 14 to take into account not just the risk but also 15 the -- the population and the availability of 16 law enforcement and all these considerations. 17 I -- I won't say that the risk -- I 18 think it's not correct to characterize the risk 19 as atypical. The risk has to be specific to the 20 person, that what -- what the cases say is that you can't just say I'm afraid because -- based 21 2.2 on facts that are not specific to you. 23 But what Mr. Nash did was convince the licensing officer that his trip to a deserted 24 25 parking lot every night was sufficient to --

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What if it's 2 -- what if it's one of these, you know, crime waves, whether it's, you know, a celebrated 3 spate of murders carried out by a particular 4 person -- I don't know who that is -- you know, 5 6 the Son of Sam or somebody else? Is that a good 7 reason to -- is that -- is that a atypical reason? Is that a justification? Some random 8 9 person is going around shooting people. I'd 10 like to have a firearm even though I didn't feel 11 the need for one before? 12 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think that it 13 would have to be brought home to you in 14 particular, to your route, to your parking lot, 15 to your -- you know, your apartment building, 16 but something specific to you rather than it's 17 happening in the world at large. So --18 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I don't --19 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- that's -- that's 20 what meant by something non-speculative. 21 JUSTICE ALITO: Could I -- could I --2.2 could I explore what that means for ordinary 23 law-abiding citizens who feel they need to carry a firearm for self-defense? 24 25 So I want you to think about people

1 like this, people who work late at night in 2 Manhattan, it might be somebody who cleans 3 offices, it might be a doorman at an apartment, it might be a nurse or an orderly, it might be 4 somebody who washes dishes. 5 6 None of these people has a criminal 7 record. They're all law-abiding citizens. They 8 get off work around midnight, maybe even after 9 midnight. They have to commute home by subway, 10 maybe by bus. When they arrive at the subway 11 station or the bus stop, they have to walk some 12 distance through a high-crime area, and they apply for a license, and they say: Look, nobody 13 14 has told -- has said I am going to mug you next 15 Thursday. However, there have been a lot of 16 muggings in this area, and I am scared to death. 17 They do not get licenses, is that 18 right? 19 MS. UNDERWOOD: That is in general 20 right, yes. If there's nothing particular to 21 them, that's right. 2.2 JUSTICE ALITO: How is that consistent 23 with the core right to self-defense, which is 24 protected by the Second Amendment? 25 MS. UNDERWOOD: Because the core right

1 to self-defense doesn't -- as -- as this Court 2 said, doesn't allow for all to -- to be armed 3 for all possible confrontations in all places. JUSTICE ALITO: No, it doesn't, but 4 does it mean that there is the right to 5 self-defense for celebrities and state judges 6 7 and retired police officers but pretty much not for the kind of ordinary people who have a real, 8 9 felt need to carry a gun to protect themselves? 10 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, if that ordinary 11 person -- Mr. Nash had a -- a concern about his 12 parking lot, and he got a permit. I think the 13 extra problem in Manhattan is that you -- your 14 hypothetical quite appropriately entailed the 15 subways, entailed public transit, and there are 16 lots of people on the subways even at midnight, 17 as I can say from personal experience, and the particular specter of a lot of armed people in 18 19 an enclosed space --20 JUSTICE ALITO: There are -- there are a lot of armed people on the streets of New York 21 2.2 and in the subways late at night right now, 23 aren't there? 24 MS. UNDERWOOD: I don't know that 25 there are a lot of armed people.

69

1 JUSTICE ALITO: No? 2 MS. UNDERWOOD: I think there are 3 people --4 JUSTICE ALITO: How many -- how many 5 \_ \_ 6 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- there are people 7 with illegal guns if that's what you're --8 JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah, that's what I'm 9 talking about. 10 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- referring to. 11 Yeah. 12 JUSTICE ALITO: How many illegal guns were seized by the -- by the New York Police 13 14 Department last year? Do you -- do you have any 15 idea? 16 MS. UNDERWOOD: I don't have that number, but I'm sure there's a -- it's a 17 18 substantial number. 19 JUSTICE ALITO: But the people -- all 20 -- all these people with illegal guns, they're 21 on the subway --MS. UNDERWOOD: I don't -- I don't --2.2 23 JUSTICE ALITO: -- they're walking around the streets, but the ordinary 24 25 hard-working, law-abiding people I mentioned,

70

1 no, they can't be armed? MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think the 2 3 subways, when there are problems on the subways, are protected by the -- the -- the transit 4 police, is what happens, because the idea of 5 6 proliferating arms on the subway is precisely, I 7 think, what terrifies a great many people. The other point is that proliferating 8 9 guns in a populated area where there is law enforcement jeopardizes law enforcement because, 10 11 when they come, they now can't tell who's 12 shooting, and the -- the -- the -- the shooting proliferates and accelerates. And, in the end, 13 14 that's why there's a substantial law enforcement 15 interest in not having widespread carrying of 16 guns in densely --17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: On the standard of 18 particular to them, just to follow up on the 19 other questions, why isn't it good enough to say I live in a violent area and I want to be able 20 21 to defend myself? 2.2 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, what happens in 23 these license hearings is that a question is 24 asked: What -- what exactly do you mean? 25 Because it -- it's --

1 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, the 2 statistics. MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, it depends on 3 how large an area you describe. You could say, 4 I live in a violent area, and that could be all 5 of New York City, and -- or it could be your 6 7 particular neighborhood, and the closer it gets to your particular neighborhood, the better your 8 9 -- the better your claim is, or your block. Now I know that -- that one of the 10 11 Petitioners made an assertion about robberies on 12 his block. I also know that there was a hearing about that. And he evidently did not convince 13 14 the licensing officer that they were 15 sufficiently recent or relevant or couldn't be 16 dealt with adequately by his own premises 17 license, which he would be entitled to have without any -- any justification or proper cause 18 19 at all. 20 So what I know happens is that those claims are examined by a licensing officer. 21 2.2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: How --23 MS. UNDERWOOD: Now this gets to your -- to questions about discretion and whether 24 that's effectively handled. But --25

1 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, that's the 2 real concern, isn't it, with any constitutional right? If it's the discretion of an individual 3 officer, that seems inconsistent with an 4 objective constitutional right. 5 6 I mean, what if you're a runner and 7 you say I run a lot, and, as you correctly pointed out earlier, there are a lot of serious 8 9 violent crimes on running paths. It's a real 10 problem. Is that good enough? 11 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, probably. I 12 mean, that's -- that's the --13 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And I walk --14 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- counterpart to 15 Nash's -- Nash's claim, but --16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Probably, though 17 18 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- if that's the 19 question --20 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Yeah. 21 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- that -- that is not the way this case was tried. That's not the way 22 23 this claim was framed. And if the question is 24 does the system actually operate in the way that 25 we're describing, then this case should be

1 remanded for a hearing to determine whether it 2 does. 3 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And what's the problem with the shall issue regimes from your 4 perspective that exist in many other states, 5 6 including very populous states, you know, 7 Florida, Illinois? 8 MS. UNDERWOOD: The problem with the 9 shall issue regimes is that they multiply the 10 number of firearms that are being carried in 11 very densely populated places, and there is a 12 much higher risk -- with -- without assuming any ill intent on the part of the carriers of 13 14 weapons, they -- they greatly proliferate the 15 likelihood that mistakes will be made, fights 16 will break out --17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But --18 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- guns will be sold. 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- has that 20 happened in those states? I mean, can you make 21 a comparative judgment? Because it seems like 2.2 before you impose more restrictions on 23 individual citizens and infringe their 24 constitutional rights based on this theory, you 25 should have to show, well, in those other states

1 that have shall issue regimes, actually, there 2 is a lot more accidents, crime. And I don't see 3 any real evidence of that.

MS. UNDERWOOD: Yeah, I think the -there is a brief from the social scientists that addresses this, but this law has been in place since 19 -- for over a hundred years, starting when the -- at -- at a time when the -- when the law was not as well understood in this area as -- as -- as it is now.

And so it's a little bit anachronistic 11 12 to talk about before you put this law in place 13 you should have evidence. But I -- I believe 14 there is evidence about the success that New 15 York has had in keeping -- in -- in -- that is 16 -- in keeping gun violence down that is 17 attributable to the reduced number of guns that are being carried and particularly in these 18 19 densely populated places. So --

JUSTICE KAGAN: General, you know, one of the things that strikes me about this area is that, on the one hand, it -- it seems completely intuitive to me and I think to many people. I mean, if you think about Justice Thomas's questions about less populated areas, the rural

74

75

1 areas of New York versus the cities, I mean, it 2 seems completely intuitive that there should be 3 different gun regimes in New York than in Wyoming or that there should be different gun 4 regimes in New York City than in rural counties 5 6 upstate. 7 But it's a -- it's -- it's a hard thing to -- to match with our notion of 8 9 constitutional rights generally. 10 I mean, Mr. Clement makes a big point 11 of this in his brief about how we would never 12 really dream of doing that for the First 13 Amendment or other constitutional rights, allow 14 that level of local flexibility that you're 15 basically saying we should allow in this 16 context. 17 So I guess I just want to hear you say 18 why you think that is. You know, what 19 justification is there for allowing greater 20 flexibility here? 21 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think one 2.2 point is that there is a very wide range of sort 23 of distribution of rural and urban, different kinds of areas, not just across the whole state 24 25 but within counties.

1	And so delegating the decision-making
2	with appropriate criteria to somebody who is
3	local, which is what this is, these are local
4	judges, in most of the states, they're
5	they're judges, to make the relevant
6	fact-findings, to make the relevant inquiry.
7	This is a this is an interactive process in
8	which these individuals and others are told I'm
9	not going to lift the restrictions now, but if
10	you come back, if you have more to to say
11	about this, you know, feel free to come back.
12	It's an ongoing process. It's one
13	reason why there isn't so much appellate
14	litigation, is that it is is that that is
15	what happens.
16	So it's hard to see how you could
17	specify everything in advance and have it be a
18	clear on/off switch and still take adequate
19	account of, on the one hand, the need for
20	self-defense and, on the other hand, the strong
21	public safety concerns. And that's why I think
22	this system
23	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I don't think that
24	was Justice Kagan's question.
25	MS. UNDERWOOD: Oh, I'm sorry.

77

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It was on a 2 broader level, I believe. She can correct me if I'm wrong. The issue is no other constitutional 3 right do we condition on permitting different 4 jurisdictions to pass different regulations or 5 -- but do we have any other constitutional right 6 7 whose exercise in history has been as varied as 8 qun possession and use? MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think that's 9 -- that's right, both at the level -- the local 10 level and at the -- at the state-to-state level. 11 12 We have a strong history here of a range of responses from state to state that is based on 13 local conditions and local concerns. 14 15 And what we have within New York is an 16 effort to recognize we have the same -- almost 17 the same range of different kinds of spaces 18 within the state, and this is the effort to 19 accommodate that. 20 And if the history warrants taking 21 local conditions and local population density 2.2 and so forth into account, it's hard to think of 23 another way to -- to effectively do that. There is, after all, appellate review 24 25 available here, all the way to the central, you

78

1 know, to the highest state court. 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 3 counsel. Justice Thomas, anything further? 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: But there are --5 6 let's just take, for example, hunting. That's 7 something, I think, we can agree on. You can't 8 hunt in, I'm sure, with a gun in Central Park. 9 But I'm certain that there are places in upstate 10 New York or even in western New York where you 11 can. I -- I don't know. 12 MS. UNDERWOOD: Including Rensselaer 13 County, yes. 14 JUSTICE THOMAS: Yeah. So T think 15 what we're asking is, if you can have that 16 difference for the purpose of hunting 17 specifically, why can't you have a similar 18 tailored approach for Second Amendment based 19 upon, if it's density in New York City, if 20 that's a problem, the subway, then you have a 21 different set of concerns in upstate New York? MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, hunting permits 2.2 23 work for particular locations, for particular 24 areas, and -- but it's all one statewide regime, 25 I mean, and so too here licenses are handled

79

1 locally. It's not exactly the same, but it's 2 the same model that licensing of -- of -- of 3 handguns -- to carry a handgun for self-defense 4 is handled locally under a single set of 5 criteria but with reference to local conditions. 6 I think that's my answer to the question. 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 8 Breyer? 9 JUSTICE BREYER: Are we considering here just the upper state New York law? We're 10 11 not considering New York City, are we? 12 MS. UNDERWOOD: I don't see any reason 13 to be considering New York City. 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So it's not in 15 the case? 16 MS. UNDERWOOD: The Petitioners are 17 not from --18 JUSTICE BREYER: They're -- they're 19 not, okay. All right. 20 MS. UNDERWOOD: Yeah. 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, if you're trying 22 to get uniformity, doesn't the First Amendment -- isn't it filled with -- local 23 statutes use the word "may," parade permits, 24 25 event permits.

80

1 MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes. 2 JUSTICE BREYER: So it's not special? 3 MS. UNDERWOOD: Correct. In a -- in a 4 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Can -- can you think 6 of --7 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- in -- in the areas where permitting happens, which includes First 8 Amendment areas --9 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. 11 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- it could be 12 parades, it could be solicitation for charity, 13 there are various areas where First Amendment 14 activity is --15 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. So -- so 16 my -- my -- what I'm driving towards -- and I --17 and I thought also there is a brief here -- I 18 think it's the social scientists, I don't 19 remember the name of it -- which says in 20 instances where -- and they do it 21 statistically -- they are more liberal in 22 allowing people to carry concealed weapons who 23 are good character people and there is a greater 24 risk of -- of crime or harm, where that happens, 25 there are more deaths of innocent people.

What is that brief? I'd like to go 1 2 back and look at the figures. 3 MS. UNDERWOOD: Yeah, I believe it is 4 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Do you know? MS. UNDERWOOD: -- a brief of social 6 7 scientists, but --8 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. I'll find it. 9 10 MS. UNDERWOOD: Yeah. 11 JUSTICE BREYER: But do you think it's 12 useful to -- were we to have a trial, could we go into that? I mean, I think the -- the great 13 14 problem would be, fine, let's have some absolute 15 rules, rules, uniform national rules. I'm not 16 sure we have those in the First Amendment, but 17 assume we do. 18 What are they? What are those rules? 19 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think they 20 would end up being factors that have to be taken 21 into account because the range of situations is 2.2 so different both on the -- on the need side, on 23 the -- on the -- and on the -- on the -- on the 24 counter- -- on the public safety side. 25 So I think it's very hard. In fact,

82

1 that's one of the things that I think is hard 2 about the suggestion that a sensitive place 3 regime could replace a system like this. 4 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. If you had to guess on how many carry -- conceal carry 5 6 licenses are given in the area under 7 consideration, upstate New York or outside of New York City, in a given year or around -- any 8 9 way you want to put it, are they in the tens of 10 thousands? 11 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, in --12 JUSTICE BREYER: Are they in the five 13 14 MS. UNDERWOOD: So I -- I can't do it 15 statewide -- I have statewide estimates --16 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. Uh-huh. 17 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- not estimates, I 18 have permits I -- I -- for Rensselaer County and 19 for statewide. It would be possible to get more, but we don't -- I don't have that. 20 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Are they -- are they 22 rough? What are they? 23 MS. UNDERWOOD: So -- so -- and this is in Footnote 10 of our brief. In the two-year 24 period, 2018 to 2019, in -- in the state, there 25

83

1 were approximately 37,800 grants of --2 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. I get the idea 3 -- rough idea. And if, in fact, it were remanded, I guess we could go into that in more 4 5 depth? 6 MS. UNDERWOOD: That's correct. 7 That's correct. We have the grants. Of course, there are licenses that weren't granted in those 8 9 years that are still valid. So that doesn't 10 tell you how many -- how many licenses there are 11 out there altogether. The thing we had to 12 estimate was the grant rate because we don't 13 have application data. We had to -- we had to estimate that from other information. But we 14 15 have the permits. 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito? 17 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it correct that the 18 non-speculative standard applies throughout the 19 state? 20 MS. UNDERWOOD: It --21 JUSTICE ALITO: It applies equally in 2.2 New York City and in the most rural location in 23 upstate New York? MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, it has been --24 25 the law has been interpreted to mean that,

84

1 although the experience of granting licenses, 2 the experience with license applications is that 3 it is apparently more readily satisfied upstate. JUSTICE ALITO: So the -- the 4 individual officers have a degree of discretion? 5 6 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, yes, they are 7 asked -- like -- like judges on many issues, they are asked to take into account certain 8 9 factors. They can be reversed if they took the wrong factors into account or if they failed to 10 11 take the specified factors into account. 12 It's not unguided discretion, but it 13 is discretion --14 JUSTICE ALITO: What --15 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- in the sense that 16 JUSTICE ALITO: -- what -- what 17 guarantees, if any, are there in your regime 18 19 that a licensing officer is not taking into 20 account improper factors? 21 MS. UNDERWOOD: I mean, this is a 2.2 question about the judicial system generally. 23 If he correctly records the factors that he took 24 into account, they -- they write letters or 25 opinions which may or may not fully disclose --

1 one assumes will disclose what they thought was 2 important. When there's a -- there's a -- often 3 there are not just the papers, but there are the 4 -- if -- if he denies a license, he will say why. He has to say why. 5 6 JUSTICE ALITO: We've been presented 7 in your brief and all the other briefs in this case with an enormous amount of history, 8 citations to all sorts of statutes and other 9 10 sources. 11 Would you be willing to concede that 12 maybe you got a little bit overly enthusiastic in your summary of some of the historical 13 14 sources that you cited in your brief? 15 I'm going to give you an --16 MS. UNDERWOOD: We did our best to be 17 accurate --18 JUSTICE ALITO: -- I'm going to give 19 you -- well, I'm going to give you an --20 MS. UNDERWOOD: -- in reporting what we reported. I don't know what you have in 21 2.2 mind. 23 JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah. Well, I'm going 24 to give you an example, which is -- you know, 25 it's troubling. I can see how it would slip

86

1	through. I'm not accusing you personally of
2	anything.
3	But, on page 23, you say that in
4	founding-era America, legal reference guides
5	advised local officials to "arrest all such
6	persons as in your sight shall ride or go
7	armed." And this is a citation to John Haywood,
8	A Manual of the Laws of North Carolina, 1814.
9	So I looked at this manual, and what
10	it actually says is "you shall arrest all such
11	persons as in your sight shall ride or go armed
12	offensively." And somehow that word
13	"offensively" got dropped
14	MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, our
15	JUSTICE ALITO: from your brief.
16	MS. UNDERWOOD: I will
17	JUSTICE ALITO: Do you think that's an
18	irrelevant word?
19	MS. UNDERWOOD: I think it would have
20	been better to put it in and make an
21	explanation, but I do think it's an irrelevant
22	word because we have substantial authority for
23	the proposition that guns were deemed to be
24	offensive weapons.
25	And that's why we have this dispute

87

1	about whether saying I mean, there are
2	different ways of putting it, offensively or
3	with offensive weapons or to the terror of the
4	people. These either describe a separate
5	characterization a a separate feature that
б	not all weapons have that's my friend's
7	position on this or they describe the belief
8	that all such weapons are offensive.
9	JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I don't want to
10	belabor the point, but, of course, if any
11	possession of weapons outside the home was
12	illegal, then there would be no need to put in
13	the term "offensively," the inclusion of that
14	term.
15	MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, there are many
16	other weapon usually the there's a list
17	that's it's not in this particular
18	instruction, but there would be a list of
19	weapons. They were talking about much more than
20	guns, and it was guns that were said over and
21	over again to be offensive
22	JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Well,
23	thank you.
24	MS. UNDERWOOD: weapons.
25	JUSTICE ALITO: Thank you.

1 MS. UNDERWOOD: But that's the 2 explanation. I'm --3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 4 Sotomayor? Justice Kagan? 5 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: You -- you started a 7 thought and then you were taken off someplace else, so I just wanted to allow you to finish 8 the thought. You -- this -- what you said was 9 10 that there was a reason why the sensitive -- a 11 sensitive place regime cannot serve as a 12 replacement, and then you were not given an 13 opportunity to say why. So why? 14 MS. UNDERWOOD: Well, essentially, 15 because there are -- it -- it is -- it would be 16 very hard in the first instance and I think also 17 not very acceptable in the second -- to -- to my 18 adversaries, on the -- in the second instance, to specify in advance all the places that ought 19 properly to be understood as sensitive. 20 21 So it sounds like a very convenient 2.2 alternative, but, for example, we were talking 23 about Times Square on New Year's Eve. Times Square on -- when the theater district -- when 24 25 -- when -- when commerce is in full swing, Times

89

1 Square almost every night is 2 shoulder-to-shoulder people. 3 So then you -- you end up having a 4 very big difficulty in specifying what all the places are that have the characteristics that 5 should make them sensitive. It -- it's -- it 6 7 has a -- in principle, it has an attractive quality to it, but, in implementation, I think 8 it would be unsuccessful. 9 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 11 Gorsuch? 12 JUSTICE GORSUCH: No further questions. Thank you. 13 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 15 Kavanaugh? 16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: No. Thank you. 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 18 Barrett? 19 JUSTICE BARRETT: Yes, I have one. General Underwood, do you think Heller 20 was rightly decided? 21 2.2 MS. UNDERWOOD: I think there is a lot 23 of support historically and otherwise for it, so 24 I'm -- I'm quite content to treat it as rightly 25 decided. I think there was an argument on the

other side too, but that's true about many of - maybe most of the difficult questions that come
 before this Court. I have no quarrel with
 Heller.

JUSTICE BARRETT: Do you think that we 5 6 are bound by the way that we characterized 7 history in that opinion? You know, Mr. Clement 8 has pointed out that in some respects the way 9 that we treated, say, the Statute of Northampton 10 is different from the way that you argue that we 11 should interpret that and the follow-on, you 12 know, statutes, and the colonies, you argue that we should understand those and some other cases 13 14 differently than we did in Heller.

15Are we free to do that?16MS. UNDERWOOD: I think you are17because I think the Heller decision made very

18 clear that it was not deciding anything other
19 than the right to keep arms in the home.

In the course of arriving at that decision, it necessarily said a lot of other things that led to that decision, but I don't think they are controlling or they -- I think the opinion itself says we're not trying to do a full exegesis of the whole Second Amendment

90

91

1	right, and there's more to be there's more to
2	be done, and it would be odd and really
3	inconsistent with general practice to treat
4	every every sentence or every reference to a
5	historical source as controlling for all time as
6	distinguished from for the purposes for which it
7	was invoked.
8	JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you, General.
9	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
10	General.
11	Mr. Fletcher.
12	ORAL ARGUMENT OF BRIAN H. FLETCHER,
13	FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
14	SUPPORTING THE RESPONDENTS
15	MR. FLETCHER: Thank you, Mr. Chief
16	Justice, and may it please the Court:
17	New York's proper cause requirement is
18	consistent with the Second Amendment because it
19	is firmly grounded in our nation's history and
20	tradition of gun regulation.
21	As Justice Alito said, there's a lot
22	of history floating around this morning, and so
23	I want to be clear that, when I say that, I am
24	putting to the side all of the disputed bits
25	about the Statute of Northampton, about the

surety laws, and I'm putting to the side laws that restricted concealed carry but do not restrict open carry, and I am focusing on laws that either prohibited or required a showing of good cause to carry a concealable weapon, like a pistol.

7 Tennessee enacted one of those laws in 1821. Texas followed in 1871. New Mexico and 8 Arkansas likewise enacted such laws in the years 9 immediately after the ratification of the 10 11 Fourteenth Amendment. And over the decades that 12 followed, more than a dozen other states enacted other laws that were at least as restrictive as 13 14 New York's. Like my friends from New York, I 15 count about 20 laws in total that fit that 16 description.

17 Those laws remain in force in seven 18 states today, and more than 80 million Americans 19 live under their protection. They are, in 20 short, both traditional and common regulations. 21 I'd welcome the Court's questions or 2.2 I'm happy to continue. 23 JUSTICE THOMAS: How do we determine which states we should look to? And these are 24 -- and you -- you -- you focus a lot on western 25

93

1 states, but the west is different. 2 MR. FLETCHER: I agree, Justice Thomas, and I think there might be reason to be 3 skeptical about a tradition that's only 4 reflected in one state. 5 I think that's a problem for Mr. 6 7 Clement in relying on some of the cases exclusively from the antebellum south. But the 8 9 cases that we're relying on come from the south, 10 like the Tennessee, Arkansas, and Texas law I 11 described. West Virginia had a similar law, as 12 did Alabama, New York, Massachusetts, California, Hawaii. 13 14 The tradition that I am drawing on 15 spans two centuries going back to the Tennessee 16 law, spans 150 years when you broaden it out to 17 many states, and spans all regions or virtually 18 all regions of the country. 19 So I think that's the sort of 20 tradition that you can look to when defining a national tradition of gun regulation. 21 2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I mean, what 23 is the appropriate analysis? I mean, you sort 24 of -- we -- we, I think, generally don't 25 reinvent the wheel. I mean, the first thing I

94

1 would look to in answering this question is not 2 the Statute of Northampton, it's Heller, and Heller has gone through all this stuff and, 3 obviously, in a somewhat different context, 4 although that's part of the debate, self-defense 5 at home. You know, this is different. 6 7 But I still think that you have to begin with -- with Heller and its recognition 8 9 that the Second Amendment, you know, it -- it 10 has its own limitations, but it is to be 11 interpreted the same way you'd interpret other 12 provisions of the Constitution. 13 And I wonder what your best answer is 14 to the point that Mr. Clement makes in his 15 brief, which is that, for example, if you're 16 asserting a claim to confront the witnesses 17 against you under the Constitution, you don't have to say I've got a special reason, this is 18 19 why I think it's important to my -- my defense. 20 The Constitution gives you that right. 21 And if someone's going to take it away from you, 2.2 they have to justify it. You don't have to say 23 when you're looking for a permit to speak on a 24 street corner or whatever that, you know, your 25 speech is particularly important.

1 So why do you have to show in this 2 case, convince somebody, that you're entitled to 3 exercise your Second Amendment right? MR. FLETCHER: So let me start with 4 the general question and then get to that --5 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure. 7 MR. FLETCHER: -- specific point for Mr. Clement. 8 9 As to the general question about 10 Heller, we agree completely that the Court ought 11 to apply the method from Heller, which we, like 12 I think all the parties, take to be look to the text, history, and tradition of the Second 13 14 Amendment right, and we're applying that now to 15 a somewhat different issue with the benefit of 16 somewhat broader materials. 17 Now, as to the question about why you have to have a showing of need, I think the 18 problem with Mr. Clement's formulation is that 19 20 it assumes the conclusion. 21 If you had a right, the Second 2.2 Amendment conferred a right to carry around a 23 weapon for possible self-defense just because an 24 individual wants to have one available, then, 25 obviously, you couldn't take away that right or

96

1 make it contingent upon a discretionary 2 determination. 3 But the whole question is whether the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms 4 confers that right to have a pistol with you for 5 self-defense even absent a showing of 6 7 demonstrated need. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I'm not 8 sure that's right. I mean, you would --9 regardless of what the right is, it would be 10 11 surprising to have it depend upon a permit 12 system. You can say that the right is limited in a particular way, just as First Amendment 13 rights are limited, but the idea that you need a 14 15 license to exercise the right, I think, is 16 unusual in the context of the Bill of Rights. 17 MR. FLETCHER: So I -- I agree with 18 that, but I think I heard even Mr. Clement in 19 response to a question from Justice Kavanaugh say he doesn't have a guarrel with licensing 20 21 regimes in general. 2.2 And I think what that is one illustration of is that the Second Amendment has 23 a distinct history and tradition and that the 24 25 way to be faithful to the Second Amendment is to

97

1	be faithful to that history and tradition and
2	not to draw analogies to other rights with
3	with their own histories and traditions.
4	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, there's
5	licensing and there's licensing. Maybe it's one
6	thing to say we need to check, make sure you
7	don't have a criminal record, make sure that
8	all the
9	MR. FLETCHER: Right.
10	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: all the
11	other things you can check on, but not that we
12	assume you don't have a right to exercise your
13	your
14	MR. FLETCHER: So I guess
15	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's hard to
16	say it without saying it, exercise your right
17	under the Second Amendment, and you've got to
18	show us that that you do.
19	MR. FLETCHER: So we would ask that
20	question by looking to the history and tradition
21	of the Second Amendment. And in Tennessee, in
22	1821, you couldn't carry a pistol at all. In
23	Texas, in 1871, you had to have a showing of
24	need if you were going to carry a pistol.
25	And that showing of need was actually

98

1 much less favorable than the New York regime. 2 In Texas, in West Virginia, and in Alabama, in those laws that we cite, need to carry a firearm 3 was a need that you had to show when you were 4 prosecuted for violating the law. 5 It was 6 essentially a self-defense requirement. And you 7 had to persuade a jury in a criminal trial that 8 you had an immediate pressing need to be 9 carrying the gun when you were carrying it. 10 The laws, of which New York's is one 11 but by no means the only example that began to 12 become more prevalent in the 20th Century, said we're going to make that determination of need 13 14 ex ante. We're going to require a showing of 15 good cause. 16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Can --17 MR. FLETCHER: New York has done that 18 for a century. I'm sorry, Justice Kavanaugh. 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: This might be a level of generality issue, but I think Mr. 20 21 Clement responded to what -- some of what you're 2.2 saying on history and tradition by saying you 23 have to look at carry laws more generally. And 24 there was open carry traditions in a lot of 25 those states.

1 And so I think he followed up by 2 saying so open carry is one option. Shall carry 3 permit regimes for concealed carry, another option. But what you can't have is no open 4 carry and simply a may issue discretionary 5 regime that will, in practice, he says, limit 6 7 the right. So can you respond to that? 8 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. I meant to be 9 taking that into account in the history --10 11 account of history that I'm giving you. So the 12 Tennessee laws refer specifically to carry 13 publicly or privately. Texas, the same story. 14 If I were here defending a regime that 15 just prohibited concealed carry and allowed open 16 carry, I would have many, many, many more 17 states. But I'm focused on just this type of 18 law, and even there, our submission is there's a 19 substantial history and tradition of that kind of regulation. It's not the sort of outlier 20 21 that the Court confronted in Heller and 2.2 McDonald. 23 And if I -- I could speak to -- Mr. Clement has spoken some about the case law from 24 25 the 19th Century and has suggested that laws

100

1 like these were struck down. And with all 2 respect to my friend, that's not correct. 3 The cases that he is relying on are primarily dicta. The two cases he has that 4 actually struck down laws -- or, I'm sorry, the 5 6 three cases that he has that actually struck 7 down laws are the Nunn decision from Georgia, which struck down a law that was -- banned even 8 9 the keeping of pistols. The Court did say in 10 dicta that open carry was required, but that would -- that would -- the law was actually much 11 12 more restrictive than that. 13 The Andrews case that he relies on and 14 that Heller relies on as well is actually more 15 helpful to us because the Court upheld a 16 prohibition on the carrying of belt or pocket 17 pistols, and it prohibited a ban on revolvers 18 only because the Court construed that ban to be 19 so broad that it would prohibit even carrying it 20 around your house. 21 And in the very next sentence, the 2.2 Court said: But, of course, the legislature, if 23 it wanted to, could regulate the carrying of 24 that firearm publicly. 25 And then, when you turn to laws like

101

1	the ones that we have here, which include some
2	sort of self-defense exception, either ex-ante
3	or ex-post, the trend in the cases is in favor
4	of of upholding their constitutionality.
5	We've cited about six decisions from
б	the 1800s and the early 1900s, including the
7	Duke and English cases from Texas, the Isaiah
8	case from Alabama, the Haley and Fife cases from
9	Arkansas, and the Workman case from West
10	Virginia, all of which upheld those laws.
11	And Mr. Clement's answer to those
12	decisions is that they rested on the erroneous
13	understanding that the Second Amendment or its
14	state equivalents protected only the right to
15	use arms in the militia.
16	But that is not what those cases say.
17	They do not stop by saying that the defendants
18	were not militiamen and so had no rights. The
19	Texas cases in particular, in Duke and English,
20	say that the law makes all necessary allowances
21	for self-defense by including the type of of
22	exception we described earlier.
23	And so our submission is that that
24	body of case law that New York law carries
25	forward is part of our nation's history and

102

tradition of firearms regulation and that New
 York ought to be allowed to continue to make the
 choice that it has made.

Now we understand, and there's force 4 to Mr. Clement's argument, that other states 5 have made other choices. Justice Alito made 6 7 powerful points about how some individuals have a powerful claim to have a gun for self-defense. 8 9 But the question before the Court is, of all of 10 the different approaches to these difficult 11 issues that states and other jurisdictions have 12 taken over our nation's history, is this one that the Second Amendment takes off the table? 13

And our submission is that when it's 14 15 an option that New York has and other states 16 have had for a century or more and that traces 17 as far back as some of the laws that I've been 18 discussing into our nation's history, that's an 19 option that is consistent with our tradition of 20 gun regulation and is an option that ought to be available to the states. 21

22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
23 Thomas?
24 JUSTICE THOMAS: No.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

103

1 Breyer, any? 2 Justice Alito? 3 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it correct that the Sullivan Law was an innovation when it was 4 5 adopted? 6 MR. FLETCHER: It was relatively new. 7 I think the Sullivan Law was 1911. The licensing requirement at issue here was 1913. I 8 9 think Massachusetts had done something similar 10 in 1906. Hawaii did its as well in 1913. And 11 we view those as lineal descendents and, in 12 fact, improvements upon the sort of Texas laws which made you prove self-defense at the back 13 14 end rather than giving you a chance to 15 demonstrate it up front. 16 JUSTICE ALITO: There's a -- there's a 17 debate about the -- the impetus for the 18 enactment of the Sullivan Law, is there not? 19 There's -- there are those who argue, and they 20 cite -- they cite support for this 21 interpretation -- that a major reason for the 2.2 enactment of the Sullivan Law was the belief 23 that certain disfavored groups, members of labor unions, Blacks and Italians, were carrying guns 24 25 and they were dangerous people and they wanted

1 them disarmed.

2 MR. FLETCHER: There have been those 3 arguments made, and there's certainly evidence 4 that those sentiments existed in New York at the 5 time. I have not seen things that persuade me 6 that those were the impetus for the Sullivan 7 Law.

And to the extent that that was a 8 9 question, I think the fact that similar laws have been enacted and maintained not just in New 10 11 York and not just at that moment in time but in 12 a number of different states throughout the 13 country throughout large swaths of our nation's 14 history is -- is good reason to believe that 15 this is not just prejudice, that this is a 16 legitimate regulation.

17 JUSTICE ALITO: I think one more 18 question about the major point that you've made 19 this morning, which is that there are scattered 20 statutes, local ordinances, judicial decisions 21 from various points in the 19th Century 2.2 extending into the 20th Century, the early 20th 23 Century, with the Sullivan Law and the other laws that you mentioned that are inconsistent 24 25 with Mr. Clement's argument.

1 But what does that show about the 2 original understanding of the right that's 3 protected by the Second Amendment? Would -would we be receptive to arguments like that if 4 we were interpreting, let's say, the First 5 Amendment or the Confrontation Clause of the 6 7 Sixth Amendment? Would we say, well, you know, you can find a lot of state laws and state court 8 decisions from the late -- from the 19th 9 Century, early 20th Century, that are 10 11 inconsistent with a claim that is made based on 12 the original meaning of -- of a provision of the Bill of Rights, and that shows that that's what 13 14 that was understood to mean at the time? 15 MR. FLETCHER: Well, Justice Alito, I 16 think Heller was receptive to those types of 17 arguments and conducted a review of history 18 through the 20th Century and rightly so, I 19 think. It's not unusual to look to the nation's 20 tradition to understand the meaning of constitutional rights. I think that's 21 2.2 especially appropriate here for a couple of 23 reasons. 24 One is that I think everyone agrees 25 that the right codified in the Second Amendment

1	is a right that is subject to some reasonable
2	regulations, and in deciding what regulations
3	are reasonable, we think the fact that they've
4	been prevalent throughout our history is a good
5	sign that they are. We think that's especially
6	so because of a point that this Court made in
7	McDonald, which is that throughout the nation's
8	history, this is a right that's been recognized
9	and codified in state constitutions as well.
10	It's not something that people were not aware
11	of.
12	And so the fact that this type of
13	regulation coexisted for so long with that
14	understanding, we think, is a particularly
15	strong indication of its consistency.
16	JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Heller and
17	and I will stop after this Heller cited
18	decisions going into the 19th Century as
19	confirmation of what it had already concluded
20	based on text and history at or before the time
21	of the adoption of the Second Amendment and said
22	this is what it was understood to mean at the
23	time and it's further evidence that this is what
24	this right was understood to mean because it
25	kept being reaffirmed by decisions that came

1 after. 2 But I find it hard to understand how 3 later decisions and statutes, particularly when you start to get into the late 19th Century and 4 the early 20th Century, can be used as a 5 substitute for evidence about what the right was 6 understood to mean in 1791 or 1868 if you think 7 that's the relevant date. 8 9 MR. FLETCHER: So you're certainly 10 right about the way that Heller looked to decisions to -- on its core holding of does the 11 12 Second Amendment protect only a militia-focused 13 right or an individual right. 14 But, when Heller turned to the 15 question presented here, which is what sorts of 16 regulations are consistent with the right that 17 it was recognizing, I think it's fairly read to 18 extend the analysis into the 20th Century for 19 the reason that Justice Kagan identified, that 20 it validated as presumptively lawful 21 felon-in-possession requirements, bans on the 2.2 possession of firearms by the mentally ill that 23 date to much later than the 19th Century. 24 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you. 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

1 Sotomayor? 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What do you do 3 with Heller and its recognition of categories of exclusion? Mentally ill, felons, domestic 4 violence, presumably, although it didn't mention 5 6 it. Can any of those pass strict scrutiny on 7 their face? MR. FLETCHER: I don't know. I -- I 8 9 think what -- the lesson from Heller, though, is 10 that you don't need to apply strict scrutiny or 11 any other level of scrutiny because those are 12 the types of regulations that are validated by 13 our nation's history and tradition of gun 14 regulations. And so we would take that lesson 15 from Heller as exemplifying the proper mode of 16 analysis and apply it here as well. 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what do you do 18 with the -- the view of your -- Mr. Clement's 19 view that the essence that Heller says is that 20 you do have some sort of right outside of the 21 home to guns for self-defense? So how do you 2.2 finish what you think that right is or how do 23 you describe it? 24 MR. FLETCHER: So we don't quarrel at 25 all with the notion that the Second Amendment

109

1 has something to say outside the home. Our submission is just that to understand how it 2 3 applies outside the home, one has to look to the history and tradition of regulations. 4 And what we've tried to argue in our 5 6 brief and this morning is that there is a 7 substantial history and tradition of the regulation of the public carrying of concealable 8 9 weapons, including pistols, because of the 10 dangers that they present and that regulations 11 of that type, of which New York's is one, are 12 consistent with the right recognized in the 13 Second Amendment. 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How about -- let's 15 go to the extreme. There's no exception for 16 good cause, there's no exception for long -- no 17 exceptions whatsoever, no rifles for hunting, no -- nothing. Outside the home, you can't possess 18 19 any kind of ammunition-driven weapon. 20 MR. FLETCHER: Yeah. 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Where would we be 2.2 with that? 23 MR. FLETCHER: I think that is an -- a 24 type of regulation that fortunately no state has today and that I don't think there's any 25

110

1 historical precedent for. I don't think you 2 could make this sort of argument --3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So --MR. FLETCHER: -- for that sort of 4 5 law. 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- so give me the 7 limiting principle of what regulations and how far they can go that don't achieve that. 8 9 MR. FLETCHER: Right. So I think, like Mr. Clement, it's -- it's going to be 10 11 difficult for me to give you definitive answers 12 because, in our view, this is an inquiry that 13 has to be driven by history and tradition, and 14 that requires a careful examination of history 15 and tradition. 16 But let me give you a couple of 17 guideposts. I think there is a tradition of 18 laws like the Tennessee law that I alluded to 19 earlier and others that prohibit the carrying of 20 concealable weapons without any exception for 21 self-defense or -- or any good cause exception 2.2 like the one that you have in the New York law. 23 So we think, and -- and Judge Bybee for the en banc Ninth Circuit concluded after an 24 25 exhaustive historical analysis, that those types

111

1 of regulations are consistent with the Second 2 Amendment. But I acknowledge that that's a tougher historical case to make than the case 3 that you can make with respect to laws like New 4 York's that include self-defense exceptions. 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Thank you. 6 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan? JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Fletcher, I -- I 8 9 think I probably should have asked General 10 Underwood this question, but I forgot, so here 11 you are. 12 And the United States also has law enforcement officers, even though they operate 13 14 differently from sort of the cop on the beat, 15 but I'm just wondering if there is anything that 16 you can say, any evidence that you can share, 17 are there studies, is there information about how this actually affects how getting rid of --18 19 of this regime in the way that Mr. Clement would want this Court to do, how it affects policing, 20 how it affects the ability of police officers to 21 2.2 keep the streets safe and -- and how it affects 23 their own safety? Is there information about that? 24 Is 25 there -- are there studies?

1 MR. FLETCHER: There are. I think the 2 -- the best place I can point you to for studies 3 are some of the amicus briefs, including the social scientists' brief that Justice Breyer 4 discussed with my colleague, General Underwood. 5 In terms of sort of the United States' 6 7 perspective specifically, I don't have any sort of quantifiable statistics. What I can tell you 8 is that we do share the concern behind the New 9 York law, which is the concern that having more 10 guns on the street does escalate -- does 11 12 complicate and increase the danger inherent in citizen/law enforcement encounters. We do think 13 14 that's a real concern and it's one of a number 15 of real concerns that are reflected in the law 16 that New York has. 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, do police 18 officers stop people in the same way in --19 notwithstanding what -- whether there are -whether it's a -- a New York regime or -- or a 20 21 more permissive regime? 2.2 MR. FLETCHER: I -- you know, I 23 apologize, I don't have studies on that. All 24 that I can give you is my own sense that if I 25 were a police officer, I would certainly think

113

1	prominently in my mind about what are the odds
2	that the person that I'm stopping or approaching
3	in the middle of the highway, you know, late at
4	night is likely to be armed. And the licensing
5	regime in the state is going to be an important
б	factor in the risk that that's the situation.
7	JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
9	Gorsuch?
10	JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Fletcher, in
11	in your brief, you you suggest that the New
12	York law passes both the history text and
13	history approach and and intermediate
14	scrutiny should we apply that.
15	And I guess I'd like to pose the same
16	question to you that I did to Mr. Clement, and
17	that is, what is the appropriate test between
18	those two or others?
19	The lower courts seem very divided
20	over how to approach Second Amendment questions.
21	Some apply the text and history approach to the
22	challenge before them. Others say, yes, text
23	and history is appropriate, but we're not going
24	to extend the Heller right until and unless the
25	Court first does so through its own text and

1 history analysis. We're not going to do it 2 ourselves. Others have applied intermediate 3 scrutiny. Others have applied what might be described as a watered down version of immediate 4 -- intermediate scrutiny. And some have 5 6 suggested strict scrutiny or some modification 7 of it should apply. I -- I -- I'd just be grateful for 8 9 your thoughts. 10 MR. FLETCHER: I appreciate the 11 question, Justice Gorsuch, and I think our view 12 is that courts ought to follow what we 13 understand to be the lesson from Heller, which 14 is that you start with text, history, and 15 tradition, and when those sources provide you an 16 answer one way or the other, either that the law 17 is valid or that it's invalid, you end there and that's the end of the inquiry. 18 19 We take that approach to be consistent 20 with the approach described by Justice Kavanaugh in his dissent in Heller II. I think the one 21 2.2 place where we might differ from him a little 23 bit is that we think there may come a point, 24 especially as -- when courts confront new 25 regulations, where history gives out, where it's

115

1 not possible to draw those historical analogies 2 anymore. 3 And at that point, our suggestion is that the way to be faithful to history and 4 tradition is to look to the broader method that 5 you find in that history and tradition. And the 6 7 method that we find in a half dozen or so cases from the mid-1800s that we cite is to ask 8 whether the law is a reasonable regulation. 9 And as we explained in our brief, we think that the 10 11 modern judicial method that is most faithful to 12 that approach is a form of intermediate 13 scrutiny. 14 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 16 Kavanaugh. 17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 18 Mr. Fletcher, appreciate your focus on 19 history and tradition and want to explore that 20 and get your thoughts on one thing. As you say, 21 there is a history and tradition, and it exists 2.2 to the present day, of permitting regimes, and 23 so the issue before us will have effects, but it's a narrow legal issue of "shall issue" 24 25 versus "may issue." And it'll have substantial

116

1 effects, but there is a tradition of permitting 2 regimes. 3 But how do we think about, do you think, kind of a separate tradition that the 4 Chief Justice and others have referred to in our 5 constitutional law of concern about too much 6 7 discretion in exercise of authority over constitutional rights and that too much 8 discretion can lead to all sorts of problems, as 9 our history shows? 10 11 So you've got the tradition of 12 permitting, but how -- how do we think about, 13 fold in, just a general concern about too much discretion? 14 15 MR. FLETCHER: So I -- I appreciate 16 that concern, and I think here's how I would 17 think about it. 18 First, I would say you -- there is a 19 substantial history of discretion in this 20 particular area, starting out with juries in the 21 Texas and West Virginia type regimes that I 2.2 talked about now moving into permitting 23 officers. And I think that's inherent in any 24 system if you say a permit is going to be 25 conditioned upon a showing that you have a

117

1 genuine, specific need for self-defense, then 2 someone's got to make the decision about whether 3 or not you've made that showing. New York has decided it's best to do that by delegating the 4 authority to local officers, local judges, who 5 are most familiar with local conditions. 6 7 I do appreciate the concern about discretion, and I think, if the Court were to 8 9 conclude that some sort of good cause sort of 10 self-defense-based exception is -- is required, 11 then the Court might conclude that some more 12 predictable or stringent or prescriptive quidelines are required, that you can't have 13 that much discretion if the Court concludes that 14 15 that sort of good cause exception is actually 16 constitutionally required. 17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 18 Appreciate it. 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 20 Barrett? 21 JUSTICE BARRETT: No. 2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 23 counsel. 24 Rebuttal, Mr. Clement? 25

5

1	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
3	MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Mr. Chief
4	Justice. Just a few quick points in rebuttal.
5	First of all, I want to highlight that
6	when the government was asked for its interest
7	behind this permitting regime, it said that if
8	it went to a different regime, it would multiply
9	the number of firearms in circulation.
10	In a country with the Second Amendment
11	as a fundamental right, simply having more
12	firearms cannot be a problem and can't be a
13	government interest just to put a cap on the
14	the number of firearms.
15	And that just underscores how
16	completely non-tailored this law is. It might
17	be well tailored to keeping the number of
18	handguns down, but it's not well tailored to
19	identifying people who pose a particular risk or
20	anything else because it deprives a typical New
21	Yorker of their right to carry for self-defense.
22	The second point I want to make is
23	just about population density. There's been a
24	lot of discussion about that, but it's very much
25	a double-edged sword because, when there's

population density, that's an awful lot of people who all have Second Amendment rights, and so you can't just simply say we're not going to have Second Amendment rights in the areas where there's dense population.

6 And I would say, here, experience does 7 tell you a lot. By my count, seven of the 10 8 largest cities in America, measured by 9 population, are in shall issue jurisdictions. 10 And I've mentioned them, cities like Phoenix, 11 Chicago, Houston. These are large cities where 12 it hasn't been a problem.

13 If you want to look at the empirical 14 evidence -- and I know, Justice Breyer, you 15 asked about this -- please also look at the 16 English brief on the top side because it's a 17 very rigorous statistical analysis that shows 18 that, as a matter of actually doing statistics 19 right, there's no difference here, and what -the only difference you really see is that 20 people who have a handgun for self-defense end 21 2.2 up with a better outcome. They're not shot. 23 They're -- they're not made victims. But the English brief, I think, is really worth taking a 24 25 look at.

1 I want to say a quick word just about 2 permitting. There may be limiting permitting in 3 other contexts, like parade permitting, but I'm not aware of any context whatsoever where, in 4 order to get a permit, you have to show that you 5 have a particularly good need to exercise your 6 7 constitutional right. And I think that is the absolute central defect with New York's regime 8 9 here.

I want to say a quick word about the 10 11 history that my friend from the Solicitor 12 General's Office emphasized. It's telling that 13 his first example is Tennessee. If you look at 14 the Heller decision, Tennessee is a problematic 15 state in terms of its history. The court gave -- that Tennessee Supreme Court first came out 16 17 with the Aymette decision, which the majority opinion in Heller criticized. It then came out 18 19 with the Simpson decision and the Andrews 20 decision, both of which protected Second Amendment rights, and the majority opinion in 21 2.2 Heller praised those decisions at the same time 23 that it criticized Aymette. So, to the extent 24 there was an 1821 statute, I would put it in the 25 same box as the Aymette decision.

1	Texas, which is their next example and
2	their only other 19th Century example if I heard
3	my friend correctly, is even more problematic to
4	rely on because Texas had a specific
5	constitutional amendment that was similar to the
6	English Bill of Rights but differed from the
7	Second Amendment, that allowed the legislature
8	to put specific restrictions on the right. So
9	relying on 1871 Texas is highly problematic from
10	a historical perspective.
11	And that just leaves them with 20th
12	Century examples, which we concede, but, by that
13	point, the collective rights view of the Second
14	Amendment was everywhere.
15	Let me finish just by saying there's
16	absolutely no need for a remand here. There are
17	interesting statistics that could be developed,
18	but none of them are relevant to the two central
19	defects in this regime.
20	First, that in order to exercise a
21	constitutional right that New York is willing to
22	concede extends outside the home, you have to
23	show that you have an atypical need to exercise
24	the right that distinguishes you from the
25	general community. That describes a privilege.

It does not describe a constitutional right.
 That is a sufficient basis to invalidate the
 law.

But then there's the discretion, and 4 the discretion here has real-world costs. 5 Τf you want to look at it, look at the amicus brief 6 7 in our support by the Bronx Public Defenders and other public defenders. The cost of this kind 8 9 of discretion is that people are charged with 10 violent crimes even though they have no private 11 -- no prior record just because they are trying 12 to exercise their constitutional right to self-defense. 13

And if you want to know how this impacts policing, one of the ways essentially making everybody in New York City a presumptive person who is unlawfully carrying is that leads to stopping and frisking everybody.

19 The framers, I think, had a different 20 vision of the Fourth Amendment and the Second 21 Amendment, and that is that individuals get to 22 make their decision about whether or not they 23 want to carry a firearm outside the home for 24 self-defense.

25 In 43 states, people are able to do

123

1	that. It has not it doesn't mean everybody
2	ends up carrying, and it doesn't mean that those
3	43 states have any more problems with violent
4	crimes or anything else than the six or seven
5	jurisdictions that don't honor the text, the
6	history of the Second Amendment, and Heller.
7	Thank you, Your Honors.
8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
9	counsel. The case is submitted.
10	(Whereupon, at 11:58 a.m., the case
11	was submitted.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Official		
1	90,000 [1] 11:15	agrees [2] 55:16 105:24	anachronistic [1] 74:11	18 <b>113</b> :13,20,21 <b>114</b> :19,20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>91</b> <sup>[1]</sup> <b>3:</b> 11	aid [1] 64:22	analog [3] 23:6 36:11 41:	<b>115:</b> 12
<b>10</b> [2] <b>82:</b> 24 <b>119:</b> 7	<b>95</b> <sup>[2]</sup> <b>12:</b> 22 <b>13:</b> 3	<b>Air</b> [1] <b>11:</b> 6	23	approaches [2] 58:10 102:
<b>10,000</b> [2] <b>11</b> :16 <b>30</b> :13	<b>955</b> [1] <b>37</b> :17	<b>AL</b> [2] 1:4,9	analogies [3] 57:3 97:2	10
<b>10:00</b> [2] <b>1:</b> 18 <b>4:</b> 2	Α	Alabama [4] 18:19 93:12	<b>115</b> :1	approaching [1] 113:2
100 [1] 52:4		<b>98</b> :2 <b>101</b> :8	analogize [1] 6:18	appropriate [8] 10:21 46:
<b>11:58</b> [1] <b>123:</b> 10	a.m [3] 1:18 4:2 123:10	Albany [1] 61:4	analogous [3] 6:22 21:24	14,22 <b>76:</b> 2 <b>93:</b> 23 <b>105:</b> 22
<b>118</b> [1] <b>3</b> :14	ability [3] 26:18 59:12 111:	alcohol [4] 24:25 25:4,13,	<b>55:</b> 21	<b>113:</b> 17,23
<b>13</b> [2] <b>54</b> :21 <b>55</b> :1	21	18	analogs <sup>[4]</sup> 7:6 10:22 25:	appropriately [1] 68:14
<b>1315</b> [1] <b>18</b> :11	able [5] 25:16 30:2 46:1 70:	Alicea's [1] 53:12	25 <b>55:</b> 18	approval [2] 9:10 22:5
14th [1] 58:1	20 <b>122</b> :25	ALITO [40] 32:2,5,8 33:2,14,		approximately [1] 83:1
<b>150</b> [1] <b>93</b> :16	above-entitled [1] 1:16	17 <b>37:</b> 23 <b>66:</b> 21 <b>67:</b> 22 <b>68:</b> 4,	23,24 <b>43</b> :14 <b>44</b> :2 <b>56</b> :10 <b>57</b> :	area [18] 55:3 60:3,5,21 61:
<b>153,000</b> [1] <b>37:</b> 16	abridging [1] 53:2	20 69:1,4,8,12,19,23 83:16,	4	2,18 63:15 64:13 67:12,16
<b>1791</b> [1] <b>107:</b> 7	absent [1] 96:6	17,21 <b>84:</b> 4,14,17 <b>85:</b> 6,18,	analysis [13] 10:16 21:18	<b>70:</b> 9,20 <b>71:</b> 4,5 <b>74:</b> 9,21 <b>82:</b>
<b>1800s</b> <sup>[2]</sup> <b>58</b> :9 <b>101</b> :6	absolute [2] 81:14 120:8	23 86:15,17 87:9,22,25 91:	<b>27:</b> 21,25 <b>45:</b> 6 <b>46:</b> 25 <b>52:</b> 13	6 <b>116</b> :20
<b>1814</b> [1] <b>86:</b> 8	absolutely [3] 10:16 52:23	21 102:6 103:2,3,16 104:	<b>93</b> :23 <b>107</b> :18 <b>108</b> :16 <b>110</b> :	areas [19] 53:14 54:11 59:4
<b>1821</b> [3] <b>92</b> :8 <b>97</b> :22 <b>120</b> :24	<b>121</b> :16	17 <b>105</b> :15 <b>106</b> :16 <b>107</b> :24	25 <b>114</b> :1 <b>119</b> :17	60:1,14 61:7,13,24 62:5,13
<b>1868</b> [1] <b>107:</b> 7	abuse [1] 50:20	allow [10] 17:7 20:3 21:5	analyze [4] 6:10 8:4 32:14	63:23 74:25 75:1,24 78:24
<b>1871</b> <sup>[4]</sup> <b>10</b> :16 <b>92</b> :8 <b>97</b> :23	accelerates [1] 70:13	46:24 50:6 64:11 68:2 75:	33:1	80:7,9,13 119:4
121:9	acceptable [1] 88:17	13,15 <b>88:</b> 8	analyzed [1] 42:15	aren't [1] 68:23
<b>19</b> [1] <b>74</b> :7	access [7] 26:4,8 27:5,22	allowances [1] 101:20	analyzing [2] 32:25 33:3	argue [4] 90:10,12 103:19
<b>1900s</b> [2] <b>58:</b> 21 <b>101:</b> 6	<b>29</b> :11,13 <b>30</b> :9	allowed [13] 20:1 24:7 26:	Andrews [2] 100:13 120:	<b>109:</b> 5
<b>1906</b> [1] <b>103</b> :10	accidents [1] 74:2	20,21 <b>40:</b> 6 <b>43:</b> 6 <b>44:</b> 11,12	19	argument [15] 1:17 3:2,5,8,
<b>1911</b> [1] <b>103:</b> 7	accommodate [2] 63:20	50:24 57:9 99:15 102:2	Anglo-American [1] 17:	12 <b>4:</b> 5,9 <b>8:</b> 14 <b>57:</b> 19 <b>89:</b> 25
<b>1913</b> <sup>[2]</sup> <b>103:</b> 8,10	<b>77</b> :19	<b>121:</b> 7	11	91:12 102:5 104:25 110:2
<b>1920s</b> [3] <b>9:</b> 12,15 <b>41:</b> 15	account [13] 60:18 61:9 65:	allowing [3] 56:10 75:19	angry [1] 34:23	<b>118</b> :1
<b>19th</b> [8] <b>17</b> :24 <b>99</b> :25 <b>104</b> :21	14 <b>76:</b> 19 <b>77:</b> 22 <b>81:</b> 21 <b>84:</b> 8,	<b>80</b> :22	animal [1] 26:15	arguments [4] 52:19 104:
<b>105</b> :9 <b>106</b> :18 <b>107</b> :4,23	10,11,20,24 <b>99:</b> 10,11	allows [1] 20:8	another [6] 18:2 41:20 59:	3 <b>105:</b> 4,17
<b>121</b> :2	accuracy [1] 52:6	alluded [1] 110:18	3 60:24 77:23 99:3	arise [1] 8:20
2	accurate [5] 50:15,16 52:3,	almost [3] 43:4 77:16 89:1	answer [7] 24:10 32:24 51:	arises [1] 62:4
<b>20</b> [2] <b>59</b> :2 <b>92</b> :15	5 <b>85:</b> 17	already [4] 6:2 47:6 54:19	25 <b>79</b> :6 <b>94</b> :13 <b>101</b> :11 <b>114</b> :	Arkansas [3] 92:9 93:10
<b>20-843</b> [1] <b>4:</b> 6	accusing <sup>[1]</sup> 86:1	<b>106</b> :19	16	<b>101</b> :9
<b>2018</b> [1] <b>82:</b> 25	achieve [1] 110:8	alternative [2] 32:16 88:22	answered [1] 12:9	armed [9] 58:8 68:2,18,21,
<b>2019</b> [1] <b>82:</b> 25	acknowledge [1] 111:2	although [3] 84:1 94:5 108:	answering [1] 94:1	25 <b>70:</b> 1 <b>86:</b> 7,11 <b>113:</b> 4
<b>2013</b> [1] <b>1</b> :14	across [1] 75:24	5	answers [1] 110:11	arms [19] 4:14 9:8 13:12 18:
<b>20th</b> [9] <b>59:2 98:12 104:22</b> ,	action [2] 5:23 30:10	altogether [2] 58:20 83:11	ante [1] 98:14	13,14,17 <b>19:</b> 1,5,7 <b>22:</b> 5,9
22 <b>105</b> :10,18 <b>107</b> :5,18 <b>121</b> :	activities [1] 38:3	ambiguous [1] 59:20	antebellum [1] 93:8	27:19 32:10 40:17 58:2 70:
11	activity [6] 4:24 5:14 33:7	Amendment [77] 4:13 6:	anybody [5] 16:20 20:19	6 <b>90</b> :19 <b>96</b> :4 <b>101</b> :15
<b>23</b> [1] <b>86:</b> 3	<b>56</b> :24 <b>57</b> :2 <b>80</b> :14	12 <b>7</b> :15,19 <b>8</b> :8 <b>9</b> :4,4 <b>14</b> :5	<b>21</b> :9 <b>29</b> :1 <b>49</b> :8	arose [1] 8:13
	actual [1] 49:7	22:9 23:16 27:1 37:19 42:	anyway [1] 30:8	around [13] 15:3 17:3 29:2
3	actually [17] 12:8 41:10 42:	22 43:1 44:20 51:13 52:25	anyways [1] 29:16	<b>35</b> :4 <b>55</b> :24 <b>63</b> :17 <b>66</b> :9 <b>67</b> :
<b>3</b> [1] <b>1</b> :14	23,23 <b>54</b> :18 <b>61</b> :1 <b>72</b> :24 <b>74</b> :	55:12,19,23 56:3,5,9,11,12,		8 69:24 82:8 91:22 95:22
37,800 [1] 83:1	1 86:10 97:25 100:5,6,11,	12,16,22,24 <b>57:</b> 2,11,13 <b>62:</b>		<b>100</b> :20
4	14 <b>111</b> :18 <b>117</b> :15 <b>119</b> :18	2,20 64:11 67:24 75:13 78:		arrest [2] 86:5,10
	acute [1] 64:2	18 <b>79:</b> 23 <b>80:</b> 9,13 <b>81:</b> 16 <b>90</b> :		arrested [1] 58:14
<b>4</b> [1] <b>3:</b> 4	add [1] 51:4	25 91:18 92:11 94:9 95:3,	appears [1] 18:4	arrive [1] 67:10
<b>40</b> [1] <b>24:</b> 15	addition [1] 16:2	14,22 96:4,13,23,25 97:17,		arriving [1] 90:20
<b>40,000</b> [2] <b>11</b> :16 <b>15</b> :14	addressed [1] 18:8	21 101:13 102:13 105:3,6,	1	articulate [1] 54:1
<b>41</b> [2] <b>24:</b> 15 <b>38:</b> 24	addresses [1] 74:6	7,25 106:21 107:12 108:25	1	aside [3] 19:9 32:11 46:6
<b>42</b> [1] <b>50</b> :11	adequate [1] 76:18	109:13 111:2 113:20 118:	applicants [1] 15:8	aspect [1] 32:11
<b>43</b> [10] <b>6:1 17:</b> 6,16,17,25 <b>35:</b>	adequately [1] 71:16	10 <b>119:</b> 2,4 <b>120:</b> 21 <b>121:</b> 5,7,		asserting [1] 94:16
18,21 <b>50:</b> 23 <b>122:</b> 25 <b>123:</b> 3	adjustments [2] 10:3,4	14 <b>122:</b> 20,21 <b>123:</b> 6	applications [1] 84:2	assertion [1] 71:11
5	adopted [3] 45:11 58:4	America [3] 18:13 86:4	applied [4] 42:24 46:11	assigned [1] 62:12
	<b>103</b> :5	<b>119</b> :8	114:2,3	assist [1] 39:17
<b>50,000</b> <sup>[3]</sup> <b>15:</b> 16 <b>29:</b> 20,21	adoption [2] 8:7 106:21	American [3] 52:11 57:23	applies [6] 8:8 47:1 62:20	associated [1] 33:6
<b>57</b> [1] <b>3</b> :7	adult [1] 26:9	<b>58:</b> 5	83:18,21 109:3	<b>ASSOCIATION</b> [2] 1:4 4:7
6	advance [2] 76:17 88:19	Americans [1] 92:18	apply [9] 46:8 60:6 67:13	assume [3] 43:20 81:17 97:
<b>60,000</b> [1] <b>15:</b> 17	adversaries [1] 88:18	amici [1] 46:4	<b>95</b> :11 <b>108</b> :10,16 <b>113</b> :14,21	12
	advised [1] 86:5	amicus [8] 2:8 3:10 35:19	114:7	assumes [2] 85:1 95:20
8	affect [3] 45:2,3,5	<b>36:4 53:</b> 13 <b>91:</b> 13 <b>112:</b> 3	applying [4] 7:14 24:3 54:	assuming [1] 73:12
<b>80</b> [1] <b>92:</b> 18	affects [4] 111:18,20,21,22	<b>122</b> :6	22 <b>95</b> :14	Atlantic [1] 49:6
9	afraid [1] 65:21	ammunition-driven [1]	appreciate [5] 114:10 115:	attack [1] 58:17
	agree [4] 78:7 93:2 95:10	<b>109</b> :19	18 <b>116</b> :15 <b>117</b> :7,18	attempting [1] 5:14
<b>90</b> [2] <b>12</b> :23 <b>13</b> :7	<b>96:</b> 17	amount [2] 13:21 85:8	approach [9] 54:4 55:2 78:	attractive [1] 89:7

		Official		
attributable [1] 74:17	117:4	Bybee [1] 110:23	5 <b>98:</b> 15 <b>109:</b> 16 <b>110:</b> 21	citizens [6] 6:1 12:23 17:7
atypical [10] 5:18 23:5 39:	better [5] 16:19 71:8,9 86:	C	<b>117:</b> 9,15	66:23 67:7 73:23
25 <b>45:</b> 13,19 <b>47:</b> 25 <b>51:</b> 12	20 <b>119:</b> 22		caveat [1] 51:4	City [21] 11:14 27:16 29:24
65:19 66:7 121:23	between [10] 5:11,13 7:20	California [1] 93:13	celebrated [1] 66:3	<b>33:</b> 9,21 <b>34:</b> 18 <b>36:</b> 5,5,6,16
atypicality [3] 14:19 51:5,	8:12 12:21 44:2,10 56:10	call [1] 61:2	celebrities [1] 68:6	61:4 62:9 65:11 71:6 75:5
16	<b>61</b> :10 <b>113</b> :17	came [6] 1:16 9:11 51:7	center [2] 38:13,14	<b>78:</b> 19 <b>79:</b> 11,13 <b>82:</b> 8 <b>83:</b> 22
authority [3] 86:22 116:7	beyond [1] 46:5	<b>106:</b> 25 <b>120:</b> 16,18	central [4] 77:25 78:8 120:	<b>122:</b> 16
<b>117:</b> 5	big [2] 75:10 89:4	camping [1] 38:3	8 <b>121</b> :18	Civil [3] 11:2 18:15 22:7
automatically [1] 23:22	bike [1] 65:8	campus [9] 24:8 29:2,4,9,	centuries [2] 57:23 93:15	claim [6] 71:9 72:15,23 94:
availability [2] 63:6 65:15	Bill [4] 48:21 96:16 105:13	10,14,15 <b>34:</b> 15 <b>60:</b> 24	Century [20] 17:24 58:1 59:	16 <b>102:</b> 8 <b>105:</b> 11
available [8] 59:8 60:12 61:	<b>121</b> :6	campuses [1] 24:7	2 <b>98:</b> 12,18 <b>99:</b> 25 <b>102:</b> 16	claims [1] 71:21
18,24 <b>64:</b> 22 <b>77:</b> 25 <b>95:</b> 24	bit [6] 33:4 34:1 59:24 74:	cannot [5] 24:18 25:3 43:	<b>104:</b> 21,22,23 <b>105:</b> 10,10,18	clause [3] 7:20,21 105:6
<b>102</b> :21	11 <b>85:</b> 12 <b>114:</b> 23	25 <b>88</b> :11 <b>118</b> :12	<b>106:</b> 18 <b>107:</b> 4,5,18,23 <b>121:</b>	clean [1] 44:2
aware [2] 106:10 120:4	bits [1] 91:24	cap [1] 118:13	2,12	cleans [1] 67:2
away [3] 28:12 94:21 95:25	Black [1] 22:8		certain [10] 6:23,25 9:17	clear [12] 4:20 9:6 35:12 40:
awful [1] 119:1	Blacks [1] 103:24	capital [2] 9:24 24:17	24:23 31:19 34:15 52:16	14 <b>46:</b> 2,25 <b>48:</b> 4,6,19 <b>76:</b> 18
Aymette [3] 120:17,23,25	blanket [1] 50:7	caps [2] 24:18 39:5	78:9 84:8 103:23	90:18 91:23
В	block [2] 71:9,12	car [1] 39:15	certainly [6] 15:24 40:7 43:	clearing [1] 48:8
	body [1] 101:24	careful [1] 110:14	19 <b>104</b> :3 <b>107</b> :9 <b>112</b> :25	clearly [1] 13:1
back [12] 6:21 29:7 33:13	bond [1] 58:14	Carolina [2] 49:15 86:8	cetera [2] 15:1 22:7	CLEMENT [102] 2:2 3:3,13
<b>38:4 53:5 56:6 76:</b> 10,11	both [12] 11:10 12:12,13 21:	carried [5] 42:19 58:12 66:	challenge [2] 16:9 113:22	<b>4:</b> 8,9,11 <b>6:</b> 9,19 <b>8:</b> 10 <b>9:</b> 5,
81:2 93:15 102:17 103:13	20 <b>35</b> :19 <b>44</b> :19 <b>45</b> :5 <b>77</b> :10	4 <b>73</b> :10 <b>74</b> :18	challenged [1] 52:8	13 <b>12:</b> 6 <b>13:</b> 5,6,7,25 <b>15:</b> 6,
back-country [1] 61:13	81:22 92:20 113:12 120:	carriers [1] 73:13	challenging [1] 52:2	15,24 16:5,8 17:5,13,14 19:
balancing [4] 53:12,16 54:	20	carries [2] 43:13 101:24	chance [2] 48:14 103:14	13,20 <b>20:</b> 13,18 <b>22:</b> 19 <b>24:</b> 1,
2,19	bound [1] 90:6	carry <sup>[96]</sup> 4:18 7:5,8,11 11:	change [2] 39:16 60:11	9 <b>25:</b> 1,5,22 <b>27:</b> 9,17 <b>28:</b> 1,4,
ballpark [1] 29:21	box [1] 120:25	15 <b>12:</b> 1,16 <b>13:</b> 12 <b>14:</b> 11,17,	changed [1] 41:24	16,21,24 <b>29:</b> 3,10 <b>30:</b> 1,15,
ban <sup>[3]</sup> 45:17 100:17,18	boy [1] 42:21	23,25 <b>15:</b> 2,19 <b>16:</b> 15 <b>17:</b> 2	chaos [1] 35:14	20 <b>31:</b> 8 <b>32:</b> 2,3,6 <b>33:</b> 2,15,
banc [1] 110:24	brag [1] 36:8	<b>18:</b> 2,21 <b>19:</b> 24,25 <b>20:</b> 2,3,8,	character [5] 15:11,18 34:	20 34:7 35:15 36:17,18,23
banned [4] 18:12 40:5 58:	brave [1] 17:10	9,23 <b>21:</b> 4,5,6,14,20,21,22	20 <b>50:</b> 19 <b>80:</b> 23	37:4,6,8,10,13 38:9,18,22
19 <b>100</b> :8	break [1] 73:16	22:4 23:5,16 24:8,18 25:3	characteristics [1] 89:5	<b>39</b> :9 <b>40</b> :3,7 <b>41</b> :3,10,12 <b>42</b> :
banning [1] 45:11	breaks [1] 39:15	<b>26:</b> 15,18 <b>34:</b> 19 <b>38:</b> 2,25 <b>40:</b>	characterization [1] 87:5	7 44:23 45:25 46:2,19 48:
bans [1] 107:21	BREYER [37] 10:23 12:6	5,6,10,19 <b>42:</b> 5,6 <b>43:</b> 6,9,10,	characterize [1] 65:18	15 <b>49:</b> 24 <b>50:</b> 16 <b>51:</b> 18,20
BARBARA [3] 2:4 3:6 57:	14:21 15:14,16 16:3,6,22	15,17,22 <b>44:</b> 3 <b>45</b> :3 <b>47:</b> 23	characterized [1] 90:6	52:4,22 53:24 54:13 55:7
19	17:6 34:6,8,10,12,13,17 35:	<b>52:</b> 15 <b>57:</b> 5,8 <b>58:</b> 15,23 <b>59:</b>	charged [1] 122:9	56:1 75:10 90:7 93:7 94:
barrel [1] 56:5	15 <b>37:</b> 22 <b>79:</b> 8,9,14,18,21	7,8,10,13,15,17 <b>62:</b> 16 <b>64:</b> 6	charity [1] 80:12	14 95:8 96:18 98:21 99:24
BARRETT [12] 27:12 30:	80:2,5,10,15 81:5,8,11 82:	66:23 68:9 79:3 80:22 82:	check [2] 97:6,11	110:10 111:19 113:16 117:
19,21 <b>55:</b> 6,7 <b>57:</b> 15 <b>89:</b> 18,	4,12,16,21 83:2 103:1 112:	5,5 <b>92:</b> 2,3,5 <b>95:</b> 22 <b>97:</b> 22,	Chicago [10] 35:23 36:5,5,	24 <b>118:</b> 1,3
19 <b>90:</b> 5 <b>91:</b> 8 <b>117:</b> 20,21	4 <b>119</b> :14	24 <b>98:</b> 3,23,24 <b>99:</b> 2,2,3,5,	7,10,15,18,20,22 <b>119:</b> 11	Clement's [5] 95:19 101:
base [1] 6:15	BRIAN [3] 2:6 3:9 91:12	12,15,16 <b>100:</b> 10 <b>118:</b> 21	CHIEF [56] 4:3,11 24:1,9,20	11 <b>102:</b> 5 <b>104:</b> 25 <b>108:</b> 18
based [8] 8:5 43:19 65:21	bridge [1] 41:5	<b>122:</b> 23	<b>25:</b> 2,5,20 <b>27:</b> 13 <b>28:</b> 15 <b>30:</b>	client [4] 35:7 38:1,7 43:21
<b>73</b> :24 <b>77</b> :13 <b>78</b> :18 <b>105</b> :11	brief [24] 13:9,10,13,24 31:	carrying [39] 4:21 5:5,21 6:	24 <b>34:</b> 11 <b>37:</b> 5,21 <b>40:</b> 1 <b>45:</b>	clients [7] 11:25 14:3 16:
<b>106:</b> 20	17 36:4 53:13 74:5 75:11	5 <b>9:</b> 7 <b>16:</b> 5 <b>18:</b> 5,12,23 <b>19:</b> 5	23 49:22 55:5 56:14 57:16,	14 <b>17</b> :8 <b>28</b> :12 <b>37</b> :19 <b>43</b> :21
baseline [4] 7:5 23:14 52:	80:17 81:1,6 82:24 85:7,	<b>20</b> :4 <b>21</b> :12 <b>22</b> :13 <b>35</b> :7 <b>38</b> :	21 <b>61:</b> 15,21,25 <b>63:</b> 11 <b>64:</b> 4,	close [1] 37:12
17,21	14 86:15 94:15 109:6 112:	15,16 <b>39:</b> 4 <b>42:</b> 12 <b>43:</b> 2 <b>48:</b>	19,24 <b>65:</b> 3 <b>66:</b> 1 <b>78:</b> 2 <b>79:</b> 7	closer [1] 71:7
basic [1] 50:7	4 <b>113</b> :11 <b>115</b> :10 <b>119</b> :16,24	23,24 <b>49:</b> 10,18 <b>57:</b> 24 <b>58:</b> 2,	83:16 88:3 89:10,14,17 91:	codified [2] 105:25 106:9
basically [5] 9:10 15:9 38:	<b>122:</b> 6	19,24 <b>59:</b> 4 <b>70:</b> 15 <b>98:</b> 9,9	9,15 <b>93:</b> 22 <b>95:</b> 6 <b>96:</b> 8 <b>97:</b> 4,	coexisted [1] 106:13
17 <b>53</b> :18 <b>75</b> :15	briefing [1] 28:8	<b>100:</b> 16,19,23 <b>103:</b> 24 <b>109:</b> 8	10,15 <b>102</b> :22,25 <b>107</b> :25	colleague [1] 112:5
basis [3] 6:16 62:1 122:2	briefs [6] 11:4,5 35:1,19 85:	<b>110</b> :19 <b>122</b> :17 <b>123</b> :2	<b>111:</b> 7 <b>113:</b> 8 <b>115:</b> 15 <b>116:</b> 5	collective [3] 10:11,19 121:
bear [3] 4:15 32:10 96:4	7 <b>112:</b> 3	Case [37] 4:6 8:11,13,18 11:	117:19,22 118:3 123:8	13
beat [1] 111:14	British [1] 18:11	9,10 <b>12:</b> 11 <b>25:</b> 7,7,15,16 <b>28:</b>		colonial [1] 18:13
become [1] 98:12	broad [2] 39:10 100:19	9 <b>35:</b> 21 <b>36:</b> 13 <b>46:</b> 6 <b>47:</b> 2	<b>102</b> :3	colonies [3] 17:23 58:5 90:
began [3] 58:10,18 98:11	broaden [1] 93:16	48:19 49:17 50:21 52:1 54:	choices [1] 102:6	12
begin [1] 94:8	broader [3] 77:2 95:16 115:	17,20 <b>55:</b> 17 <b>72:</b> 22,25 <b>79:</b>	Circuit [1] 110:24	Columbia [2] 8:1 29:8
beginning [2] 9:17 32:25	5	15 <b>85:</b> 8 <b>95:</b> 2 <b>99:</b> 24 <b>100:</b> 13	circulation [1] 118:9	Columbia's [1] 29:10
behalf [9] 2:2,5 3:4,7,14 4:	broadly [1] 14:6	101:8,9,24 111:3,3 123:9,	citation [1] 86:7	combined [1] 51:5
10 <b>28</b> :11 <b>57</b> :20 <b>118</b> :2	Bronx [1] 122:7	10	citations [1] 85:9	come [10] 23:18 26:7 51:21
behind [2] 112:9 118:7	brought [1] 66:13	cases [21] 8:19 20:7 21:19	cite [4] 98:3 103:20,20 115:	<b>64</b> :22 <b>70</b> :11 <b>76</b> :10,11 <b>90</b> :2
belabor [1] 87:10	BRUEN [2] 1:7 4:7	<b>25</b> :7 <b>28</b> :6 <b>46</b> :5 <b>49</b> :6 <b>58</b> :24	8	<b>93</b> :9 <b>114</b> :23
belief [2] 87:7 103:22	building [2] 32:19 66:15	<b>65:</b> 20 <b>90:</b> 13 <b>93:</b> 7,9 <b>100:</b> 3,	cited [4] 49:15 85:14 101:5	comfort [1] 35:17
believe [6] 13:14 24:6 74:	buildings [2] 25:10 26:6	4,6 <b>101:</b> 3,7,8,16,19 <b>115:</b> 7	<b>106:</b> 17	commerce [1] 88:25
	bunch [1] 13:18	cash [3] 27:15 28:3,5	cities [7] 6:3 35:22 58:19	common [4] <b>48</b> :25 <b>49</b> :10,
13 <b>77:</b> 2 <b>81:</b> 3 <b>104:</b> 14		actorica (1) 109.2		
13 77:2 81:3 104:14 belt [1] 100:16	burden [1] 38·12	categories [1] 108:3	I / 5:   119:8   U   I	13 92:20
	burden [1] 38:12 bus [2] 67:10.11	cause [14] 22:11,17 58:13,	75:1 119:8,10,11 citizen [1] 7:8	13 92:20 community [5] 14:15.15
belt [1] 100:16 benefit [1] 95:15	burden [1] 38:12 bus [2] 67:10,11 business [1] 26:10	-	citizen [1] 7:8 citizen/law [1] 112:13	13 92:20 community [5] 14:15,15 16:21 60:17 121:25

# Official

		Official		
commute [1] 67:9	13	counties [4] 15:8 60:13 75:	debates [1] 25:19	13
comparable [2] 5:4 7:25	consistency [1] 106:15	5,25	decades [1] 92:11	determine [2] 73:1 92:23
comparably <sup>[1]</sup> 46:14	consistent [22] 21:1,7,17	country [4] 6:3 93:18 104:	decent [1] 8:14	deterrent [1] 63:5
comparative [1] 73:21	26:5 36:9 39:20 40:8,12	13 <b>118:</b> 10	decide [1] 22:3	developed [2] 44:15 121:
compelling [2] 54:9,10	42:22 43:1,3 47:14 56:13	County [9] 28:17 37:11,15	decided [3] 89:21,25 117:4	17
complainant [1] 23:18	60:8 62:19 67:22 91:18	38:7 39:11,12 61:3 78:13	deciding [2] 90:18 106:2	dicta [2] 100:4,10
complaint [1] 20:25	102:19 107:16 109:12 111:	<b>82:</b> 18	decision [16] 4:17 21:1,18	dictum [1] 56:9
completely [6] 21:17 40:	1 <b>114:</b> 19	couple <sup>[5]</sup> 48:16 55:9 62:	<b>31</b> :18 <b>44</b> :7 <b>90</b> :17,21,22	Diego [1] 37:1
21 <b>74</b> :22 <b>75</b> :2 <b>95</b> :10 <b>118</b> :	consonant [1] 54:15	23 <b>105</b> :22 <b>110</b> :16	<b>100</b> :7 <b>117</b> :2 <b>120</b> :14,17,19,	differ [1] 114:22
16	Constitution [6] 10:9 18:	course [14] 7:16 8:8 10:10	20,25 122:22	differed [1] 121:6
complicate [1] 112:12	16 <b>52:</b> 12 <b>94:</b> 12,17,20	16:23 35:6 36:12 44:5 51:	decision-making [1] 76:1	difference [7] 5:11,13 16:
component [1] 48:7	constitutional [28] 5:2,15,	1 <b>52</b> :24,25 <b>83</b> :7 <b>87</b> :10 <b>90</b> :	decisions [14] 5:7 7:16,18	23 44:10 78:16 119:19,20
conceal [2] 57:8 82:5	20,22 34:5 39:22 40:10 43:	20 <b>100</b> :22	<b>10</b> :12,18 <b>101</b> :5,12 <b>104</b> :20	differences [1] 45:9
concealable [3] 92:5 109:	11,15 <b>45:</b> 17,21 <b>47:</b> 15 <b>50:</b> 6	COURT [52] 1:1,17 4:12,16	<b>105:</b> 9 <b>106:</b> 18,25 <b>107:</b> 3,11	different [43] 8:21 9:21 10:
8 <b>110</b> :20	72:2,5 73:24 75:9,13 77:3,	5:3 7:12,14,17,23,24 10:15,	<b>120</b> :22	1 <b>18:4 20:</b> 17 <b>22:</b> 12,20,23
concealed [48] 7:12 11:15	6 105:21 116:6,8 120:7	18 <b>14</b> :9 <b>23</b> :19 <b>25</b> :8 <b>42</b> :8,	deemed [1] 86:23	26:15 34:1 40:22 41:1,25
<b>12:</b> 1,2,16 <b>14:</b> 11 <b>15:</b> 1,2,19	121:5,21 122:1,12	15,23 <b>44:</b> 6 <b>46:</b> 10,23,24,25	deer [2] 16:25 64:19	42:1 45:7,16 52:24 53:5
<b>16</b> :15,23 <b>17</b> :3 <b>18</b> :9,12,14,	constitutionality [1] 101:	47:3,8,11 48:20 49:12 56:	defamation [2] 53:5 56:13	56:16 57:12,14 58:10 64:
18,22,23 <b>19:</b> 1,24 <b>20:</b> 2,4,9,	4	8 57:22 59:17,20 68:1 78:	default [1] 52:20	17 <b>65:</b> 11,12 <b>75:</b> 3,4,23 <b>77:</b> 4,
12 <b>21</b> :3,14,20 <b>26</b> :18 <b>34</b> :19,	constitutionally [3] 4:23	1 90:3 91:16 95:10 99:21	defect [1] 120:8	5,17 <b>78:</b> 21 <b>81:</b> 22 <b>87:</b> 2 <b>90:</b>
24 <b>35</b> :7 <b>39</b> :1,4 <b>40</b> :6,19,23	<b>5</b> :13 <b>117</b> :16	100:9,15,18,22 102:9 105:	defects [1] 121:19	10 93:1 94:4,6 95:15 102:
<b>42:</b> 5,14,19 <b>43:</b> 10,17,22 <b>57:</b>	constitutions [1] 106:9	8 106:6 111:20 113:25	defend [2] 39:19 70:21	10 <b>104:</b> 12 <b>118:</b> 8 <b>122:</b> 19
5 <b>58:</b> 23 <b>80</b> :22 <b>92</b> :2 <b>99</b> :3,	construed [1] 100:18	<b>117:</b> 8,11,14 <b>120:</b> 15,16	defendants [1] 101:17	differentiation [1] 61:10
15	consuming [1] 25:17	Court's [1] 92:21	Defenders [2] 122:7,8	differently [5] 13:22 14:2
concede [4] 30:24 85:11	contains [2] 61:4,6	courthouse [1] 32:19	defending [1] 99:14	57:6 90:14 111:14
<b>121</b> :12,22	content [1] 89:24	courts [12] 10:13 46:5,8,11	defense [2] 62:1 94:19	difficult [3] 90:2 102:10
concern [11] 53:16 65:8 68:	contests [1] 4:21	47:18 48:9 53:10,18 54:22	deference [2] 18:6,7	<b>110:</b> 11
11 <b>72:</b> 2 <b>112:</b> 9,10,14 <b>116:</b> 6,	context [10] 37:18 41:6 42:	<b>113:</b> 19 <b>114:</b> 12,24	defining [1] 93:20	difficulties [1] 40:25
13,16 <b>117:</b> 7	9,20 44:25 56:12 75:16 94:	creep [1] 10:12	definitive [1] 110:11	difficulty [1] 89:4
concerns [5] 54:2 76:21	4 <b>96</b> :16 <b>120</b> :4	crime [6] 4:25 36:10 49:10	degree [2] 7:9 84:5	direct [3] 36:11 43:14 62:
77:14 78:21 112:15	contexts [2] 56:18 120:3	66:2 74:2 80:24	delegating [2] 76:1 117:4	21
concession [1] 4:24	contextual [2] 43:25 44:9	crimes [5] 9:24 49:8 72:9	demonstrably [1] 35:23	direction [2] 7:4 33:8
conclude [2] 117:9,11	contingent [1] 96:1	<b>122</b> :10 <b>123</b> :4	demonstrate [3] 5:17 14:	directly [1] 42:24
concluded [3] 20:7 106:19	continue [5] 6:8 23:24 33:	criminal [4] 12:24 67:6 97:	18 <b>103:</b> 15	disarm [1] 23:23
<b>110</b> :24	19 <b>92</b> :22 <b>102</b> :2	7 <b>98:</b> 7	demonstrated [3] 15:10	disarmed [1] 104:1
concludes [1] 117:14	contradiction [1] 8:12	criteria [2] 76:2 79:5	<b>47</b> :25 <b>96</b> :7	disclose [2] 84:25 85:1
conclusion [1] 95:20	control [1] 19:16	criticized [2] 120:18,23	demonstration [1] 5:24	discretion [19] 22:12 50:4,
concrete [1] 58:7	controlling [2] 90:23 91:5	crossed [1] 41:5	denial [1] 24:14	7 <b>51:</b> 5,10 <b>71:</b> 24 <b>72:</b> 3 <b>84:</b> 5,
condemned [1] 5:7	convenient [1] 88:21	curiae [3] 2:8 3:11 91:13	denied [5] 12:13,24 13:1	12,13 <b>116:</b> 7,9,14,19 <b>117:</b> 8,
condition [2] 25:17 77:4	convert [1] 5:14	curious [1] 46:16	<b>14:</b> 4 <b>37:</b> 19	14 <b>122:</b> 4,5,9
conditioned [2] 22:2 116:	convince [3] 65:23 71:13	current [1] 9:15	denies [1] 85:4	discretionary [3] 50:20 96:
25	<b>95</b> :2	currently [1] 20:22	dense [3] 60:14 63:4 119:5	1 <b>99:</b> 5
conditioning [1] 20:16	cop [1] 111:14	cutoff [2] 60:10,22	densely [7] 60:13 62:9 63:	discussed [1] 112:5
conditions [4] 77:14,21 79:	copy [1] <b>42:</b> 2	D	1,24 <b>70</b> :16 <b>73</b> :11 <b>74</b> :19	discussing [1] 102:18
5 117:6	core [5] 32:10 33:7 67:23,		density [6] 59:24 60:18 77:	discussion [1] 118:24
conducted [1] 105:17	25 <b>107:</b> 11	D.C [4] 1:13 2:2,7 21:25	21 <b>78:</b> 19 <b>118:</b> 23 <b>119:</b> 1	disenfranchise [1] 9:25
conferred [1] 95:22	corner [1] 94:24	Dallas [1] 36:25	deny [4] 22:8,13 34:4 50:7	disfavored [1] 103:23
confers [1] 96:5	corporate [1] 36:19	danger [2] 19:19 112:12	Department [2] 2:7 69:14	dishes [1] 67:5
confident [1] 14:7	correct [10] 40:6 52:21 65:	dangerous [4] 15:4,20 48:	departments [1] 11:1	dismissed [1] 11:18
<b>confirm</b> [1] <b>4</b> :17	18 <b>77:</b> 2 <b>80:</b> 3 <b>83:</b> 6,7,17	24 <b>103</b> :25	depend [1] 96:11	display [1] 40:17
confirmation [1] 106:19	<b>100</b> :2 <b>103</b> :3	dangers [1] 109:10	depends [2] 63:12 71:3	displays [1] 63:25
confront [3] 13:1 94:16	correcting [1] 47:7	dare [1] 43:5 data [1] 83:13	deprives [2] 13:11 118:20	dispute [1] 86:25
<b>114:</b> 24	correctly [3] 72:7 84:23		depth [1] 83:5	disputed [1] 91:24
confrontation [2] 12:24	<b>121</b> :3	date [2] 107:8,23	Deputy [1] 2:6	disqualified [1] 59:9
<b>105</b> :6	cost [1] 122:8	day [4] 39:21 49:8 51:11 115:22	descendents [1] 103:11	dissent [1] 114:21
confrontations [1] 68:3	costs [1] 122:5	dead [1] 35:2	describe [5] 71:4 87:4,7	distance [1] 67:12
confronted [1] 99:21	couldn't [5] 29:24,25 71:15	deal [5] 10:21 11:8,11 28:7	<b>108</b> :23 <b>122</b> :1	distant [1] 38:20
confusion [1] 48:9	<b>95</b> :25 <b>97</b> :22	46:22	described [4] 93:11 101:	distinct [1] 96:24
considerable [1] 34:18	counsel [4] 57:17 78:3 117:	dealt [2] 49:13 71:16	22 <b>114:</b> 4,20	distinction [1] 44:16
consideration <sup>[2]</sup> 65:12	23 <b>123</b> :9	death [1] 67:16	describes [1] 121:25	distinguished [1] 91:6
82:7	Counselor [1] 37:25	deaths [1] 80:25	describing [1] 72:25	distinguishes [2] 14:14
considerations [1] 65:16	count [2] 92:15 119:7	debate [6] 11:21 12:17,21	description [1] 92:16	<b>121</b> :24
considered [1] 7:17	counter [1] 81:24	<b>48:</b> 11 <b>94:</b> 5 <b>103:</b> 17	deserted [3] 64:20 65:8,24	distribution [1] 75:23
considering [3] 79:9,11,	counterpart [1] 72:14		determination [2] 96:2 98:	District [5] 8:1 45:10,12 61:

		Official		
6 <b>88</b> :24	enforcement [8] 63:7 64:	example [17] 10:15 24:24	4,11	flipped [1] 43:19
divided [1] 113:19	21 <b>65:</b> 16 <b>70:</b> 10,10,14 <b>111:</b>	<b>25</b> :9 <b>32</b> :19 <b>40</b> :24 <b>41</b> :22 <b>42</b> :	familiar [1] 117:6	float [1] 35:3
doctrine [2] 27:1 31:16	13 <b>112</b> :13	8 46:9 64:5 78:6 85:24 88:	far [7] 19:18 34:13 41:14,19	floating [1] 91:22
doctrines [1] 56:4	engaged [1] 38:2	22 <b>94:</b> 15 <b>98:</b> 11 <b>120:</b> 13	61:4 102:17 110:8	Florida [1] 73:7
doing [6] 8:1 9:9 21:16 44:	England [1] 63:7	<b>121:</b> 1,2	favor [1] 101:3	focus [5] 52:11 53:7 56:19
4 <b>75</b> :12 <b>119</b> :18	English (9) 11:2 17:22 48:	examples [2] 23:7 121:12	favorable [1] 98:1	92:25 115:18
domestic [1] 108:4	21 <b>57:</b> 23 <b>101:</b> 7,19 <b>119:</b> 16,	except [1] 21:12	favorably [1] 49:16	focused [2] 55:14 99:17
done [7] 17:14 23:2 32:1	24 <b>121</b> :6	exception [8] 101:2,22	favoring <sup>[1]</sup> 43:17	focusing [1] 92:3
36:9 91:2 98:17 103:9	enjoy [1] 6:2	109:15,16 110:20,21 117:	fear [2] 39:11 58:16	fold [1] 116:13
dooms [1] 4:24	enjoyed [1] 5:16	10,15	feature [1] 87:5	follow [3] 52:10 70:18 114:
door [1] 54:12	enormous [1] 85:8	exceptions [2] 109:17 111:	feel [4] 14:2 66:10,23 76:11	12
doorman [1] 67:3	enough [5] 15:17 30:6 35:	5	fellow [1] 6:1	follow-on [1] 90:11
double-edged [1] 118:25	12 <b>70</b> :19 <b>72</b> :10	excluded [2] 24:22 26:10	felon [2] 23:1 41:16	followed [3] 92:8,12 99:1
doubt [1] 52:2	enshrines [1] 4:14	exclusion [1] 108:4	felon-in-possession [1]	following [3] 35:9,10 53:9
down [8] 39:15 74:16 100:	entailed [2] 68:14,15	exclusively [1] 93:8	<b>107:</b> 21	football [3] 25:21,23 34:22
1,5,7,8 <b>114:</b> 4 <b>118:</b> 18	enthusiastic [1] 85:12	exegesis [1] 90:25	felonies [1] 9:23	Footnote [1] 82:24
downstate [1] 36:11	entire [1] 19:17	exemplifying [1] 108:15	felons [4] 9:7,22,25 108:4	forbade [1] 9:8
downtown [2] 61:5,12	entirety [1] 45:4	exercise [20] 5:1,19 14:4	felt [1] 68:9	Force [3] 11:6 92:17 102:4
dozen [2] 92:12 115:7	entitled [3] 15:25 71:17 95:	33:11 39:25 40:9 43:14 45:	few [8] 5:4 7:10,24 19:4 21:	forest [1] 64:25
draw [2] 97:2 115:1	2	17,19 <b>50:</b> 24 <b>77:</b> 7 <b>95:</b> 3 <b>96:</b>	19 <b>27:</b> 16 <b>38:</b> 4 <b>118</b> :4	forgot [1] 111:10
drawing [1] 93:14	entity [1] 30:9	15 <b>97:</b> 12,16 <b>116:</b> 7 <b>120:</b> 6	Fife [1] 101:8	form [5] 6:15 9:15 18:2 44:
dream [1] 75:12	equally [3] 7:22 45:20 83:	121:20,23 122:12	fights [1] 73:15	3 <b>115:</b> 12
drew [1] 56:10	21	exercised [1] 19:16	figure [1] 27:14	forming [1] 10:8
drinking [1] 34:21	equivalent [1] 49:13	exhaustive [1] 110:25	figures [1] 81:2	formulation [1] 95:19
driven [1] 110:13	equivalents [1] 101:14	exhaustively [3] 4:16 7:17	filled [1] 79:23	forth [2] 7:23 77:22
driving [1] 80:16	era [1] 59:1	<b>20</b> :6	find [11] 6:22 11:19 29:8 36:	fortunately [1] 109:24
dropped [1] 86:13	erroneous [1] 101:12	exist [1] 73:5	3 <b>42:</b> 3 <b>55:</b> 17 <b>81:</b> 8 <b>105:</b> 8	forum [3] 27:1 56:15,24
due [1] 23:8	escalate [1] 112:11	existed [1] 104:4	<b>107:2 115:</b> 6,7	forward [1] 101:25
Duke [2] 101:7,19	especially [4] 50:18 105:	exists [2] 20:22 115:21	finds [1] 59:20	founding [6] 8:3,6,17,20
during [2] 19:25 22:7	22 <b>106</b> :5 <b>114</b> :24	expects [1] 21:9	fine [2] 40:5 81:14	<b>58:</b> 5 <b>59:</b> 1
E	<b>ESQ</b> [4] <b>3</b> :3,6,9,13	experience [10] 12:10 17:	finish [3] 88:8 108:22 121:	founding-era [1] 86:4
each <sup>[5]</sup> 29:22 31:4 34:23,	ESQUIRE [1] 2:2	6 <b>31:</b> 5 <b>35:</b> 18 <b>54:</b> 21,25 <b>68:</b>	15	four [1] 18:13
24 <b>38</b> :20	essence [1] 108:19	17 <b>84:</b> 1,2 <b>119:</b> 6	firearm [9] 5:21 9:25 21:22	Fourteenth [4] 8:7 9:4 22:
earlier [3] 72:8 101:22 110:	essentially [6] 7:13 23:18	experiment [1] 17:10	<b>23:</b> 25 <b>66:</b> 10,24 <b>98:</b> 3 <b>100:</b>	9 <b>92</b> :11
19	<b>36</b> :8 <b>88</b> :14 <b>98</b> :6 <b>122</b> :15	explained [1] 115:10	24 <b>122</b> :23	Fourth [3] 15:21 44:20 122:
early [9] 6:22 49:12 58:9,9,	established [1] 52:17	explanation [2] 86:21 88:2		20
21 <b>101</b> :6 <b>104</b> :22 <b>105</b> :10	estimate [2] 83:12,14	explore [2] 66:22 115:19	firearms [21] 4:18 5:5 6:4,	framed [3] 20:25 21:15 72:
107:5	estimates [2] 82:15,17	extend [3] 46:9 107:18 113:		23
edifices [1] 55:23	ET [4] 1:4,9 14:25 22:6	24	10 <b>47</b> :22 <b>49</b> :10,18 <b>57</b> :24	framers [1] 122:19
effectively [3] 51:2 71:25	Eve [4] 31:3,10 55:10 88:23	extending [1] 104:22	<b>58</b> :13 <b>59</b> :13 <b>73</b> :10 <b>102</b> :1	framing [5] 9:23 10:2 20:
77:23	even [18] 17:24 35:9,11 37:	extends [1] 121:22	<b>107:</b> 22 <b>118:</b> 9,12,14	24 <b>48</b> :21 <b>53</b> :6
effects [2] 115:23 116:1	2 <b>47</b> :15 <b>51</b> :20 <b>66</b> :10 <b>67</b> :8	extent [5] 43:8,10 59:12	firmly [1] 91:19	free [3] 18:21 76:11 90:15
effort [3] 63:20 77:16,18	<b>68</b> :16 <b>78</b> :10 <b>96</b> :6,18 <b>99</b> :18	<b>104</b> :8 <b>120</b> :23	first [35] 10:7 23:13 24:11	freedom [1] 34:19
either [8] 45:17 48:4,24 59:	<b>100:</b> 8,19 <b>111:</b> 13 <b>121:</b> 3	extra [1] 68:13	<b>27</b> :1 <b>41</b> :3 <b>48</b> :18 <b>50</b> :1 <b>52</b> :	frequent [1] 32:17
3 87:4 92:4 101:2 114:16	122:10	extraordinary [2] 5:23,24	25 <b>55:</b> 12,19,22 <b>56:</b> 3,5,9,12,	frequented [2] 39:6 47:24
eligible [2] 9:18 22:4	event [2] 30:13 79:25	extreme [1] 109:15	12,16,22,24 <b>57:</b> 11 <b>75:</b> 12	friend [3] 100:2 120:11 121:
eliminate [1] 7:11	everybody [6] 32:20 36:21	F	<b>79</b> :22 <b>80</b> :8,13 <b>81</b> :16 <b>88</b> :16	3 friendle (1) 07:0
emphasis [1] 13:14	<b>55</b> :15 <b>122</b> :16,18 <b>123</b> :1	face [6] 14:8,8,16 39:25 42:	<b>93</b> :25 <b>96</b> :13 <b>105</b> :5 <b>113</b> :25	friend's [1] 87:6
emphasize [1] 39:2	everyone [1] 105:24	12 108:7	<b>116:</b> 18 <b>118:</b> 5 <b>120:</b> 13,16	friends [3] 33:20 48:12 92:
emphasized [1] 120:12	everything [3] 21:7 23:3	fact [9] 20:17,20 63:9 81:25	<b>121</b> :20	14 fricking (1) <b>122</b> ,19
emphatic [1] 53:1	76:17	<b>83</b> :3 <b>103</b> :12 <b>104</b> :9 <b>106</b> :3,	fishing [1] 38:4	frisking [1] 122:18
empirical [2] 35:20 119:13	everywhere [1] 121:14	12	fit [1] 92:15	front [1] 103:15
en [1] 110:24	evidence [9] 35:20 74:3,13,	fact-finder [1] 23:22	fits [1] 59:6	full [2] 88:25 90:25
enacted [4] 92:7,9,12 104:	14 <b>104</b> :3 <b>106</b> :23 <b>107</b> :6	fact-finding [1] 59:19	fitting [1] 22:17	fully [2] 31:18 84:25
10	111:16 119:14	fact-findings [1] 76:6	five [3] 18:14 35:24 82:12 FLETCHER [31] 2:6 3:9 91:	fun [1] 15:4 function [1] 27:4
enactment [2] 103:18,22	evidently [1] 71:13	factor [2] 26:11 113:6	11,12,15 93:2 95:4,7 96:17	
enclosed [1] 68:19	ex [1] 98:14	factors [7] 60:19 81:20 84:		
encounters [1] 112:13	ex-ante [1] 101:2	9,10,11,20,23	<b>97:</b> 9,14,19 <b>98:</b> 17 <b>99:</b> 9 <b>103:</b>	
end [11] 35:2 39:21 40:21	ex-post [1] 101:3 exact [3] 40:15 41:23 55:18	facts [1] 65:22	6 <b>104:2 105:</b> 15 <b>107:</b> 9 <b>108:</b>	118:11 furthor [7] 37:7 22 40:2 46:
<b>51</b> :11 <b>70</b> :13 <b>81</b> :20 <b>89</b> :3	exactly [5] 41:17 42:10 51:	failed [1] 84:10	8,24 <b>109:</b> 20,23 <b>110:</b> 4,9	further [7] 37:7,22 40:2 46: 4 78:4 89:12 106:23
<b>103</b> :14 <b>114</b> :17,18 <b>119</b> :21	18 70:24 79:1	fairly [2] 55:15 107:17	111:8 112:1,22 113:10 114:10 115:18 116:15	
ends [1] 123:2	examination [1] 110:14	fairs [2] 58:3 63:2	flexibility [3] 41:21 75:14,	G
enforce [1] 29:15		faithful [4] 96:25 97:1 115:	-	game [2] 34:22,22
	examined [1] 71:21	Taninun 1930.23 37.1 113.	20	game e mee, ee

gave JP 2:12 42:14 51:6         64:6 68:9 7:11 07:33 47:         Inighlight U 118:6         Illegal 49:87, 12:20 87:12         Initracted U 60:22           Genoral JPI 2:4:6 8:7 44         102:8:20 10:8:13         Initracted U 60:22         I			Official		
120:15         8 78:0 91:20 92:1 98:0         highway 1113:3         Illinois B 30:11 727	gathering [1] 58:3	16 <b>38:</b> 16 <b>61:</b> 16 <b>62:</b> 11,16	highest [2] 14:9 78:1	22 <b>108</b> :4	15 <b>118:</b> 6,13
120:15         8 78:0 91:20 92:1 98:0         highway 1113:3         Illinois B 30:11 727		<b>64</b> :6 <b>68</b> :9 <b>74</b> :16 <b>75</b> :3,4 <b>77</b> :		illegal <sup>[4]</sup> 69:7,12,20 87:12	interested [1] 60:22
General (19) 24.6 67, 14:         102.8 20 108:13         highway (1113:3)         illustration (119:23)         interest (12:14)         inte	-	8 78:8 91:20 93:21 98:9			interesting [4] 42:25 54:2,
15 16 20 244,13 261,7         gun-related 103:31         historian 1722-4         imagine 1143:2         interest 1142:1           596 456 462,579 17         311,10 452,987,1220         historian 1722-4         historian 1722-4         1144         1144         1153 311422-12           21 111 112:5 116 7:19 74/20         311,10 452,987,1220         historian 1722-4         historian 1722-4         1144         1153 31142-12	General [29] 2:4,6 6:7 14:	<b>102:</b> 8,20 <b>108:</b> 13			
386 45.6 482.2 57:91.8         june [w154 482.2 57:91.8         june [w154 482.2 57:91.8         june [w154 482.2 57:91.8         june [w154 197.6           882.2 611.5 67:17 42.0         71.91.0 642.6 69.77.147.10 642.0         historian [w176 102.2         interpret 197.3         historian [w176 102.12         interpret 199.87.11 04.91.0 69.21 69.21         interpret 199.87.11 04.91.0 69.21         interpret 199.85.11 04.91.0 69		qun-related [1] 35:13		imagine [1] 48:2	
59:23 61:16 67:19 74:20         31:1,10 64:29 69:7,1220         historians (1)22:4         114:4         114:4         114:3         116:15:10 89:21 61:2           21:11 91:25 71:16:12         87:00.20 103:24 (08:21         30:25 82:26 (38:20 69:7)         116:10 80:12 16:2         116:10 80:12 16:2           Generally [30:22 89:20         Balagy [11:10:6         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7           Generally [30:22 89:20         Balagy [11:10:6         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7           Generally [30:22 89:20         Balagy [11:10:16         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7         116:10 80:14 16:7           Generally [30:23 80:23 89:23         Bes:16:23 89:10 78:3         116:10 80:22 47:11         116:10 80:22 47:11         116:10 80:22 47:11         117:10 80:20         116:10 80:14 11:10         117:12         117:12 10:10:11         116:10 80:22 17:12         117:10 80:11         116:10 80:11 11:10         117:12 10:10:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11         116:10 80:11					intermediate [10] 46:11 47
sel2:0:91:3,810:95:0.9 es.         705:167:317:4717.82:33         Inistorical (197:76:10:22)         Impacts m1:21:5         Interpretation (193:22)           21119:91:22:22:25:72:82:64         12:11         12:11:10         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:24)         12:11:10         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:24)         14:12:11         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:24)         14:22:11         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:24)         14:22:11         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:24:22:03:23)         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:22:24:23:12)         14:15:22:24:24:14:14:12:10         Interpretation (193:22)         Interpretation (193:22)           generalized (14:22:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24		•	historians [1] 22:4		
21 411:9 412:5 118:13         87:30,20 103:24 (08:24)         21:18 22:22 23:6,7 25:24         impote Wind Wind Y 174:64         interpret Wind Y 184:11           Generally Wind 22:89:20         9enerally Wind 22:89:20         impote Wind Y 184:11         interpret Wind Y 184:11           generally Wind 22:89:20         9enerally Wind Y 22:75:5         hand Y 62:8 64:10 74:22         impote Wind Y 184:11         interpret Wind Y 184:11           Generally Wind Y 22:75:5         hand Y 62:8 64:10 74:22         interpret Wind Y 184:11         interpret Wind Y 184:11           Generally Wind Y 22:75:7         hand Y 62:8 64:10 74:22         interpret Wind Y 184:11         interpret Wind Y 184:11           Gints ID 64:7         14:83:162 23:89:12 79:3         14:83:162 23:89:12 79:3         impote Wind Y 184:20         invalidate IM 122:2           Ying Wind Wind Y 17:15         handlog IM 94:22 20:23 45:11:10:17         impote Wind Y 184:20         invalidate IM 122:2         invalidate IM 122:2           Ying Wind Wind Y 17:15         handlog IM 91:21:12 4:11:1         12:15:12:85:12         Se:12         impote Wind Y 18:11:1         invalidate IM 12:2:2         in					
121:25         12:11         30:25 52:15:20 53:20 56:         implementation P1836         interpretation P1836:           generalized IV 43:02:29:32         half P189:41:15:7         interpretation P1836:         implementation P1836:         implementation P1836:           S22:29:32 68:20:38:16:20:39:20:39:3         half P189:41:15:7         interpretation P1836:         implementation P1836:         implementation P1836:           Georgia IV:58:16:19:42:         half P189:41:15:7         interpretation P1836:         implementation P1836:         <		,			-
Generality III 20:12 generality III 20:12 generality III 20:22 98:20 generality III 20:22 98:20 generality III 20:22 97:50 hand III 20:84 1157 hand IIII 20:84 1157 hand IIII 20:84 1157 hand IIII 20:84 1157 hand IIII 20:84 1157 hand IIIII 20:84 1157 hand IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII					
generalized 10/14:14 generalized 10/14:14 generalized 10/14:14         Haley 10/10:8 half 10/68:4115:7 histories 10/973         H113/116:1121:10 histories 10/973         Implicated 10/64:22.11         Bis22/94:24	-				
generaliy @7.25 rss         Haley [1:01:8]         historically @7.25 89:20         initory miletic the 60:2         intory miletic the 60:2 </td <td></td> <td>H</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>		H	,		-
generally (a) 27:22 78:9         haff (a) 68:4 115:7         histories (b) 97:3         mistories (b) 97:3		Haley [1] 101:8			
istory iPa 4:25 39:24 98:23         hand (III 92:28 94:10 74:22         history iPa 4:15 206:11.         Bistory iPa 4:25 78:22         Intuitive (III 74:22 76:22           Georgia (III: 68 86:10 42:         16:32 36:15.22.52 91:10         Imposed (III 57:24)         Imposed (III 57:24)         Invoked (III 122:2)           Georgia (III: 68 86:10 42:         14:55:16.22:55:17:16:12:16         Imposed (III 57:24)         Imposed (III 57:24)         Invoked (III 57:24)           Giants III 64:7         14:55:16:22:56:17:18:17:18:12:16         Intuitive (III 74:22 76:22		half [2] 58:4 115:7	-		
genume [1] 417:1         76:19,20         15,23 8:5,12,22,5 9:12         Impose [12,423,322,22]         Invalidate [11,14:17]           10,11,23 100;7         163:16,22,25 19:12,17         Impose [12,423,322,22]         Impose [12,423,423,42]         Impose [12,423,422,42]         Impose [12,423,423,42]         Impose [12,423,42]         Impose [12,423,42]         Impose [12,423,42]         Impose [12,423,42]         Impose [12,423,42]         Impose [12,423		hand [5] 62:8 64:10 74:22			-
Georgia Wise a 18:10.42         handful III 117:19         106.24.25 11:12,12 017.         Imposed U 57:24         Invalidate U 122:2           gets JI 724 77.72.3         14 85:16.23 55:10 79:3         11.18.52.25 19:21.17         Imposer III 84:20         Invalidate U 122:2         Invalidate		<b>76:</b> 19,20	-		
10.1f.23 100.7         handgun [94:22 20:23 45:         11.11 116:52.22 519:17:3         improper (94:20)         invalidated [97:13 21:23           getting (93:519 117:4)         199:21         199:21         199:21         199:21         110:0060 (19917)           getting (93:519 117:4)         199:21         110:21         21:26:415:7.13 42:29.43:         110:0060 (19917)         110:0060 (19917)           getting (91:61:41 12:24:25 16:         53:19:25 59:4 79:3 118:16         53:20 62:24:22 6 53:24 77:1         53:10 (1971)         110:11 111:1         115:10 (1972)         110:11 111:1         115:10 (1972)         110:11 111:1         115:10 (1972)         110:11 111:1         115:10 (1972)         110:11 (1971)         110:11 (1971)         110:11 (1971)         110:11 (1971)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         111:11 (111:11 (111:11)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (111:11 (111:11)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (1972)         110:11 (111:11 (111:11)         110:11 (111:11 (111:11)         110:11 (111:11 (111:11) <td></td> <td>handful [1] 17:19</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		handful [1] 17:19			
14       48:16/2.3       14       16:12.2       112       112       12:16/2.89/3.51/0.40(1.3,1.3)       1110000000000000000000000000000000000	•	handgun [8] 4:22 20:23 45:			
getting 13:5:19:11:18         119:21         21:25 41:5,71:3 42:2,943:         inappropriate 18:21:6         involved 10:60:4           Giants 10:64.7         38:19:25 59:4 79:3 118:18         handgus 17:21:12 45:11         22:25 41:5,71:3 42:2,943:         inappropriate 18:21:6         1involved 10:60:4           7         18:12 21:12 23:12 35:17         namber 12:12 45:11         7:12:12 8:12 8:12         1involved 10:60:4         1involved 10:60:4           9:22 42:8 44:18,17:18         19:24 10:60:11,16:12:28         10:25 73:20         1involved 10:60:1         1involved 10:60:1           9:13 9:13 9:13 10:14:11         nappening 10:66:17         12:25 11:20:85:10:07:12         1involved 10:60:1         1involved 10:60:1         1involved 10:60:1           4:32 04:81:0.01 14:2:16 24:20         11:12:12:12         1:13:12:12:12:12         1:11:12:12         1:11:12:12         1:11:12:12         1:11:12:12:11:12:12         1:11:12:12:11:12:12         1:11:11:12:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:11:12:12		-			
Giants (ne4.7)         handgums (P 21:12 45:1)         22 44:9;14:15 46:8;21 47:8         65:21         irrelevant (P12:20 86:18           give (P (F1:14 41:24,25 fts)         handle (P 60:16 71:25         7         35:22 42:8 43:14 88:15,17         55:12 55:33         55:23 55:23         10:01:14         11:11:15         15:12 55:37:34         10:11:11:15         15:13 66:24 97:11:20 98:22         35:21 38:11 91:11:11:15         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 10:25 73:22.1         15:13 66:25 73:32.21         15:13 66:24 97:12 109:62.21         15:13 10:25 73:22.1         15:13 66:24 97:12 10:64:8,1         15:13 66:25 77:12 10:64:11 11:125 73:33         15:12 10:16:21 10:16:21 10:16:21 10:16:21         15:11 10:12 57:37:32.31         15:12 10:16:21 10:16:21         15:11 10:12 57:17 10:12 57:17         14:11 11:12 11:13:11 10:12 10:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:12 11:11         11:11 11:11:11:11:11         11:11 11:11:11:11:11:11         11:11 11:11:11:11:11:1					
Control         Same		handguns [7] 21:12 45:11			
By Control Triat 27:15         Analled [H 60:16 71:25]         Bandled [H 60:16 71:25] <thbandled 60:16<="" [h="" td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thbandled>		-			
7       7	•				
33:22 42:0: 46:14 65: 10: 16       hands 10 63:10       happen 10 21:10 64:23 65: 00. 91.19: 122       53:22 42:0: 46:14 65: 105: 16         19:24 1106: 11.16 112: 24       happen 10 21:10 64:23 65: 20. 120       happen 10 21:10 64:23 65: 20. 120       includes 118:06: 110: 111: 425 61: 33: 63: 23. 23. 120         25:63 86: 27       7       happen 10 21:10 64: 23 57: 20. 10       happen 10 21:10 64: 23 57: 32. 20       includes 118:06: 3       7         25       91:3 0:12 97: 120 98: 20       11 10:12 10:22: 12       includes 118:06: 3       91:10:11 14: 25 61: 36: 37: 32: 20         14       happen 10 21: 12 92: 12       14:13: 12: 13. 21: 21: 14: 25 57: 66       10:19 12: 22: 12: 14: 61: 10: 11: 14: 25 57: 66       10:19 12: 22: 12: 14: 11: 14: 25 57: 61: 11: 16: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15					
happen [9] 21:10 6,4:23 65:       append [9] 21:10 6,4:23 65:       bappend [9] 21:10 6,4:21 105:       bappend [9] 21:10 5,2:27 10:10 6,4:21 105:       bappend [9] 21:17 9:12:12 11:10 10:10 10:12 10:11 15:12 10:10 10:10 10:12 10:11 11:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:10 10:15 10:11 11:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:21 10:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:24 11:10 10:15 10:11 10:10 10:15 11:10 10:12 11:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:15 11:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:15 10:11 10:11 10:10 10:24 11:13 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:12 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11 10:11					
32:63,88:12       7       7       18 104:14 105:17 106:4,1       7       18 104:14 105:17 106:4,1         32:63,88:12       93:26,88:12       18 104:14 105:17 106:4,3       7       7       12 101:62:11 09:9112:       3         32:63,88:12       193:21 94:20 114:       14:13 12:13:13:14 105:17 106:4,3       3       50:11,14:25 51:3,6,624 7       4,974:177:3 95:15 88:12 82:12 4:13:14 100:17 106:14,11       49:13 104:24 105:11       10:12 12:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:19 120:11,15 123:6       10:10 12:10       10:10 12:12       111111111111111111111111111111111111			,		
82:0, 88:12       93:0, 11:0, 24:12       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:12:12       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11       11:11	-				
giving [0] 8:102.104.20141       happening [0] 6:17       happening [0] 6:12       happening [0] 6:22       had [0] 5:27       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:16       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:17       hold [0] 22:3       hold [0] 22:2       hold [0] 22:3       hold [0] 22:1       hold [0] 22:2       hold [0] 22:1       hold [0] 22:2       hold [0]		•			
225       giving [8] 8:16 99:11 103: 14       happens [8] 70:5,22 71:20       h1 10:12 [10:2,12] 1116: 10,19 120:11,15 123:6       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 14,25 115:4,6,19,21 1116: 10,19 120:11,15 123:6       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 14,25 115:4,6,19,21 116: 10,19 120:11,15 123:6       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 14,25 115:4,6,19,21 116: 10,19 120:11,15 123:6       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 14,25 115:4,6,19,21 116: 10,19 120:11,15 123:6       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 11,12 114:3 107:2       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 11,12 114:3 107:2       inconsistent [9] 45:16 77: 11,12 114:3 28:12 83:13 103:10         4       ford [9] 27:24 29:10 68:12       barr [9] 63:25 80:24       hard working [1] 69:25 hard [9] 32:13 103:10       holder [9] 22:12 33:20 40:11 44: 19:22 12:23 20:20 40:11 44: 19:22 11:23 20:20 40:11 44: 19:22 11:23 20:40:11 44: 19:21 11:21 114:3 28:12 30:11 34: 19:21 11:11       joint [9] 24:14 30:24 100:11 11:22         41 11:15       given [9] 11:12       hard working [9] 10:23 11:11       hard working [9] 11:11       horms [9] 19:13 10:11 11:12       judge [9] 11:12:11       joint [9] 12:12       judge [9] 12:	•			-	
14       76:15 80:8.24       76:15 80:8.24       10,19 120:11,15 123:16       4 91:3 104:24 105:11       issues (284:7 102:11         Gorsuch (14) 4:4 45:24.25       hard (075 77:61:6 77:22:2       hard (075 77:61:6 77:22:2       hold (1) 22:8       10,19 120:11,15 123:15       110:19 120:11,15 123:15       issues (284:7 102:11       issues (284:7 102:11         11,12 113:9,10 114:11 115       11:25 82:1 88:16 97:15       hold (01 22:8       hold (01 22:8       individual (01 4:15 7)       indictment (0.42:0 4:17					
Instance	giving [3] 8:16 99:11 103:			inconsistent [5] 45:16 72:	25 <b>119</b> :9
46:3.20 48:10.16 49:21 83: hard [87:77:616 77:22       hold 19 12:17       index def 19 25:17		,		4 91:3 104:24 105:11	
44:3:50 48:10;10;10;4:2178;       81:25 82:1 88:16 97:15       Indice 10:43:17       Indice 10:43				increase [1] 112:12	it'll [2] 53:15 115:25
14       107:2       107:1       107:1       107:2       107:1       10	<b>46:</b> 3,20 <b>48:</b> 10,16 <b>49:</b> 21 <b>89:</b>		holder [1] 25:17	Indeed [2] 4:20 44:17	Italians [1] 103:24
hard-working [1] 49:25       hard-working [1] 69:25       hord [2] 419;22 5:521       individual [1] 42:16       individual [1] 42:17	11,12 <b>113:</b> 9,10 <b>114:</b> 11 <b>115:</b>		holders [2] 21:12,13	indication [1] 106:15	italicized [1] 39:3
Joint Status       Joint Status       Joint Status       Joint Status       Joint Status       Joint Status         301 [0] 27:24 29:10 68:12 85:12 86:13 94:18 97:17 11 (11 11 11 7:2 government [0] 5:18 25: 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39: 24 118:6,13 grant Status       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 22       harm [2] 63:25 80:24 Haywood [0] 86:7 10,15 22       harm [2] 71:12 73: hear [0] 94:6:13 96:18 121: 2 grant Status       harm [2] 71:12 73: hear [0] 94:6:13 96:18 121: 2 grant Status       harm [2] 71:12 73: hear [0] 94:17 17:37 grant Status       horos [0] 123:7 house [0] 100:20 Heller [6] 46:17 75:17 8: 19:11       horos [0] 123:7 house [0] 100:20 House [0] 10					itself [1] 90:24
90:197:127:39:13.94:18.97:17       Hawaii [2] 93:13.103:10       Haywood [0] 86:7       Haywood [0] 86:7       John [0] 86:7       John [0] 86:7         90:197:127:11:14       Haywood [0] 86:7       Haywood [0] 86:7       John [0] 86:7       John [0] 86:7         10:15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39;       Hearling [45:4 66:175:17]       Hearling [47:11:273:1]       Hearling [47:11:273:1]       Hearling [47:11:273:1]       Homes [0] 19:5,8 38:21       Joint [2] 24:14 38:24       Joint [2] 24:14 38:24         granting [2] 18:21 84:1]       Hearing [2] 71:12 73:1       Hearing [2] 71:12 73:1       Homes [0] 19:5,8 38:21       Inform [1] 53:6       Judge [1] 110:23         granting [2] 18:21 84:1       Hearing [2] 71:12 73:1       Homes [0] 19:5,8 38:21       Information [3] 83:14 111:       Judge [1] 110:23         granting [2] 18:21 84:1       Heller [56] 41:7 53 7:17 8:       Homors [0] 123:7       Information [3] 83:14 111:       Judge [1] 110:23         granting [2] 18:21 83:1,7       Heller [56] 41:7 53 7:17 8:       Homors [0] 123:7       Informe [1] 10:123       Judical [3] 84:22 104:20         granting [2] 18:27 84:53       18:6 10:12 114:13       Homors [0] 123:7       Informe [1] 10:12       Judical [3] 84:22 104:20         granting [2] 18:27 19:80:       50:6 11:12 17:5:       Judical [3] 84:22 104:20       Informe [1] 80:3:13       Judical [3] 84:22 104:20         grounde [1] 91:13	Gorsuch's [2] 52:11 53:9	•	home [28] 4:19,22 5:5,21	individual [13] 4:18 7:14	
Haywood (1) 86:7       Haywood (1) 86:7       Haywood (1) 86:7       Haywood (1) 86:7       John (1) 86:7         government (0) 5:18 25:       hear (0) 48:23 26:13 67:9 87:       95:24 107:13       95:24 107:13       Joint (1) 24:14 38:24         10,15 26:5 30:8 32:19 39:       hear (0) 48:13 96:18 121:       hear (0) 48:52 36:13 67:9 87:       95:24 107:13       Joint (1) 24:14 38:24         grant (0) 43:13 96:18 121:       hear (0) 48:13 96:18 121:       homes (0) 19:5,8 38:21       Homor (0) 16:19 123:5       Inform (1) 53:6       Joint (1) 24:14 38:24         grant (0) 43:13 96:18 121:       hear (0) 17:13 75:37:17 8:       Heller (5) 4:17 5:37:17 8:       Homor (0) 123:7       Inform (1) 153:6       Judge (1) 110:23         grant (0) 46:37 07: 78:13       20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17       however (2) 62:12 67:15       Inform (1) 80:25       inform (1) 80:25       judgemet (4) 31:6 53:17,         greatt (0) 46:37 07: 78:13       20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17       however (2) 62:17 67:15       hunt (1) 78:8       hunt (1) 78:6       Inform (1) 103:4       115:11       jurisdiction (0) 177:10;         group (1) 91:18       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10,       13:15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51:       38:6,16,22 109:17       Hunt (0) 14:12:12       18:       13:45,6,12 20:01       15:11       jurisdiction (0) 177:10;       36:6 37:3 63:13       jurisdiction (0) 177:10;       15:11       36:6 37:3 63:13	got [9] 27:24 29:10 68:12		19:2 21:23 38:20 40:11 44:	<b>12</b> :11 <b>14</b> :3 <b>28</b> :12 <b>33</b> :11 <b>34</b> :	
110: 111112       10: 04: 30: 25: 06: 01: 30: 97.       10: 04: 30: 25: 06: 10: 97.       Joint [2] 24: 14: 38: 24         10: 15: 26: 5: 30: 83: 21: 93:       health [1] 23: 2       hear [3] 45: 14: 54: 61: 175: 17       hear [3] 45: 13: 96: 18: 21: 109:       individuals [4] 12: 25: 76: 8       joint [2] 24: 14: 38: 24         24: 118: 6, 13       2       hear [3] 45: 13: 90: 18: 12: 12       homes [3] 19: 5, 8: 38: 21       information [3] 83: 14: 111:       Judge [1] 10: 23         grants [3] 52: 14: 83: 1, 7       hear [6] 44: 17: 53: 71: 78:       Heller [59] 41: 6: 44: 7, 17, 18: 45:       Houston [3] 35: 22: 36: 25       information [3] 83: 14: 111:       judge [5] 68: 676: 45. 584:         grants [3] 52: 14: 83: 1, 7       Heller [59] 41: 64: 47: 71, 718:       Houston [3] 35: 22: 36: 25       information [3] 83: 12: 117: 5:       judge [5] 68: 676: 45. 584:         grants [3] 46: 370: 781: 13       20: 62: 12: 32, 52: 52: 58: 31: 17       however [2] 62: 12: 67: 15       however [2] 62: 12: 67: 15       information [1] 10: 12: 11:       judicial [3] 84: 22: 104: 20         grounde [1] 91: 19       90: 4, 14: 79: 14: 24: 48: 20: 49: 09       however [2] 62: 12: 67: 15       inside [4] 45: 11, 14: 47: 10       36: 63: 73: 63: 13       jurisdiction [5] 17: 11: 30: 37: 63: 13       jurisdiction [5] 17: 7: 16: 11: 77: 16: 11: 13: 10: 23: 12: 11: 10: 11       jurisdiction [5] 17: 7: 16: 11: 13: 12: 11: 10: 11: 13: 13: 11: 13: 12: 11: 14: 11: 12: 11: 13: 13: 13: 15: 11: 14: 11: 14: 11: 19: 11: 13: 13: 13: 13: 15: 11: 14: 11:	85:12 86:13 94:18 97:17		11,18 <b>45:</b> 11,14 <b>46:</b> 10 <b>47:</b> 1,	2 37:18 72:3 73:23 84:5	
growthillent (b) 516 25:       hear (5) 4:5 46:1 75:17       hear (5) 4:17 5:17       homes (5) 12:22 for (1) 17:17:23       information (5) 83:14 11:1       judge (1) 110:23       judge (1) 110:12       judg	<b>116</b> :11 <b>117</b> :2	-	10 <b>48:</b> 5,23 <b>66:</b> 13 <b>67:</b> 9 <b>87:</b>	<b>95</b> :24 <b>107</b> :13	
10; 10; 10; 10; 10; 10; 10; 10; 10; 10;	government [9] 5:18 25:		11 90:19 94:6 108:21 109:	individuals [4] 12:25 76:8	
2       Information (9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	10,15 <b>26</b> :5 <b>30</b> :8 <b>32</b> :19 <b>39</b> :		1,3,18 <b>121:</b> 22 <b>122:</b> 23	<b>102:7 122:</b> 21	
grant [3] 43:18 50:7 83:12       2       hearing [2] 71:12 73:1       hearing [1] 70:23       hearing [1] 70:23       information [3] 83:14 111:       judges [5] 68:6 76:4,5 84:         grants [3] 52:14 83:1,7       hearing [1] 70:23       hearing [1] 70:23       house [1] 100:20       information [3] 83:14 111:       117:5         grants [3] 46:3 70:7 81:13       20:6 21:23.25 25:8 31:17       so:9 41:6 44:7,17,18 45:       119:11       however [2] 62:12 67:15       indered [1] 80:25       innovation [1] 103:4       judicial [3] 84:22 104:20         greatt [1] 17:3:14       16 54:17,20 61:25 89:20       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10,       13.51,77,18.20,24 38:3 51:       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       36:6 37:3 63:13         grounds [1] 91:19       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10,       11 99:21 100:14 105:16       378:6,16,22 109:17       instance [2] 88:16,18       jurisdictions [9] 17:7,16,         groups [1] 103:23       90:4,14,12 21:6       378:6,16,22 109:17       instances [2] 35:8 80:20       instance [2] 88:16,18       19:9:123:5         graut [1] 41:13:15       91:13:24 114:13.21       106:16,17 107:10,14 108:3,       9:13:24 114:13:21       119:9 123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15       19:9:123:15			homes [3] 19:5,8 38:21	inform [1] 53:6	
granted [1] 83:8 granting [2] 71:12 73:1 hearing [1] 70:23 Heller [56] 4:17 5:3 7:17 8: 1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3 Great [3] 44:22 75:19 80: 23       hearing [2] 71:12 73:1 hearing [1] 70:23 Heller [56] 4:17 5:3 7:17 8: 1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3 20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17 35:9 41:6 44:7,17,18 45: 15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49: 16 54:17,20 61:25 89:20 grounded [1] 91:19 grounded [1] 91:19 ground [1] 91:18 group [1] 9:18 group [1] 9:18 group [1] 9:18 guarantees [1] 84:18 guarantees [1] 84:18 guarantees [1] 84:18 guarantees [1] 84:18 guidelines [1] 117:13 guidelenes [1] 117:13 guidelenes [1] 117:13 guidelenes [1] 117:13 guidelenes [1] 117:13 guidelenes [1] 117:13 guides [1] 86:4       hearing [2] 71:12 73:1 hearing [2] 71:13 109:2 27 3:21 judicial [3] 84:22 104:20 instance [2] 88:16,18 instance [2] 8	grant [3] 43:18 50:7 83:12	-		information [3] 83:14 111:	judges [5] 68:6 76:4,5 84:7
granting [2] 18:21 84:1 grants [3] 52:14 83:1,7 grateful [1] 114:8 Great [3] 46:3 70:7 81:13 greater [3] 44:22 75:19 80: 23       hearings [1] 70:23 Heller <sup>[55]</sup> 4:17 5:3 7:17 8: 1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3 20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17 35:9 41:6 44:7,17,18 45: 15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49: 15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49: 16 54:17,20 61:25 89:20 grounded [1] 91:19 grounded [1] 91:19 grounds [1] 58:16 grounds [1] 58:16 groups [1] 103:23 groups [1] 103:13 55:24 100: 15 helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100: 15 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: groups [1] 101:17:19 identified [2] 21:24 107:19 identified [2] 21:24 107:19 identified [2] 21:24 107:19 identified [2] 21:24 107:19 identified [2] 21:24 107:19 identifying [1] 118:19 ll [1] 1114:21 interactive [1] 76:7       judgment [4] 31:6 53:17, 103:13 interactive [1] 73:13 interactive [1] 73:13 interactive [1] 73:13 interactive [1] 76:7       judgment [4] 31:6 53:17, 22 73:23 jnterat [2] 27 3:3,11 19:31:19:11 judicial [3] 31:6 53:13 55:24 100: 115;11 judicial [3] 32:13 55:24 100: 115;11 19:12 19:12;12 19:12;12 19:12;12 19:12;1	•				-
grants       [9] 52:14 83:1,7       Heller       [5] 4:17 5:3 7:17 8:       1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3       1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3       1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3       119:11       inherent       [2] 12:12 116:23       22 73:21         greater       [3] 46:3 70:7 81:13       20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17       15 94:10,23 47:2 48:20 49:       10:5 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49:       10:6 54:17,20 61:25 89:20       10:14 105:16       10:14 105:16       10:14 105:16       11 99:21 100:14 105:16       13 78:6,16,22 109:17       18       18:6       10:6:16,17 107:10,14 108:3       36:6 37:3 63:13       jurisdictions [9] 17:7,16, 13,78:0,24 38:3 51:       38:6 37:3 63:13       36:6 37:3 63:13       36:6 37:3 63:13       19:9:21 100:14 105:16       13,78:6,16,22 109:17       18:23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1       19:9:12:12       18:23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1       19:9:12:12       19	•				judgment [4] 31:6 53:17,
grateful [1] 114:8       1,18 9:6 10:14 11:3 19:3       119:11       innocent [1] 80:25       judicial [3] 84:22 104:20         Great [3] 46:3 70:7 81:13       20:6 21:23,25 25:8 31:17       5:9 41:6 44:7,17,18 45:       1.9:11       however [2] 62:12 67:15       innocent [1] 80:25       innovation [1] 103:4       115:11         greater [3] 44:22 75:19 80:       15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49:       however [2] 62:12 67:15       hunt [1] 78:8       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       judicial [3] 84:22 104:20         greatly [1] 73:14       90:4,14,17 94:2,38 95:10       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51:       18       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       36:6 37:3 63:13         groups [1] 9:18       90:4,14,17 94:2,38 95:10,       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51:       378:6,16,22 109:17       Huntly [1] 49:14       instance [2] 88:16,18       jursidictions [9] 17:7,16,         groups [1] 03:23       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       120:14,18,22 123:6       Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19       huntly [1] 49:14       instance [2] 88:16,18       19:9 123:5         guarantees [1] 84:18       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       10:6:16,17 107:10,14 108:3       14:17:10       instruction [1] 7:10       instruction [1] 82:12       instruction [1] 82:12       jury [1] 98:7         full 117:15       120:14,18,22 123:6       Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       fo:5 83:2,3 96:14       interdi [1] 48:7       interdi [1]		Heller [55] 4:17 5:3 7:17 8:			
Great [3] 46:3 70:7 81:13 greater [3] 44:22 75:19 80: 23 greatly [1] 73:14 grounded [1] 91:19 grounds [1] 58:16 grounds [1] 58:16 groups [1] 103:23 groups [1] 103:35 55:24 100: 15 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 15 bigh-crime [4] 62:13 15 bigh-crime [4] 62:13 63: 15 bigh-crime [4] 62:13 15 b	•	1,18 <b>9:6 10:</b> 14 <b>11:</b> 3 <b>19:</b> 3			-
greater [3] 44:22 75:19 80:       35:9 41:6 44:7,17,18 45:       hundred [2] 56:7 74:7       inquiry [3] 76:6 110:12 114:       juries [1] 116:20         greatly [1] 73:14       15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49:       hundred [2] 56:7 74:7       inquiry [3] 76:6 110:12 114:       is       is         greatly [1] 73:14       90:4,14,17 94:2,38 95:10,       16 54:17,20 61:25 89:20       90:4,14,17 94:2,38 95:10,       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       36:6 37:3 63:13       jurisdiction [5] 17:11 30:         grounds [1] 98:16       90:4,14,17 94:2,38 95:10,       11 99:21 100:14 105:16       78:6,16,22 109:17       instance [2] 88:16,18       instance [2] 88:16,18       jurisdictions [9] 17:7,16,         groups [1] 103:23       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       120:14,18,22 123:6       hypothetical [1] 68:14       instance [2] 88:16,18       instance [2] 88:16,18 <td>•</td> <td><b>20:</b>6 <b>21:</b>23,25 <b>25:</b>8 <b>31:</b>17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	•	<b>20:</b> 6 <b>21:</b> 23,25 <b>25:</b> 8 <b>31:</b> 17			
23       15 46:10,23 47:2 48:20 49: greatly [1] 73:14       hunt [1] 78:8 hunting [14] 14:12,25 16: grounded [1] 91:19 grounds [1] 58:16 groups [1] 103:23 groups [1] 103:23 groups [1] 103:23 guarantees [1] 84:18 guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21 61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97: 14 113:15 guidelines [1] 117:13 guideposts [1] 110:17 guides [1] 86:4       hunt [1] 78:8 hunting [14] 14:12,25 16: 13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51: 378:6,16,22 109:17 Huntly [1] 49:14 hypothetical [1] 68:14       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10 48:6       jurisdiction [6] 17:11 30: 36:6 37:3 63:13 jurisdictions [9] 17:7,16, 48:6         106:16,17 107:10,14 108:3, groups [1] 103:23 guarantees [1] 84:18 guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21 61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97: 14 113:15 guideposts [1] 110:17 guides [1] 86:4       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21 120:14,18,22 123:6 Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19 help [1] 23:12 help ful [3] 53:13 55:24 100: 15 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 15 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 16 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 16 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 17 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 18 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 19 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 11 high-crime [4] 62:13 high-crime [4] 62:13 hi		<b>35:</b> 9 <b>41:</b> 6 <b>44:</b> 7,17,18 <b>45:</b>			juries [1] 116:20
greatly [1] 73:14       16 54:17,20 61:25 89:20       hunting [14] 14:12,25 16:       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       36:6 37:3 63:13         grounded [1] 91:19       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10,       11 99:21 100:14 105:16       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51:       inside [4] 45:11,14 47:10       36:6 37:3 63:13         groups [1] 9:18       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10,       11 99:21 100:14 105:16       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51:       instance [2] 88:16,18       18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1         groups [1] 103:23       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       120:14,18,22 123:6       Hunting [14] 41:12,25 16:       instance [2] 88:16,18       instance [2] 35:8 80:20       instead [1] 45:10       19:9 123:5         guarantees [1] 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6       Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19       help [1] 23:12       hypothetical [1] 68:14       institution [1] 31:22       jury [1] 98:7         14 113:15       15 64:13 67:12       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       15       70:5 83:2,3 96:14       insult [1] 63:9       instegral [1] 48:7       16:3,6,22 17:5,13,15 19:1         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       high-density [1] 60:1       II (1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32	•	15 <b>46:</b> 10,23 <b>47:</b> 2 <b>48:</b> 20 <b>49:</b>			jurisdiction [5] 17:11 30:6
grounded [1] 91:19 grounds [1] 58:16 group [1] 9:18 groups [1] 103:23 groups [1] 103:23 guarantees [1] 84:18 guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21 14 113:15 guidence [1] 46:4 guidelines [1] 117:13 guideposts [1] 110:17 guides [1] 86:4       90:4,14,17 94:2,3,8 95:10, 11 99:21 100:14 105:16 106:16,17 107:10,14 108:3, 9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21 120:14,18,22 123:6 Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19 helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100: 15 64:13 67:12 guides [1] 86:4       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51: 3,78:6,16,22 109:17 Huntly [1] 49:14 huntly [1] 49:14 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 15 64:13 67:12 high-density [1] 60:1 high-density [1] 60:1       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51: 3,78:6,16,22 109:17 Huntly [1] 49:14 huntly [1] 49:14 huntly [1] 49:14 huntly [1] 49:14 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: 15 64:13 67:12 high-density [1] 60:1 high-density [1] 60:1       13,15,17,18,20,24 38:3 51: 3,78:6,16,22 109:17 Huntly [1] 49:14 huntly [1	-	16 <b>54:</b> 17,20 <b>61:</b> 25 <b>89:</b> 20		-	<b>36:</b> 6 <b>37:</b> 3 <b>63</b> :13
grounds [1] 58:16 groups [1] 9:18 groups [1] 103:23 guarantees [1] 84:18 guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21 14 113:15 guidence [1] 46:4 guidelines [1] 117:13 guideposts [1] 110:17 guides [1] 86:4       11 99:21 100:14 105:16 106:16,17 107:10,14 108:3, 9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21 120:14,18,22 123:6 Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19 helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100: 15 high-crime [4] 62:13 63: guideposts [1] 110:17 guides [1] 86:4       3 78:6,16,22 109:17 Huntly [1] 49:14 hypothetical [1] 68:14 jupothetical [1] 68:14       instance [2] 88:16,18 instance [2] 88:16,18 instances [2] 35:8 80:20 instead [1] 45:10 institution [1] 31:22 instruction [1] 87:18 insult [1] 63:9 integral [1] 48:7 intended [1] 39:3 intent [4] 16:17,24 49:19 73:13 interactive [1] 76:7       18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1 119:9 123:5 jury [1] 98:7 Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11 9 8:2,10 9:5,13 10:23 12: instruction [1] 87:18 insult [1] 63:9 integral [1] 48:7 intended [1] 39:3 intent [4] 16:17,24 49:19 73:13 interactive [1] 76:7       18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1 119:9 123:5 jury [1] 98:7 Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11 9 8:2,10 9:5,13 10:23 12: instruction [1] 87:18 insult [1] 63:9 integral [1] 48:7 intended [1] 39:3 interactive [1] 76:7       18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1 119:9 123:5 jury [1] 98:7 Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11 9 8:2,10 9:5,13 10:23 12: instruction [1] 87:18 insult [1] 63:9 integral [1] 48:7 intended [1] 39:3 interactive [1] 76:7       18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:1 instance [2] 88:16,18 instance [2] 88:16,18 instanc		<b>90:</b> 4,14,17 <b>94:</b> 2,3,8 <b>95:</b> 10,	-	· ·	jurisdictions [9] 17:7,16,
group [1] 9:18       106:16,17 107:10,14 108:3, 9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       Huntly [1] 49:14       instances [2] 35:8 80:20       119:9 123:5         guarantees [1] 84:18       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21       hypothetical [1] 68:14       instances [2] 35:8 80:20       instead [1] 45:10         guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21       120:14,18,22 123:6       Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19       idea [7] 46:21 63:7 69:15       institution [1] 31:22       9 8:2,10 9:5,13 10:23 12:         61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97:       helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100:       70:5 83:2,3 96:14       identical [1] 17:19       integral [1] 48:7       16:3,6,22 17:5,13,15 19:1         guidelines [1] 117:13       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       intended [1] 39:3       20 25:2,5,20 27:8,11,12,1         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identifying [1] 118:19       14,24 28:2,15,15,18,23,22         guides [1] 86:4       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       II [1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32	•	11 <b>99:</b> 21 <b>100:</b> 14 <b>105:</b> 16			18 23:11 30:5 77:5 102:11
groups (i) 103:23       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21         guarantees (i) 84:18       9,15,19 113:24 114:13,21         guarantees (i) 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6         Heller's (i) 21:18 62:19       help (i) 23:12         61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97:       help (i) 23:12         help (i) 23:12       idea (i) 46:21 63:7 69:15         not stances (i) 46:4       15         guidelines (i) 117:13       high-crime (4) 62:13 63:         guides (i) 86:4       15 64:13 67:12         high-density (i) 60:1       identified (2) 21:24 107:19         guides (i) 86:4       15 04:13 67:12         high-density (i) 60:1       il (i) 111:17:0         guides (i) 86:4       16:01.1	-		, ,	· · ·	
guarantees (1) 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6       I       Instead (1) 45.10       Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11         guarantees (1) 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6       I       I       Instead (1) 45.10       Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11         guarantees (1) 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6       I       I       Instead (1) 45.10       Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11         guarantees (1) 84:18       120:14,18,22 123:6       I       I       I       Instead (1) 45.10       Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11         61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97:       helpful (3) 53:13 55:24 100:       I <td< td=""><td>• •</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>_</td></td<>	• •		-		_
guess [9] 8:23 25:25 30:21       Heller's [2] 21:18 62:19       idea [7] 46:21 63:7 69:15       instruction [1] 87:18       9 8:2,10 9:5,13 10:23 12:         61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97:       helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100:       70:5 83:2,3 96:14       instruction [1] 87:18       13:4,6,8,25 14:21 15:14,1         guidance [1] 46:4       15       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       intended [1] 39:3       14:20 20:10,15 22:1 24:11         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identifying [1] 118:19       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32         guides [1] 86:4       high-crime [4] 00:1       II [1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32					Justice [282] 2:7 4:3,3,11 6
61:17 75:17 82:5 83:4 97:       help [1] 23:12       idea [7] 46:21 63:7 69:15       insult [1] 63:9       13:4,6,8,25 14:21 15:14,1         14 113:15       helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100:       70:5 83:2,3 96:14       integral [1] 48:7       16:3,6,22 17:5,13,15 19:1         guidelines [1] 117:13       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       intended [1] 39:3       14,20 20:10,15 22:1 24:1         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identifying [1] 118:19       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 30         guides [1] 86:4       high-crime [4] 00:1       II [1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 30	-				
14       113:15       helpful [3] 53:13 55:24 100:       70:5 83:2,3 96:14       integral [1] 48:7       16:3,6,22 17:5,13,15 19:1         guidance [1] 46:4       15       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       intended [1] 39:3       14,20 20:10,15 22:1 24:1         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identifying [1] 118:19       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32         guides [1] 86:4       high-crime [4] 60:1       II [1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32	-		idea [7] 46:21 63:7 69:15		
identical [1] 46:4       15       identical [1] 17:19       interded [1] 39:3       14,20 20:10,15 22:1 24:1         guidelines [1] 117:13       high-crime [4] 62:13 63:       identified [2] 21:24 107:19       intended [1] 39:3       14,20 20:10,15 22:1 24:1         guideposts [1] 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identifying [1] 118:19       73:13       14,24 28:2,15,15,18,23,29         guides [1] 86:4       high-density [1] 60:1       II [1] 114:21       interactive [1] 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32		-			
guidance (1) 40.4       high-crime (4) 62:13 63:       identified (2) 21:24 107:19       interface (1) 55.5       20 25:2,5,20 27:8,11,12,1         guideposts (1) 110:17       15 64:13 67:12       identified (2) 21:24 107:19       interface (1) 55.5       20 25:2,5,20 27:8,11,12,1         guides (1) 86:4       15 64:13 67:12       identifying (1) 118:19       73:13       14,24 28:2,15,15,18,23,22         guides (1) 86:4       high-density (1) 60:1       II (1) 114:21       interactive (1) 76:7       29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32		-			
guideenies (a 117.15)       15 64:13 67:12       identifying (1) 118:19       11.18:19       14,24 28:2,15,15,18,23,22         guides (1) 86:4       high-density (1) 60:1       II (1) 114:21       11.18:19       14,24 28:2,15,15,18,23,22         guides (1) 86:4       high-density (1) 60:1       II (1) 114:21       11.18:19       11.18:19					
guides [1] 86:4 high-density [1] 60:1 II [1] 114:21 interactive [1] 76:7 29:6,19 30:12,19,21,23 32		0			
	• •				
gun [21] 15:2 25:3 26:9 36: [ 119191 12 73.12 [ 11101 3.9 22.15 73.15 107. ] Interest [5] 54:9 57:25 70: [ 2,3,6 33:2,14,17 34:0,6,9]	•				
	gun [21] 15:2 25:3 26:9 36:		III 19 3.8 22. 13 13. 13 107.	Interest [5] 54:9 57:25 70:	2,0,0 <b>33.</b> 2,1 <b>4</b> ,17 <b>34.</b> 0,0,9,

		Official		
10,11,11,13,17 <b>35:</b> 15 <b>36:</b>	<b>116</b> :4 <b>122</b> :8	lesser [1] 38:5	looked [6] 10:17 17:20 42:	<b>27</b> :20,24 <b>28</b> :3,20,24 <b>30</b> :21
14,20 37:4,5,7,8,12,14,21,	kinds [3] 52:16 75:24 77:	lesson [3] 108:9,14 114:13	19 <b>44:</b> 6 <b>86:</b> 9 <b>107:</b> 10	34:13 35:17 36:14 38:11
21,23,24,25 <b>38:</b> 10,19,22	17	letters [2] 24:17 84:24	looking [10] 8:14 17:9 18:	<b>39</b> :14 <b>40</b> :13 <b>43</b> :7 <b>44</b> :25 <b>47</b> :
<b>39:8 40:</b> 1,1,3,12 <b>41:</b> 3,9,12	king [1] 63:9	level [10] 30:22 47:12 54:4	24 <b>20</b> :12 <b>28</b> :13 <b>40</b> :8,9,25	25 <b>50</b> :12 <b>54</b> :8 <b>56</b> :6 <b>64</b> :17
<b>42:</b> 7 <b>44:</b> 8,14 <b>45:</b> 22,23,23,	King's [1] 63:8	<b>75</b> :14 <b>77</b> :2,10,11,11 <b>98</b> :20	<b>94:</b> 23 <b>97:</b> 20	65:10 68:5 70:24 72:6,12
25 <b>46</b> :3,19 <b>48</b> :10,15 <b>49</b> :21,	Knight's [1] 48:19	<b>108:</b> 11	loose [1] 24:15	<b>73</b> :20 <b>74</b> :24 <b>75</b> :1,10 <b>78</b> :25
22,22,24 <b>50</b> :16 <b>51</b> :15,19,	knows [1] 34:24	libel [2] 53:5 56:13	lot [34] 11:21 13:13 18:6 19:	<b>81</b> :13 <b>83</b> :25 <b>84</b> :21 <b>87</b> :1 <b>93</b> :
	Kilows [1] 34.24 Koch [1] 14:22	liberal [1] 80:21		
23 <b>52</b> :9,10,11,23 <b>53</b> :8,9,24		license [18] 12:1 14:23,24	21 <b>30</b> :7 <b>33</b> :10,23,25 <b>34</b> :21	22,23,25 <b>96:</b> 9 <b>105:</b> 14 <b>106:</b>
<b>54:</b> 8,15 <b>55:</b> 4,5,5,7,11 <b>56:</b>	L		<b>35</b> :2 <b>54</b> :10 <b>55</b> :13 <b>56</b> :2 <b>63</b> :	22,24 <b>107:</b> 7 <b>112:</b> 17 <b>123:</b> 1,
14 <b>57</b> :15,16,21 <b>59</b> :23 <b>60</b> :	labeled [1] 5:3	<b>15</b> :9 <b>16</b> :15 <b>20</b> :21 <b>24</b> :14 <b>25</b> :	16 64:7 65:25 66:14 67:15	2
20 61:14,15,21,25 63:11	labor [1] 103:23	17 <b>39</b> :20 <b>58</b> :23 <b>59</b> :8,15 <b>67</b> :	<b>68</b> :12,18,21,25 <b>72</b> :7,8 <b>74</b> :2	meaning [5] 9:3 10:9 38:
<b>64:</b> 4,19,24 <b>65:</b> 3 <b>66:</b> 1,18,21	language [2] 24:12,15	13 70:23 71:17 84:2 85:4	<b>89</b> :22 <b>90</b> :21 <b>91</b> :21 <b>92</b> :25	12 <b>105</b> :12,20
<b>67:</b> 22 <b>68:</b> 4,20 <b>69:</b> 1,4,8,12,	large [5] 35:22 66:17 71:4	<b>96</b> :15	<b>98:</b> 24 <b>105:</b> 8 <b>118:</b> 24 <b>119:</b> 1,	means [6] 15:9 32:16 39:
19,23 <b>70</b> :17 <b>71</b> :1,22 <b>72</b> :1,	<b>104</b> :13 <b>119</b> :11	licensed [2] 12:16 59:14	7	22 43:2 66:22 98:11
13,16,20 <b>73</b> :3,17,19 <b>74</b> :20,	largest [1] 119:8	licenses [16] 11:15 12:2,13,		meant [3] 65:13 66:20 99:9
24 <b>76:</b> 23,24 <b>77:</b> 1 <b>78:</b> 2,4,5,	last [4] 53:8 55:1 62:15 69:	14 <b>13:</b> 17,21 <b>59:</b> 17 <b>60:</b> 11,	16	measured [1] 119:8
14 <b>79:</b> 7,7,9,14,18,21 <b>80:</b> 2,		12,16 <b>67:</b> 17 <b>78:</b> 25 <b>82:</b> 6 <b>83:</b>		mechanical [1] 32:23
5,10,15 <b>81:</b> 5,8,11 <b>82:</b> 4,12,	14 Isothy [1] 57:4	8,10 <b>84:</b> 1	Louisiana [1] 18:20	member [2] 23:15 26:7
16,21 <b>83:</b> 2,16,16,17,21 <b>84:</b>	lastly [1] 57:4	licensing [15] 43:20 60:16	low-density [1] 60:21	members [1] 103:23
4,14,17 <b>85:</b> 6,18,23 <b>86:</b> 15,	late [5] 67:1 68:22 105:9	61:9 65:6,13,24 71:14,21	lower [7] 46:5,7 47:7,17 48:	mental [1] 23:2
17 <b>87:</b> 9,22,25 <b>88:</b> 3,3,5,6	107:4 113:3	<b>79:</b> 2 <b>84:</b> 19 <b>96:</b> 20 <b>97:</b> 5,5	9 <b>54:</b> 21 <b>113</b> :19	mentally [4] 9:9 22:15 107:
<b>89:</b> 10,10,12,14,14,16,17,	later [4] 10:17 58:6 107:3,	<b>103</b> :8 <b>113</b> :4	M	22 <b>108</b> :4
17,19 <b>90</b> :5 <b>91</b> :8,9,16,21 <b>92</b> :	23	lift [1] 76:9		mention [1] 108:5
23 93:2,22 95:6 96:8,19	Laughter [3] 29:5 34:16 65:	light [1] 42:20	made [21] 9:6 10:4 48:6 54:	mentioned [6] 8:3,3 61:16
97:4,10,15 98:16,18,19	1	likelihood [1] 73:15	24 <b>55</b> :12 <b>58</b> :15,22 <b>61</b> :10	69:25 104:24 119:10
<b>102:</b> 6,22,22,24,25,25 <b>103:</b>	law [68] 4:25 5:9,11 7:9 10:	likely [2] 62:10 113:4	<b>71</b> :11 <b>73</b> :15 <b>90</b> :17 <b>102</b> :3,6,	method [4] 95:11 115:5,7,
2,3,16 <b>104:</b> 17 <b>105:</b> 15 <b>106:</b>	25 <b>11</b> :18 <b>14</b> :16 <b>17</b> :22 <b>18</b> :9	likens [1] 5:9	6 <b>103</b> :13 <b>104</b> :3,18 <b>105</b> :11	11
16 <b>107:</b> 19,24,25,25 <b>108:</b> 2,	<b>20</b> :22 <b>21</b> :11,11 <b>43</b> :13 <b>44</b> :2	likewise [1] 92:9	106:6 117:3 119:23	metropolitan [1] 60:14
17 <b>109</b> :14,21 <b>110</b> :3,6 <b>111</b> :	<b>45</b> :1 <b>47</b> :20 <b>48</b> :1 <b>49</b> :13 <b>52</b> :	limit [3] 6:4 26:17 99:6	magic [1] 51:8	Mexico [1] 92:8
6,7,7,8 <b>112:</b> 4,17 <b>113:</b> 7,8,8,	12 <b>53</b> :2,14 <b>55</b> :3 <b>57</b> :4,7,24	limitations [1] 94:10	magnetometer [1] 32:20	mid-1800s [1] 115:8
10 <b>114:</b> 11,20 <b>115:</b> 14,15,15,	<b>59</b> :6,18,21 <b>63</b> :6 <b>64</b> :21 <b>65</b> :	limited [3] 59:5 96:12,14	main [1] 50:2	middle [1] 113:3
17 <b>116:</b> 5 <b>117:</b> 17,19,19,21,	16 70:9,10,14 74:6,9,12 79:	limiting [3] 31:10 110:7	maintained [1] 104:10	midnight [3] 67:8,9 68:16
22 <b>118:</b> 4 <b>119:</b> 14 <b>123:</b> 8	10 83:25 93:10,11,16 98:5	<b>120:</b> 2	major <sup>[3]</sup> 12:7 103:21 104:	might [23] 13:22 25:16,18
justification [3] 66:8 71:	<b>99:</b> 18,24 <b>100:</b> 8,11 <b>101:</b> 20,	limits [2] 24:6 57:24	18	<b>30:</b> 1,16 <b>31:</b> 13 <b>33:</b> 3 <b>39:</b> 13
18 <b>75</b> :19	24,24 <b>103:</b> 4,7,18,22 <b>104:</b> 7,	lineal [1] 103:11	majority [6] 21:17,24 49:16	<b>45:</b> 5 <b>54:</b> 3 <b>55:</b> 17,21 <b>56:</b> 20
justify [3] 30:17 52:16 94:	23 <b>110:</b> 5,18,22 <b>111:</b> 12 <b>112:</b>	list [2] 87:16,18	<b>54:</b> 18 <b>120:</b> 17,21	67:2,3,4,4 93:3 98:19 114:
22	10,15 <b>113:</b> 12 <b>114:</b> 16 <b>115:</b> 9	literally [1] 38:24	mall [1] 61:11	3,22 <b>117:</b> 11 <b>118:</b> 16
<u>к</u>	116:6 118:16 122:3	litigation [2] 52:8 76:14	Manhattan [5] 28:14 45:4	miles [1] 37:17
	law-abiding [5] 5:1 7:7 66:	little [7] 10:1 26:25 33:4 34:	<b>60:</b> 23 <b>67:</b> 2 <b>68:</b> 13	military [1] 32:11
<b>KAGAN</b> <sup>[38]</sup> <b>9</b> :5,13 <b>13</b> :4,6,	23 67:7 69:25	1 <b>74:</b> 11 <b>85:</b> 12 <b>114:</b> 22	manner [4] 31:12,14 32:7	militia [1] 101:15
8,25 <b>27:</b> 8,11,13,24 <b>28:</b> 2,15,	lawful [1] 107:20	live [4] 37:9 70:20 71:5 92:	55:22	militia-focused [1] 107:12
18,23,25 <b>29:</b> 6,19 <b>30:</b> 12 <b>34:</b>	laws [52] 5:4 7:9,10,13,23 9:	19	Manual [2] 86:8,9	militiamen [1] 101:18
9 <b>36</b> :14,20 <b>40</b> :1,3,12 <b>41</b> :3,	7,8,11,11,14 <b>15</b> :25 <b>16</b> :9 <b>21</b> :	lives [4] 37:10 60:21 61:1,3	many [30] 18:4,15,15 22:2	million [1] 92:18
9,12 <b>42</b> :7 <b>44</b> :8 <b>45</b> :22 <b>74</b> :	23 <b>22:</b> 2 <b>23:</b> 9,10,13 <b>25:</b> 18	local [19] 22:6,6,13 60:17	<b>30:4 38:6 50:</b> 11,25 <b>58:</b> 21	mind [3] 34:14 85:22 113:1
20 <b>88</b> :5,6 <b>107</b> :19 <b>111</b> :7,8	<b>41:</b> 16,17 <b>44:</b> 6 <b>47:</b> 22 <b>52:</b> 7	<b>75:</b> 14 <b>76:</b> 3,3 <b>77:</b> 10,14,14,	<b>59:</b> 16 <b>60:</b> 19 <b>62:</b> 12 <b>64:</b> 24	minor [1] 11:20
<b>112:</b> 17 <b>113:</b> 7	<b>57:</b> 12,14 <b>58:</b> 4,6 <b>86:</b> 8 <b>92:</b> 1,	21,21 <b>79:</b> 5,23 <b>86:</b> 5 <b>104:</b> 20	<b>65</b> :3 <b>69</b> :4,4,12 <b>70</b> :7 <b>73</b> :5	minority [1] 23:11
Kagan's [2] 30:23 76:24	1,3,7,9,13,15,17 <b>98:</b> 3,10,	<b>117:</b> 5,5,6	<b>74:</b> 23 <b>82:</b> 5 <b>83:</b> 10,10 <b>84:</b> 7	misdemeanor [1] 58:15
Kavanaugh [35] 49:23,24	23 99:12,25 100:5,7,25	locally [2] 79:1,4	87:15 90:1 93:17 99:16,16,	mistakes [2] 47:7 73:15
<b>50:</b> 17 <b>51:</b> 15,19,23 <b>52:</b> 9,23	<b>101</b> :10 <b>102</b> :17 <b>103</b> :12 <b>104</b> :	location [9] 6:6 24:3,13,18	16 markat [1] 52:6	misuse [1] 47:22
<b>53:</b> 8,24 <b>54:</b> 8,15 <b>55:</b> 4,11	9,24 <b>105:</b> 8 <b>110:</b> 18 <b>111:</b> 4	<b>39:</b> 4,5 <b>56:</b> 20,25 <b>83:</b> 22	market [1] 52:6 markets [2] 58:3 63:2	mode [1] 108:15
<b>66</b> :18 <b>70</b> :17 <b>71</b> :1,22 <b>72</b> :1, 13,16,20 <b>73</b> :3,17,19 <b>89</b> :15,	lead [1] 116:9 leads [1] 122:17	locations [2] 7:1 78:23	Massachusetts [2] 93:12	model [1] 79:2
	least [6] 10:6 14:8 15:8 18:	lock [1] 56:4		modern [2] 46:15 115:11
16 <b>96</b> :19 <b>98</b> :16,18,19 <b>114</b> : 20 <b>115</b> :16,17 <b>117</b> :17		long [6] 40:5 47:6 48:8 53:	103:9 match [1] 75:8	modification [1] 114:6
<b>keep</b> [6] <b>4</b> :14 <b>32</b> :9 <b>45</b> :2 <b>90</b> :	13 <b>59:</b> 2 <b>92:</b> 13 leave [1] <b>53:</b> 17	4 <b>106</b> :13 <b>109</b> :16	material [1] 45:9	moment [2] 46:7 104:11
· ·		longer [1] 4:21		moral [4] 15:11,18 34:20
19 96:4 111:22 keeping [7] 9:17 19:5,7 74:	leaves [1] 121:11 led [1] 90:22	look [42] 6:18 8:2,6,6,25 9:	materials [1] 95:16 matter [4] 1:16 40:17 44:25	<b>50</b> :19
15,16 <b>100</b> :9 <b>118</b> :17		1 <b>14:</b> 22 <b>21:</b> 10 <b>25:</b> 6,7,24	<b>119:</b> 18	morning [5] 4:4,5 91:22
kept [1] 106:25	legal থে 86:4 115:24 legislature ও 20:11 100:	28:8 36:1 37:15 38:11 40:		<b>104</b> :19 <b>109</b> :6
<b>KEVIN</b> [1] 1:7	22 121:7	20 <b>41</b> :7,14,15,15,20,21 <b>44</b> :	mayor [1] 22:6 McDonald [3] 10:25 99:22	most [13] 6:3 8:19 9:23 13:
killed [1] 17:4	legitimate [2] 6:16 104:16	9 <b>53</b> :2 <b>55</b> :25 <b>57</b> :4 <b>67</b> :13	<b>106:</b> 7	12 <b>18</b> :1 <b>36</b> :14 <b>39</b> :11 <b>62</b> :25
kind [15] 10:3 34:22 35:13	length [1] 44:15	81:2 92:24 93:20 94:1 95:	McNally [1] 39:1	76:4 83:22 90:2 115:11
<b>38:3 41:</b> 17 <b>43:</b> 3,4 <b>44:</b> 10,	less [6] 54:6 60:13 61:18,	12 98:23 105:19 109:3	mean [53] <b>10:</b> 7,25 <b>12:</b> 21 <b>13:</b>	117:6
12 <b>57</b> :3 <b>68</b> :8 <b>99</b> :19 <b>109</b> :19	24 <b>74:</b> 25 <b>98:</b> 1	<b>115:</b> 5 <b>119:</b> 13,15,25 <b>120:</b> 13	23 <b>15:</b> 4,5 <b>16:</b> 11 <b>19:</b> 23,24	moving [1] 116:22
		<b>122:</b> 6,6		<b>MS</b> [70] <b>57:</b> 21 <b>60:</b> 7 <b>61:</b> 1,19,
	тт ·			

		Official		
23 62:22 63:18 64:15,20	17 <b>92:</b> 8,14,14 <b>93:</b> 12 <b>98:</b> 1,	OFFICIAL [3] 1:7 5:18 39:	54:11 58:3,15,18 60:19 62:	<b>120</b> :6
65:2,5 66:12,19 67:19,25	10,17 101:24 102:1,15 103:	24	8 63:18 64:10 70:8,19 73:	parties [2] 12:22 95:12
68:10,24 69:2,6,10,16,22	6 <b>104:</b> 4,10 <b>109:</b> 11 <b>110:</b> 22	officials [4] 22:13 32:21 50:	5,25 <b>75:</b> 13 <b>76:</b> 20 <b>77:</b> 3,6	parts [3] 28:2 29:14,14
70:2,22 71:3,23 72:11,14,	111:4 112:9,16,20 113:11	5 <b>86:</b> 5	83:14 85:7,9 87:16 90:1,	pass [2] 77:5 108:6
18,21 <b>73:</b> 8,18 <b>74:</b> 4 <b>75:</b> 21	114:24 117:3 118:20 120:	often [1] 85:2	13,18,21 <b>92:</b> 12,13 <b>94:</b> 11	passed [1] 41:16
76:25 77:9 78:12,22 79:12,	8 <b>121</b> :21 <b>122</b> :16	okay [8] 25:8 28:1 35:2 51:	97:2,11 102:5,6,11,15 104:	passes [1] 113:12
16,20 <b>80:</b> 1,3,7,11 <b>81:</b> 3,6,	newspaper [1] 49:7	23 <b>53:</b> 20 <b>79:</b> 14,19 <b>83:</b> 2	23 <b>108:</b> 11 <b>114:</b> 16 <b>120:</b> 3	passing [1] <b>40:</b> 4
10,19 <b>82:</b> 11,14,17,23 <b>83:</b> 6,	next [3] 67:14 100:21 121:1	on/off [1] 76:18	<b>121:</b> 2 <b>122:</b> 8	past [1] 19:12
20,24 <b>84:</b> 6,15,21 <b>85:</b> 16,20	night [7] 39:12 57:6 65:25	One [58] 10:24,24 11:25 14:	others [7] 76:8 110:19 113:	paths [2] 65:8 72:9
86:14,16,19 87:15,24 88:1,	67:1 68:22 89:1 113:4	2 <b>16:</b> 11 <b>17:</b> 20 <b>18:</b> 2,7 <b>19:</b> 3	18,22 <b>114:</b> 2,3 <b>116:</b> 5	PAUL [5] 2:2 3:3,13 4:9
14 <b>89</b> :22 <b>90</b> :16	Ninth [1] 110:24	<b>26:</b> 3 <b>29:</b> 20,22 <b>35:</b> 17 <b>36:</b> 1,	otherwise [1] 89:23	<b>118</b> :1
much [17] 29:4 44:22 47:3	nobody [5] 36:23,24,25 37:	12 <b>38</b> :1,16 <b>41</b> :19 <b>44</b> :5 <b>47</b> :	ought [5] 88:19 95:10 102:	Peace [1] 63:8
<b>60</b> :12 <b>64</b> :2 <b>68</b> :7 <b>73</b> :12 <b>76</b> :	1 <b>67</b> :13	13 <b>48</b> :3 <b>49</b> :12 <b>54</b> :1 <b>55</b> :8	2,20 <b>114</b> :12	people [67] 9:9,17,18 13:12
13 87:19 98:1 100:11 107:	non-speculative [3] 59:9	<b>57:6 59:3 60:</b> 23 <b>62:</b> 24 <b>66:</b>	ourselves [2] 42:3 114:2	<b>19:</b> 11 <b>21:</b> 5 <b>22:</b> 3,8,14 <b>23:</b>
23 <b>116:</b> 6,8,13 <b>117:</b> 14 <b>118:</b>	66:20 83:18	2,11 <b>71</b> :10 <b>74</b> :20,22 <b>75</b> :21	out [27] 7:24 11:20 16:24	15 <b>29</b> :20,22 <b>30</b> :14 <b>31</b> :4 <b>33</b> :
24 muddle [1] <b>54:</b> 24	non-tailored [1] 118:16 None [2] 67:6 121:18	<b>76</b> :12,19 <b>78</b> :24 <b>82</b> :1 <b>85</b> :1 <b>89</b> :19 <b>92</b> :7 <b>93</b> :5 <b>95</b> :24 <b>96</b> :	27:5,14,15 28:3,5 35:5 39: 16 44:1 46:7 49:17 53:10	24 <b>34</b> :3,18,20 <b>35</b> :1,2 <b>36</b> :14 <b>37</b> :17 <b>38</b> :5 <b>40</b> :17 <b>41</b> :1 <b>42</b> :
mudale [1] 54:24 mug [1] 67:14	nonetheless [1] 23:12	22 97:5 98:10 99:2 102:12	62:5 64:14,16 66:4 72:8	4 <b>45</b> :20 <b>49</b> :19 <b>50</b> :23 <b>51</b> :12
muggings [2] 64:25 67:16	nonpublic [3] 26:25 56:15,	<b>104:</b> 17 <b>105:</b> 24 <b>109:</b> 3,11	<b>73</b> :16 <b>83</b> :11 <b>90</b> :8 <b>93</b> :16	<b>58:</b> 7 <b>59:</b> 16 <b>63:</b> 6,9,23 <b>64:</b> 1,
multiply [2] 73:9 118:8	23	<b>110:</b> 22 <b>112:</b> 14 <b>114:</b> 16,21	<b>114:</b> 25 <b>116:</b> 20 <b>120:</b> 16,18	9,11 <b>66:</b> 9,25 <b>67:</b> 1,6 <b>68:</b> 8,
murders [1] 66:4	normally [1] 28:7	115:20 122:15	outcome [1] 119:22	16,18,21,25 <b>69:</b> 3,6,19,20,
myself [1] 70:21	norms [3] 43:4,16,18	ones [4] 10:18 11:7 40:22	outdoor [1] 38:2	25 <b>70</b> :7 <b>74</b> :23 <b>80</b> :22,23,25
	North [2] 49:14 86:8	101:1	outlaw [1] 31:1	87:4 89:2 103:25 106:10
<u> </u>	Northampton [8] 48:13,17	ongoing [1] 76:12	outlet [3] 21:21 40:9 43:14	<b>112</b> :18 <b>118</b> :19 <b>119</b> :2,21
name [1] 80:19	<b>49</b> :9,20 <b>58</b> :2 <b>90</b> :9 <b>91</b> :25	only [17] 5:16 7:6 14:17 20:	outlets [1] 5:4	<b>122:</b> 9,25
narrow [3] 47:19 48:6 115:	<b>94:</b> 2	12.22 <b>21</b> :5 <b>23</b> :10 <b>42</b> :3 <b>45</b> :	outlier [4] 47:2 59:11,14 99:	people's [2] 13:16,20
24	nothing [3] 5:25 67:20 109:	19 <b>51</b> :4 <b>93</b> :4 <b>98</b> :11 <b>100</b> :18	20	per [1] <b>49</b> :18
Nash [8] 37:9,10 38:1,25	18	<b>101</b> :14 <b>107</b> :12 <b>119</b> :20 <b>121</b> :	outliers [1] 5:6	percent [5] 12:22,23 13:3,7
<b>39:</b> 14 <b>60:</b> 20 <b>65:</b> 23 <b>68:</b> 11	notion [2] 75:8 108:25	2	outside [23] 4:19,22 5:5,21	<b>52</b> :4
Nash's [2] 72:15,15	notwithstanding [3] 12:	open [27] 6:6 18:20 19:25	9:17 11:14 15:3 19:7 21:	perfect [1] 10:15
nation's [9] 6:23 91:19 101:	14 <b>21</b> :4 <b>112</b> :19	20:3,8 21:6,21 24:3,13,19	22 40:10 46:10 47:1,10 48:	perfectly [1] 31:13
25 <b>102:</b> 12,18 <b>104:</b> 13 <b>105:</b>	November [1] 1:14	29:1 39:5 40:5,24 42:6,13	5,23 82:7 87:11 108:20	period [2] 58:6 82:25
19 <b>106</b> :7 <b>108</b> :13	nowhere [1] 37:13	43:6,9,17 54:12 58:24 92:	109:1,3,18 121:22 122:23	periods [1] 19:25
national [2] 81:15 93:21	number [12] 38:5 39:10 60:	3 <b>98:</b> 24 <b>99:</b> 2,4,15 <b>100:</b> 10	over [13] 8:17 12:2 37:17	permissive [1] 112:21
nature [4] 27:4 31:21 33:5	10 <b>69:</b> 17,18 <b>73:</b> 10 <b>74:</b> 17	opening [1] 24:2	<b>43:</b> 13,17 <b>56:</b> 7 <b>74:</b> 7 <b>87:</b> 20,	permit [10] 21:12,13 24:23
<b>56:</b> 19	<b>104:</b> 12 <b>112:</b> 14 <b>118:</b> 9,14,17	openly [2] 7:12 43:22	21 <b>92</b> :11 <b>102</b> :12 <b>113</b> :20	62:16 68:12 94:23 96:11
near [1] 37:13	Nunn [3] 5:7 42:11 100:7	operate [2] 72:24 111:13	116:7	99:3 116:24 120:5
necessarily [1] 90:21 necessary [2] 15:12 101:	nurse [1] 67:4	operating [1] 41:1	overly [1] 85:12	permits [8] 61:17,19,22 78:
20	NYU [6] 29:2,3 34:14 35:4	operative [1] 7:21	own [11] 25:24 33:11 36:4	22 <b>79:</b> 24,25 <b>82:</b> 18 <b>83:</b> 15
need [39] 5:19,24 9:25 10:2	<b>37:</b> 12,14	opinion [10] 15:1,22 35:12	46:5 63:10 71:16 94:10 97:	permitted [1] 38:1
<b>13</b> :16,20 <b>14</b> :10,13,19 <b>23</b> :5	NYU's [1] 60:23	<b>49:</b> 15,16 <b>54:</b> 18 <b>90:</b> 7,24	3 <b>111</b> :23 <b>112</b> :24 <b>113</b> :25	permitting [14] 45:12 50:3,
<b>33</b> :11 <b>39</b> :13,25 <b>44</b> :21 <b>45</b> :	0	<b>120:</b> 18,21	Р	4 <b>51</b> :25 <b>77</b> :4 <b>80</b> :8 <b>115</b> :22
13 <b>47</b> :25 <b>58</b> :7 <b>62</b> :11 <b>66</b> :11,	object [3] 50:9,10,14	opinions [1] 84:25	packed [2] 63:24 64:1	<b>116:</b> 1,12,22 <b>118:</b> 7 <b>120:</b> 2,2,
23 <b>68</b> :9 <b>76</b> :19 <b>81</b> :22 <b>87</b> :12	objective [2] 51:21 72:5	opportunity [1] 88:13	PAGE [5] 3:2 24:15 38:24,	3
<b>95</b> :18 <b>96</b> :7,14 <b>97</b> :6,24,25	obvious [1] 49:4	opposed [1] 33:5	24 <b>86:</b> 3	person [12] 23:15,23 42:18
<b>98:</b> 3,4,8,13 <b>108:</b> 10 <b>117:</b> 1	obviously [6] 9:20 31:17	opposite [2] 7:4 40:15 option [5] 99:2,4 102:15,19,	papers [1] 85:3	<b>47:</b> 21 <b>58:</b> 12 <b>59:</b> 8 <b>65:</b> 20 <b>66:</b>
<b>120:</b> 6 <b>121:</b> 16,23	55:13 63:12 94:4 95:25	20	parade [2] 79:24 120:3	5,9 68:11 113:2 122:17 personal <sup>[3]</sup> 32:9 62:21 68:
neighborhood [2] 71:7,8	odd [1] 91:2	oral [7] 1:17 3:2,5,8 4:9 57:	parades [1] 80:12	17
neutral [1] 23:21	odds [1] 113:1	19 <b>91</b> :12	paradoxical [1] 63:14	personally [1] 86:1
never [2] 19:16 75:11	offense [1] 49:18	order [3] 14:10 120:5 121:	park [2] 56:17 78:8	persons [2] 86:6,11
NEW [101] 1:3,9 2:4,4 4:6,	offensive [5] 23:20 86:24	20	parking [3] 65:25 66:14 68:	perspective [3] 73:5 112:7
21,24 <b>5:</b> 1,9,12 <b>6:</b> 4 <b>7:</b> 9 <b>11:</b>	<b>87:</b> 3,8,21	orderly [1] 67:4	12	<b>121</b> :10
13,14,14,23 <b>12:</b> 23 <b>14:</b> 9,16	offensively [4] 86:12,13	ordinances [1] 104:20	Parliament [1] 18:11	persuade [2] 98:7 104:5
15:7,24 16:8 17:10 20:21	<b>87:</b> 2,13	ordinary [5] 59:16 66:22	part [8] 9:22 24:16 33:9 47:	persuasive [1] 36:3
21:2,10 22:24 27:16 29:7	offer [2] 26:1,13	<b>68</b> :8,10 <b>69</b> :24	9 <b>60</b> :17 <b>73</b> :13 <b>94</b> :5 <b>101</b> :25	Petitioners [11] 1:5 2:3 3:
<b>31:</b> 2,10 <b>33:</b> 22,24,24 <b>34:</b> 1,4,		original [5] 8:20 9:3 10:9	participating [1] 4:4	4,14 <b>4</b> :10 <b>5</b> :25 <b>12</b> :11 <b>34</b> :2
17 <b>35:</b> 5,25 <b>37:</b> 11 <b>40:</b> 5 <b>41:</b>	officer [10] 39:1 61:9 65:6,	<b>105:</b> 2,12	particular [18] 21:3 28:6	<b>71</b> :11 <b>79</b> :16 <b>118</b> :2
17 <b>43</b> :19 <b>44</b> :3 <b>51</b> :2 <b>52</b> :7	13,24 <b>71</b> :14,21 <b>72</b> :4 <b>84</b> :19	other [68] 6:1 11:7,7,12 12:	<b>50</b> :12 <b>57</b> :5 <b>66</b> :4,14 <b>67</b> :20	Phoenix [3] 35:22 36:24
<b>55</b> :10 <b>57</b> :8 <b>59</b> :6,11,17 <b>60</b> :	<b>112</b> :25	15 <b>13:</b> 3,5 <b>15:</b> 8 <b>17:</b> 16,17	<b>68:</b> 18 <b>70:</b> 18 <b>71:</b> 7,8 <b>78:</b> 23,	<b>119</b> :10
1,8,25 <b>68:</b> 21 <b>69:</b> 13 <b>71:</b> 6	officers [9] 33:10 60:16 68:	21:1 22:16,25 24:22 26:21	23 87:17 96:13 101:19	pick [1] 17:22
<b>74:</b> 14 <b>75:</b> 1,3,5 <b>77:</b> 15 <b>78:</b>	7 <b>84:5 111:</b> 13,21 <b>112:</b> 18	<b>29:</b> 23 <b>31:</b> 5 <b>34:</b> 23 <b>36:</b> 2 <b>38:</b>	<b>116</b> :20 <b>118</b> :19	PISTOL [6] 1:3 4:6 92:6 96:
10,10,19,21 <b>79:</b> 10,11,13	116:23 117:5	21 <b>40:</b> 16 <b>46:</b> 11,15 <b>48:</b> 12	particularly [6] 12:19 74:	5 <b>97:</b> 22,24
82:7,8 83:22,23 88:23 91:	offices [1] 67:3	<b>49:</b> 2 <b>50:</b> 11,23 <b>51:</b> 4 <b>53:</b> 14	18 <b>94</b> :25 <b>106</b> :14 <b>107</b> :3	pistols [3] 100:9,17 109:9

Official

Official				
place [50] 5:11 12:3 23:11	<b>7</b> :10 <b>8</b> :4	<b>100</b> :16	18 <b>107</b> :15 <b>111</b> :10 <b>113</b> :16	recognizing [1] 107:17
<b>24:</b> 21,24 <b>25:</b> 3,13 <b>26:</b> 4,12,	postbellum [1] 10:17	prohibitions [1] 19:9	<b>114:</b> 11	Reconstruction [2] 8:15,
14,16,19 <b>27:</b> 2,3,4,6,7 <b>29:</b>	posting [1] 23:24	prohibits [4] 21:11 43:8,10	questioning [1] 63:24	22
20,22 <b>31:</b> 3,7,11,12,14 <b>32:</b> 6,	powerful [2] 102:7,8	<b>44:</b> 3	questions [15] 10:24 30:23	record [4] 30:25 67:7 97:7
14,15,17,18,22 <b>33:</b> 6 <b>36:</b> 1,2	practice [8] 14:12,25 52:15,	proliferate [1] 73:14	<b>49</b> :25 <b>52</b> :1,18,19 <b>55</b> :13 <b>59</b> :	<b>122</b> :11
<b>44:</b> 19 <b>45:</b> 5 <b>52:</b> 7 <b>55:</b> 21,25	20 <b>53</b> :23 <b>59</b> :19 <b>91</b> :3 <b>99</b> :6	proliferates [1] 70:13	18 <b>70</b> :19 <b>71</b> :24 <b>74</b> :25 <b>89</b> :	records [1] 84:23
<b>57:</b> 1,5 <b>62:</b> 15 <b>63:</b> 14,15 <b>64:</b>	praised [2] 7:18 120:22	proliferating [2] 70:6,8	13 90:2 92:21 113:20	reduce [1] 36:10
25 74:6,12 82:2 88:11 112:	precedent [1] 110:1	prominently [1] 113:1	quick [4] 48:16 118:4 120:	reduced [1] 74:17
2 114:22	precise [2] 20:2 51:9	propensity [1] 23:20	1,10	refer [1] 99:12
places [27] 5:10 6:5 16:1	precisely [2] 61:8 70:6	proper [3] 71:18 91:17 108:	quite [5] 13:19 57:6 60:21	reference [5] 31:17 55:12
<b>19:</b> 10 <b>25:</b> 9 <b>30:</b> 18 <b>31:</b> 2,15,	predictable [1] 117:12	15	<b>68</b> :14 <b>89</b> :24	<b>79</b> :5 <b>86</b> :4 <b>91</b> :4
20 33:18 38:6,12,17 39:10	prefatory [1] 7:20	properly [2] 44:9 88:20	R	referred [1] 116:5
40:16 44:13 45:1 52:1 58:	prefer [3] 43:21 47:13 55:2	proposition [2] 23:14 86:	rabbit [1] 16:25	referring [1] 69:10
4 62:9 63:1 68:3 73:11 74:	preference [1] 8:16	23	radically [4] 8:21 22:23 57:	reflected [2] 93:5 112:15 refused [1] 46:8
19 78:9 88:19 89:5	prefers [1] 47:11 prejudice [1] 104:15	prosecuted [2] 49:9 98:5	12,13	regardless [2] 62:17 96:10
play <sup>[2]</sup> 54:6,10 please <sup>[4]</sup> 4:12 57:22 91:	premises [1] 71:16	protect 5 22:10 63:22 64: 12 68:9 107:12	rallies [1] 31:24	regime [27] 5:12 14:7 16:
16 <b>119:</b> 15	prescriptive [1] 117:12	protected [10] 4:23 5:14	ran [1] 11:1	12 <b>21</b> :6 <b>35</b> :25 <b>36</b> :9 <b>43</b> :20
plenty [1] 34:25	present [2] 109:10 115:22	23:16 45:21 51:12 67:24	random [1] 66:8	<b>45</b> :12 <b>50</b> :3 <b>51</b> :3,16,25 <b>78</b> :
plethora [1] 17:21	presented [3] 18:8 85:6	<b>70:4 101:</b> 14 <b>105:</b> 3 <b>120:</b> 20	range [4] 75:22 77:12,17	24 82:3 84:18 88:11 98:1
pocket [1] 100:16	<b>107</b> :15	protection [1] 92:19	<b>81</b> :21	<b>99</b> :6,14 <b>111</b> :19 <b>112</b> :20,21
point [27] 6:8 8:25 9:1 22:	pressing [1] 98:8	protects [1] 4:18	rapes [1] 65:7	<b>113</b> :5 <b>118</b> :7,8 <b>120</b> :8 <b>121</b> :
20,22 <b>26</b> :22 <b>29</b> :17 <b>35</b> :16	presumably [2] 62:6 108:5	protest [1] 30:13	rate [1] 83:12	19
<b>38</b> :11 <b>39</b> :8 <b>49</b> :4 <b>50</b> :5 <b>54</b> :	presumptive [1] 122:16	prove [2] 12:18 103:13	rather [4] 43:7,22 66:16	regimes [15] 17:21 50:10,
14 <b>65</b> :10 <b>70</b> :8 <b>75</b> :10,22 <b>87</b> :	presumptively [1] 107:20	provide [3] 32:23 46:4 114:	<b>103</b> :14	12,14,20 <b>73</b> :4,9 <b>74</b> :1 <b>75</b> :3,
10 <b>94</b> :14 <b>95</b> :7 <b>104</b> :18 <b>106</b> :	pretty [7] 25:11 34:23 38:	15	ratification [1] 92:10	5 <b>96</b> :21 <b>99</b> :3 <b>115</b> :22 <b>116</b> :2,
6 112:2 114:23 115:3 118:	20 39:10 44:2 62:6 68:7	provided [1] 58:12	rationale [1] 63:3	21
22 <b>121</b> :13	prevalent [2] 98:12 106:4	provision [1] 105:12	read [6] 11:4,5 23:8 54:18	regions [2] 93:17,18
pointed [4] 19:3 46:7 72:8	primarily [1] 100:4	provisions [2] 21:13 94:12	<b>61:</b> 17 <b>107:</b> 17	regulate [3] 55:15 62:25
<b>90</b> :8	Principal [1] 2:6	public [27] 6:7 9:3 10:9 24:	readily [4] 60:12 61:17,24	100:23
points [6] 14:1 53:10,25	principle [3] 26:13 89:7	4,13 <b>26</b> :7 <b>39</b> :6 <b>41</b> :16 <b>44</b> :	<b>84:</b> 3	regulating [4] 5:13 58:11
102:7 104:21 118:4	110:7	13 <b>47:</b> 24 <b>49:</b> 1 <b>57:</b> 9,25,25	reading [3] 51:9 62:2,3	<b>59</b> :7 <b>63</b> :22
POLICE [9] 1:9 33:10 63:	principles [2] 26:1,2	<b>58:</b> 3,11,13 <b>59:</b> 7,13 <b>63:</b> 23	reads [1] 13:24	regulation [16] 6:14,16 16:
17 68:7 69:13 70:5 111:21	prior [1] 122:11	65:12 68:15 76:21 81:24	reaffirmed [1] 106:25	2 <b>44:</b> 11,12 <b>64:</b> 5 <b>91:</b> 20 <b>93:</b>
<b>112:</b> 17,25	private [2] 30:9 122:10	<b>109:</b> 8 <b>122:</b> 7,8	real [7] 27:15 68:8 72:2,9	21 <b>99:</b> 20 <b>102:</b> 1,20 <b>104:</b> 16
policemen [1] 62:12	privately [1] 99:13	publicly [2] 99:13 100:24	74:3 112:14,15 real-world [1] 122:5	<b>106</b> :13 <b>109</b> :8,24 <b>115</b> :9
policing [2] 111:20 122:15	privilege [3] 5:16 45:18	purported [1] 7:11	realize [2] 41:24 53:3	regulations [21] 18:4 19:4,
policy [4] 29:16 53:17,22	<b>121</b> :25	purports [1] 51:2	really [22] 6:25 7:6 9:11 10:	7,10,10 <b>33:</b> 25 <b>52:</b> 16 <b>55:</b> 16
<b>62</b> :18	probably [15] 20:4 25:6,11,	purpose [5] 32:9,10 41:17	5,7 <b>11</b> :21 <b>12</b> :18 <b>13</b> :8,15	<b>63</b> :19 <b>77</b> :5 <b>92</b> :20 <b>106</b> :2,2
populated [10] 60:13 61:	23 27:6 31:23 36:10 47:16	<b>64</b> :10 <b>78</b> :16	<b>16</b> :18 <b>21</b> :2 <b>26</b> :14 <b>33</b> :5,10	<b>107:</b> 16 <b>108:</b> 12,14 <b>109:</b> 4,10
18,24 <b>62</b> :5,9 <b>63</b> :1 <b>70</b> :9 <b>73</b> :	<b>49</b> :4 <b>54</b> :25 <b>55</b> :1 <b>62</b> :15 <b>72</b> :	purposes [5] 4:19,22 16:	<b>35</b> :20 <b>39</b> :24 <b>45</b> :2 <b>57</b> :7 <b>75</b> :	<b>110</b> :7 <b>111</b> :1 <b>114</b> :25
11 74:19,25	11,16 <b>111:</b> 9 problem [14] <b>21:</b> 3 <b>43:</b> 13	15 56:17 91:6	12 <b>91</b> :2 <b>119</b> :20,24	regulatory [4] 13:11,23 41:
population [9] 59:25 60:	<b>50</b> :2 <b>51</b> :13 <b>68</b> :13 <b>72</b> :10 <b>73</b> :	put [9] 9:10 23:23 74:12 82: 9 86:20 87:12 118:13 120:	reason [22] 6:20 7:1 10:10	25 <b>42</b> :1 reinvent [1] <b>93</b> :25
18 <b>63</b> :5 <b>65</b> :15 <b>77</b> :21 <b>118</b> : 23 <b>119</b> :1,5,9	4,8 <b>78</b> :20 <b>81</b> :14 <b>93</b> :6 <b>95</b> :	24 <b>121:</b> 8	<b>12:</b> 20 <b>14:</b> 6 <b>16:</b> 18,19 <b>33:</b> 3	reject [1] 13:17
populous <sup>[3]</sup> 6:3 59:4 73:6		puts [1] 13:13	<b>54</b> :3 <b>55</b> :1 <b>59</b> :9 <b>62</b> :20 <b>66</b> :7,	rejecting [1] 54:19
pose [2] 113:15 118:19	problematic [4] 14:7 120:	Putting [6] 19:9 32:11 46:6	8 76:13 79:12 88:10 93:3	relationship [1] 7:20
poses [1] 19:18	14 <b>121:</b> 3,9	87:2 91:24 92:1	<b>94</b> :18 <b>103</b> :21 <b>104</b> :14 <b>107</b> :	relative [1] 39:15
position [3] 39:18 49:2 87:	problems [9] 17:1 23:2 35:		19	relatively [2] 6:22 103:6
7	24 <b>36</b> :13 <b>50</b> :13 <b>64</b> :17 <b>70</b> :3	Q	reasonable [7] 31:14 58:	relaxed [1] 58:6
possess [3] 23:25 45:14	<b>116</b> :9 <b>123</b> :3	qualification [2] 22:16 23:	13,16 <b>60:</b> 9 <b>106:</b> 1,3 <b>115:</b> 9	relevant [9] 4:15 9:2 10:8
<b>109</b> :18	proceeding [1] 11:18	1	reasoning [2] 7:3 62:19	12:19 71:15 76:5,6 107:8
possessing [1] 9:8	process [2] 76:7,12	qualify [2] 22:25 32:22	reasons [5] 10:5 33:25 44:	<b>121:</b> 18
possession [5] 9:19 10:1	proclivity [1] 47:21	quality [1] 89:8	20 <b>50</b> :8 <b>105</b> :23	relied [3] 10:19 48:20 62:1
77:8 87:11 107:22	produce [1] 35:13	quantifiable [1] 112:8	REBUTTAL [4] 3:12 117:	relief [4] 20:20,24 21:1 40:
possibility [1] 50:19	Professor [1] 53:12	quarrel [3] 90:3 96:20 108:	24 <b>118</b> :1,4	8
possible [4] 68:3 82:19 95:	professors [1] 11:1	24	received [1] 59:16	relies [2] 100:13,14
23 <b>115:</b> 1	prohibit [7] 6:5 20:8 21:20	question [39] 11:12,21 12:	recent [3] 18:2,3 71:15	reluctant [1] 33:4
post [1] 58:14	<b>39</b> :3 <b>43</b> :1 <b>100</b> :19 <b>110</b> :19	7,10 <b>18</b> :8 <b>19</b> :21 <b>24</b> :10 <b>27</b> :	receptive [2] 105:4,16	rely [2] 59:24 121:4
post-1871 [1] 10:6	prohibited [10] 18:22 19:4	18 <b>32:</b> 14,24 <b>41:</b> 13,19,20	recognition [2] 94:8 108:3	relying [4] 93:7,9 100:3
post-Civil [1] 17:24	<b>40</b> :18 <b>42</b> :12 <b>49</b> :20 <b>58</b> :2 <b>59</b> :	<b>52:</b> 10 <b>53:</b> 8,9 <b>54:</b> 16 <b>55:</b> 8,	recognize [2] 63:21 77:16	<b>121</b> :9
post-Constitution [1] 17:	3 92:4 99:15 100:17	10 70:23 72:19,23 76:24	recognized [2] 106:8 109:	remain [1] 92:17
23	prohibiting [2] 6:23 58:24	<b>79:</b> 6 <b>84:</b> 22 <b>94:</b> 1 <b>95:</b> 5,9,17 <b>96:</b> 3,19 <b>97:</b> 20 <b>102:</b> 9 <b>104:</b> 9,	12 recognizes [2] 44:17,18	remand [2] 59:19 121:16
post-Reconstruction [2]	prohibition [3] 48:22,23	<b>33.</b> 0, 10 <b>31.</b> 20 <b>102.</b> 3 <b>104.</b> 9,	1000ginzes 1144.17,10	remanded [2] 73:1 83:4

	-	Official		
remember [1] 80:19	ride [2] 86:6,11	17 <b>121</b> :15	<b>45</b> :1,4 <b>52</b> :1,7 <b>57</b> :1 <b>82</b> :2 <b>88</b> :	since [2] 11:24 74:7
remind [1] 47:17	RIFLE [2] 1:3 4:6	says [14] 11:6,14 14:16 15:	10,11,20 <b>89:</b> 6	single [1] 79:4
remotely [1] 4:4	rifles [1] 109:17	7 <b>30</b> :12 <b>47</b> :22 <b>57</b> :5,7 <b>64</b> :6	sensitivity [1] 43:25	single-most [2] 47:17 49:
Rensselaer [7] 28:16 37:	rightly [4] 5:6 89:21,24 105:	80:19 86:10 90:24 99:6	sentence [2] 91:4 100:21	4
11 <b>38</b> :6 <b>39</b> :10 <b>61</b> :3 <b>78</b> :12	18	<b>108</b> :19	sentiments [1] 104:4	singled [1] 7:23
<b>82</b> :18	rights [29] 5:20 7:15 10:11,	scared [1] 67:16	separate [3] 87:4,5 116:4	situation [1] 113:6
replace [1] 82:3	19 <b>12</b> :24 <b>14</b> :5 <b>17</b> :8 <b>18</b> :1	scattered [1] 104:19	sequentially [1] 19:22	situations [2] 58:20 81:21
replacement [1] 88:12	<b>34</b> :7 <b>37</b> :20 <b>46</b> :15 <b>48</b> :22 <b>50</b> :	scheme [2] 13:11,23	serious [3] 12:10 38:10 72:	six [3] 35:24 101:5 123:4
replete [1] 44:16	6,24 <b>73</b> :24 <b>75</b> :9,13 <b>96</b> :14,	schemes [1] 41:25	8	Sixth [1] 105:7
reported [2] 49:5 85:21	16 97:2 101:18 105:13,21	school [1] 26:10 schools [2] 25:10 26:6	seriously [1] 13:15	skeptical [2] 10:6 93:4
reporters [1] 49:7	<b>116:</b> 8 <b>119:</b> 2,4 <b>120:</b> 21 <b>121:</b> 6,13		serve [1] 88:11	slightly [1] 45:7 slip [1] 85:25
reporting [1] 85:20 reports [1] 49:7	rigorous [1] 119:17	scientists [3] 74:5 80:18 81:7	served [3] 24:25 25:4,13 set [5] 7:23 40:22 64:17 78:	smuggle [1] 53:21
request [1] 21:15	risk [10] 38:8 65:11,14,17,	scientists' [1] 112:4	21 <b>79</b> :4	soccer [1] 34:22
require [1] 98:14	18,19 <b>73</b> :12 <b>80</b> :24 <b>113</b> :6	scrutiny <sup>[20]</sup> 30:17 46:12,	seven [3] 92:17 119:7 123:	social [4] 74:5 80:18 81:6
required [7] 45:12 58:14	<b>118</b> :19	13,15 <b>47:</b> 12,13,16,18 <b>53:</b>	4	112:4
<b>92:4 100:</b> 10 <b>117:</b> 10,13,16	risks [2] 39:25 63:25	11,11 <b>54:</b> 5 <b>59:</b> 22 <b>108:</b> 6,10,		sold <sup>[1]</sup> 73:18
requirement [10] 12:15 14:	roads [2] 38:4 39:12	11 <b>113:</b> 14 <b>114:</b> 3,5,6 <b>115:</b>	several [1] 49:25	solicitation [1] 80:12
10 <b>19</b> :2 <b>22</b> :11 <b>51</b> :6,16 <b>58</b> :	rob [1] 62:7	13	shall [16] 36:6 37:3 50:11,	Solicitor [3] 2:4,6 120:11
22 91:17 98:6 103:8	robberies [2] 65:7 71:11	scrutiny's [1] 54:4	14,25 <b>51</b> :3,15 <b>73</b> :4,9 <b>74</b> :1	somebody [11] 15:10 17:4
requirements [1] 107:21	ROBERTS [45] 4:3 24:1,20	se [1] 49:18	<b>86:</b> 6,10,11 <b>99:</b> 2 <b>115:</b> 24	23:17,19 39:22 42:16 66:6
requires [5] 5:23 15:13 30:	<b>25</b> :2,20 <b>34</b> :11 <b>37</b> :5,21 <b>40</b> :	Second [59] 4:13 6:11 7:15,		67:2,5 76:2 95:2
25 <b>47</b> :18 <b>110</b> :14	1 <b>45:</b> 23 <b>49:</b> 22 <b>55:</b> 5 <b>57:</b> 16	19 9:3 10:10 11:22 14:4	shape [1] 9:15	somehow [2] 51:21 86:12
reside [1] 34:2	61:15,21,25 63:11 64:4,19,	23:16 26:13 30:11 37:19	share [2] 111:16 112:9	someone [1] 62:7
residents [1] 45:13	24 65:3 66:1 78:2 79:7 83:	42:22 43:1 49:3 51:13 54:	sheriff [1] 22:6	someone's [3] 62:16 94:
respect [14] 9:21 14:3,19	16 <b>88:</b> 3 <b>89:</b> 10,14,17 <b>91:</b> 9	7,14 <b>56:</b> 9,11 <b>57:</b> 2,13 <b>62:</b> 2,	shift [1] 21:6	21 <b>117:</b> 2
<b>17</b> :5 <b>18</b> :9,25 <b>19</b> :6 <b>23</b> :8 <b>36</b> :	<b>93:</b> 22 <b>95:</b> 6 <b>96:</b> 8 <b>97:</b> 4,10,	20 64:11 67:24 78:18 88:	shoot [1] 16:25	someplace [1] 88:7
16 <b>40</b> :23 <b>51</b> :3 <b>63</b> :21 <b>100</b> :2	15 <b>102:</b> 22,25 <b>107:</b> 25 <b>111:</b> 7	17,18 90:25 91:18 94:9 95:	shooting [4] 17:3 66:9 70:	somewhat [3] 94:4 95:15,
111:4	<b>113</b> :8 <b>115</b> :15 <b>117</b> :19,22	3,13,21 <b>96:</b> 4,23,25 <b>97:</b> 17,	12,12	16
respects [1] 90:8	123:8	21 <b>101:</b> 13 <b>102:</b> 13 <b>105:</b> 3,25		Son [1] 66:6
respond [1] 99:8	Robertson [1] 56:8	<b>106</b> :21 <b>107</b> :12 <b>108</b> :25 <b>109</b> :		sorry [4] 6:9 76:25 98:18
responded [1] 98:21	rough [2] 82:22 83:3	13 <b>111</b> :1 <b>113</b> :20 <b>118</b> :10,22		<b>100</b> :5
<b>Respondents</b> [7] 1:10 2:5,	route [3] 33:4 47:12 66:14	<b>119</b> :2,4 <b>120</b> :20 <b>121</b> :7,13	shot [1] 119:22	sort [30] 10:17,21 19:15 24:
9 3:7,11 57:20 91:14	rubric [1] 32:3	<b>122</b> :20 <b>123</b> :6	shoulder [2] 64:1,1	21 <b>35:</b> 3,16 <b>36:</b> 7 <b>40:</b> 14 <b>41:</b>
response [4] 53:25 55:9,	rule [3] 35:5 60:4,9	security [1] 32:21	shoulder-to-shoulder [1]	5 43:25 51:8 52:5 54:18
20 96:19	rules <sup>[5]</sup> 40:22 81:15,15,15,	see [7] 22:17 44:10 74:2 76:		<b>56:4 61:</b> 13 <b>75:</b> 22 <b>93:</b> 19,23
responses [1] 77:13	18	16 79:12 85:25 119:20 seek [1] 5:25	shouldn't [1] 60:6	<b>99:</b> 20 <b>101:</b> 2 <b>103:</b> 12 <b>108:</b>
rest [4] 38:20 43:20 45:20 51:12	run [3] 30:8 62:7 72:7 runner [1] 72:6	seem [2] 59:24 113:19	show [16] 14:10,12 15:17 35:1 45:13,19 49:8 51:11	20 <b>110</b> :2,4 <b>111</b> :14 <b>112</b> :6,7 <b>117</b> :9,9,15
rested [1] 101:12			35.145.13,1945.051.11	117.9,9,10
	l rupping [1] 72.0	coome [10] 19.2/ 10.11 25.	50.1/ 72.25 05.1 07.18 08.	sorts [4] 50:8 85:0 107:15
······································	running [1] 72:9	seems [10] 18:24 19:11 35: 5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4	<b>59</b> :14 <b>73</b> :25 <b>95</b> :1 <b>97</b> :18 <b>98</b> :	sorts [4] 50:8 85:9 107:15
<b>restrict</b> [7] <b>20:</b> 2 <b>26:</b> 8 <b>27:</b> 19	runs [1] 58:1	5 <b>40:</b> 13 <b>44:</b> 13 <b>62:</b> 14 <b>72:</b> 4	4 105:1 120:5 121:23	<b>116</b> :9
<b>29</b> :11,13 <b>30</b> :9 <b>92</b> :3	runs <sup>[1]</sup> 58:1 rural <sup>[8]</sup> 60:3,5,24 61:6 74:	5 <b>40</b> :13 <b>44</b> :13 <b>62</b> :14 <b>72</b> :4 <b>73</b> :21 <b>74</b> :22 <b>75</b> :2	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>(9)</sup> 11:10 92:4 95:	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13,
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7	runs [1] 58:1 rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1
<b>29</b> :11,13 <b>30</b> :9 <b>92</b> :3	runs [1] 58:1 rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 S	5 <b>40</b> :13 <b>44</b> :13 <b>62</b> :14 <b>72</b> :4 <b>73</b> :21 <b>74</b> :22 <b>75</b> :2	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13,
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20	runs [1] 58:1 rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <u>S</u> safe [2] 64:8 111:22	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39:
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe [2] 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65:	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110:
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31:	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33:	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24		5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14	$\begin{array}{c} \text{runs [1] 58:1} \\ \text{rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74:} \\ 25 75:5,23 83:22 \\ \hline \\$	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96:	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21 source <sup>[1]</sup> 91:5 sources <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8	runs (1) 58:1 rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe [2] 64:8 111:22 safeguard [1] 32:16 safety [6] 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake [1] 8:25 Sam [1] 66:6 same [21] 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41:	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103:	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21 source <sup>[1]</sup> 91:5 sources <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15 south <sup>[2]</sup> 93:8,9
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100:	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 Safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25 Sam (1) 66:6 same (21) 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21 source <sup>[1]</sup> 91:5 sources <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15 south <sup>[2]</sup> 93:8,9 space <sup>[1]</sup> 68:19
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{runs} \ (1) \ \textbf{58:1} \\ \textbf{rural} \ [8] \ \textbf{60:} 3,5,24 \ \textbf{61:6} \ \textbf{74:} \\ 25 \ \textbf{75:} 5,23 \ \textbf{83:} 22 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{S} \\ \textbf{safe} \ \textbf{11:} 22 \\ \textbf{safeguard} \ \textbf{[1] 32:} 16 \\ \textbf{safety} \ \textbf{[6] 57:} 25 \ \textbf{63:} 23 \ \textbf{65:} \\ 12 \ \textbf{76:} 21 \ \textbf{81:} 24 \ \textbf{111:} 23 \\ \textbf{sake} \ \textbf{[1] 8:} 25 \\ \textbf{Same} \ \textbf{[1] 66:} 6 \\ \textbf{same} \ \textbf{[21] 7:} 8 \ \textbf{9:9 16:} 12 \ \textbf{17:} \\ 8 \ \textbf{19:} 1 \ \textbf{23:} 9 \ \textbf{38:} 23,24 \ \textbf{41:} \\ 17 \ \textbf{46:} 25 \ \textbf{56:} 21 \ \textbf{77:} 16,17 \\ \textbf{79:} 1,2 \ \textbf{94:} 11 \ \textbf{99:} 13 \ \textbf{112:} 18 \\ \end{array}$	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122:	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [1] 91:5 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12	$\begin{array}{r} runs \ \ (1) \ 58:1 \\ rural \ \ (8) \ 60:3,5,24 \ 61:6 \ 74: \\ 25 \ 75:5,23 \ 83:22 \\ \hline \\$	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78:	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21 source <sup>[1]</sup> 91:5 source <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15 south <sup>[2]</sup> 93:8,9 space <sup>[1]</sup> 68:19 spaces <sup>[1]</sup> 77:17 spans <sup>[3]</sup> 93:15,16,17
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <b>S</b> safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25 Sam (1) 66:6 same (21) 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17 79:1,2 94:11 99:13 112:18 113:15 120:22,25 San (1) 37:1	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1]	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121:	116:9 SOTOMAYOR <sup>[26]</sup> 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought <sup>[1]</sup> 12:12 sounds <sup>[1]</sup> 88:21 source <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15 sources <sup>[3]</sup> 85:10,14 114: 15 south <sup>[2]</sup> 93:8,9 space <sup>[1]</sup> 68:19 spaces <sup>[1]</sup> 77:17 spans <sup>[3]</sup> 93:15,16,17 spate <sup>[1]</sup> 66:4
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <b>S</b> safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25 Sam (1) 66:6 same (21) 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17 79:1,2 94:11 99:13 112:18 113:15 120:22,25 San (1) 37:1 satisfaction (1) 5:17	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [1] 91:5 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94:
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7 revealed [1] 52:19	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{runs} \ (1) \ \textbf{58:1} \\ \textbf{rural} \ (8) \ \textbf{60:} 3, 5, 24 \ \textbf{61:6} \ \textbf{74:} \\ 25 \ \textbf{75:} 5, 23 \ \textbf{83:} 22 \\ \hline \textbf{S} \\ \textbf{safe} \ \textbf{25} \ \textbf{75:} 5, 23 \ \textbf{83:} 22 \\ \hline \textbf{S} \\ \textbf{safe} \ \textbf{11:} 22 \\ \textbf{safeguard} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{32:} 16 \\ \textbf{safety} \ \textbf{(6)} \ \textbf{57:} 25 \ \textbf{63:} 23 \ \textbf{65:} \\ 12 \ \textbf{76:} 21 \ \textbf{81:} 24 \ \textbf{111:} 23 \\ \textbf{sake} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{8:} 25 \\ \textbf{Sam} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{66:} 6 \\ \textbf{same} \ \textbf{(21)} \ \textbf{78} \ \textbf{9:} 9 \ \textbf{16:} 12 \ \textbf{17:} \\ \textbf{8} \ \textbf{19:} 1 \ \textbf{23:} 9 \ \textbf{38:} 23, 24 \ \textbf{41:} \\ 17 \ \textbf{46:} 25 \ \textbf{56:} 21 \ \textbf{77:} 16, 17 \\ \textbf{79:} 1, 2 \ \textbf{94:} 11 \ \textbf{99:} 13 \ \textbf{112:} 18 \\ \textbf{113:} 15 \ \textbf{120:} 22, 25 \\ \textbf{San} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{37:} 1 \\ \textbf{satisfaction} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{5:} 17 \\ \textbf{satisfaction} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{5:} 17 \\ \textbf{satisfied} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{84:} 3 \end{array}$	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10 sense [5] 23:4 24:5 41:21	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5 Similarly <sup>[1]</sup> 29:8	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [1] 91:5 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94: 18
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7 revealed [1] 52:19 reversed [2] 42:16 84:9	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <b>S</b> safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25 Sam (1) 66:6 same (21) 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17 79:1,2 94:11 99:13 112:18 113:15 120:22,25 San (1) 37:1 satisfaction (1) 5:17	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10 sense [5] 23:4 24:5 41:21 84:15 112:24	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5 Similarly <sup>[1]</sup> 29:8 simpliciter <sup>[1]</sup> 42:13	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [1] 91:5 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94: 18 specific [7] 65:19,22 66:16
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7 revealed [1] 52:19 reversed [2] 42:16 84:9 review [3] 59:21 77:24 105:	runs (1) 58:1 rural (8) 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <b>S</b> safe (2) 64:8 111:22 safeguard (1) 32:16 safety (6) 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake (1) 8:25 Sam (1) 66:6 same (21) 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17 79:1,2 94:11 99:13 112:18 113:15 120:22,25 San (1) 37:1 satisfaction (1) 5:17 satisfied (1) 84:3 satisfy (4) 12:14 22:25 23: 21 39:23	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10 sense [5] 23:4 24:5 41:21 84:15 112:24 sensitive [31] 5:10,11 6:5,	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5 Similarly <sup>[1]</sup> 29:8 simpliciter <sup>[1]</sup> 42:13 simply <sup>[8]</sup> 47:12,23 49:10,	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94: 18 specific [7] 65:19,22 66:16 95:7 117:1 121:4,8
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7 revealed [1] 52:19 reversed [2] 42:16 84:9 review [3] 59:21 77:24 105: 17	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{runs} \ (1) \ \textbf{58:1} \\ \textbf{rural} \ (8) \ \textbf{60:} 3, 5, 24 \ \textbf{61:6} \ \textbf{74:} \\ 25 \ \textbf{75:} 5, 23 \ \textbf{83:} 22 \\ \hline \textbf{S} \\ \textbf{safe} \ \textbf{25} \ \textbf{75:} 5, 23 \ \textbf{83:} 22 \\ \hline \textbf{S} \\ \textbf{safe} \ \textbf{11:} 22 \\ \textbf{safeguard} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{32:} 16 \\ \textbf{safety} \ \textbf{(6)} \ \textbf{57:} 25 \ \textbf{63:} 23 \ \textbf{65:} \\ 12 \ \textbf{76:} 21 \ \textbf{81:} 24 \ \textbf{111:} 23 \\ \textbf{sake} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{82:} 5 \\ \textbf{Sam} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{66:} 6 \\ \textbf{same} \ \textbf{[21]} \ \textbf{7:8} \ \textbf{9:9} \ \textbf{16:} 12 \ \textbf{17:} \\ \textbf{8} \ \textbf{19:} 1 \ \textbf{23:} 9 \ \textbf{38:} 23, 24 \ \textbf{41:} \\ 17 \ \textbf{46:} 25 \ \textbf{56:} 21 \ \textbf{77:} 16, 17 \\ \textbf{79:} 1, 2 \ \textbf{94:} 11 \ \textbf{99:} 13 \ \textbf{112:} 18 \\ \textbf{113:} 15 \ \textbf{120:} 22, 25 \\ \textbf{San} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{37:} 1 \\ \textbf{satisfaction} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{5:} 17 \\ \textbf{satisfied} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{84:} 3 \\ \textbf{satisfy} \ \textbf{(4)} \ \textbf{12:} 14 \ \textbf{22:} 25 \ \textbf{23:} \end{array}$	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10 sense [5] 23:4 24:5 41:21 84:15 112:24 sensitive [31] 5:10,11 6:5, 25 13:20 16:1 19:10 25:9	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5 Similarly <sup>[1]</sup> 29:8 simpliciter <sup>[1]</sup> 42:13 simply <sup>[8]</sup> 47:12,23 49:10, 17 63:13 99:5 118:11 119:	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [1] 91:5 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94: 18 specific [7] 65:19,22 66:16 95:7 117:1 121:4,8 specifically [8] 18:12 20:1
29:11,13 30:9 92:3 restricted [9] 5:4 7:7 9:7 18:14 37:2 38:15,16 58:20 92:2 restriction [12] 5:10 21:25 26:3,15,17 27:22 30:20 31: 12,14 38:12 39:20 55:22 restrictions [16] 6:22 7:24 15:23 19:24 24:24 26:14 27:5 31:25 33:6 39:2 56: 11 58:7 60:6 73:22 76:9 121:8 restrictive [2] 92:13 100: 12 restricts [1] 59:12 retained [1] 22:2 retired [1] 68:7 revealed [1] 52:19 reversed [2] 42:16 84:9 review [3] 59:21 77:24 105:	runs (1) 58:1 rural [8] 60:3,5,24 61:6 74: 25 75:5,23 83:22 <b>S</b> safe [2] 64:8 111:22 safeguard [1] 32:16 safety [6] 57:25 63:23 65: 12 76:21 81:24 111:23 sake [1] 8:25 Sam [1] 66:6 same [21] 7:8 9:9 16:12 17: 8 19:1 23:9 38:23,24 41: 17 46:25 56:21 77:16,17 79:1,2 94:11 99:13 112:18 113:15 120:22,25 San [1] 37:1 satisfaction [1] 5:17 satisfied [1] 84:3 satisfy [4] 12:14 22:25 23: 21 39:23 saying [15] 16:4,7 19:15,18	5 40:13 44:13 62:14 72:4 73:21 74:22 75:2 seen [2] 31:4 104:5 seized [1] 69:13 self-defense [52] 4:19,23 5:6 6:6 7:5,8 13:13,16,20 14:11,13,18,20,23 16:13 17:2 21:22 23:5 32:12 33: 12 38:7 43:16 44:21 47:23 49:11 58:8 59:10 62:11,21 63:22 66:24 67:23 68:1,6 76:20 79:3 94:5 95:23 96: 6 98:6 101:2,21 102:8 103: 13 108:21 110:21 111:5 117:1 118:21 119:21 122: 13,24 self-defense-based [1] 117:10 sense [5] 23:4 24:5 41:21 84:15 112:24 sensitive [31] 5:10,11 6:5,	4 105:1 120:5 121:23 showing <sup>[9]</sup> 11:10 92:4 95: 18 96:6 97:23,25 98:14 116:25 117:3 shows <sup>[4]</sup> 52:20 105:13 116:10 119:17 shrift <sup>[1]</sup> 10:20 side <sup>[9]</sup> 36:4 48:12 49:6 81: 22,24 90:1 91:24 92:1 119: 16 side's <sup>[1]</sup> 49:2 sides <sup>[2]</sup> 11:10 35:19 sight <sup>[2]</sup> 86:6,11 sign <sup>[1]</sup> 106:5 significant <sup>[1]</sup> 13:21 similar <sup>[7]</sup> 44:20 58:4 78: 17 93:11 103:9 104:9 121: 5 Similarly <sup>[1]</sup> 29:8 simpliciter <sup>[1]</sup> 42:13 simply <sup>[8]</sup> 47:12,23 49:10, 17 63:13 99:5 118:11 119:	116:9 SOTOMAYOR [26] 17:13, 15 19:14,20 20:10,15 22:1 37:4,24,25 38:10,19,22 39: 8 44:14 76:23 77:1 88:4 108:1,2,17 109:14,21 110: 3,6 111:6 sought [1] 12:12 sounds [1] 88:21 source [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 sources [3] 85:10,14 114: 15 south [2] 93:8,9 space [1] 68:19 spaces [1] 77:17 spans [3] 93:15,16,17 spate [1] 66:4 special [3] 44:19 80:2 94: 18 specific [7] 65:19,22 66:16 95:7 117:1 121:4,8

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Official				
specified [1] 84:11	stop [6] 9:6 10:16 67:11	SUPREME [5] 1:1,17 10:	<b>106:</b> 3	<b>109</b> :5
specify [3] 42:18 76:17 88:	101:17 106:17 112:18	13,18 <b>120:</b> 16	thinking [2] 31:24 40:20	trip [1] 65:24
19	stopping [2] 113:2 122:18	surety [6] 23:9,10,13,24 47:	thinks [5] 36:21,23,24,25	troubling [1] 85:25
specifying [1] 89:4	stops [1] 8:24	22 <b>92</b> :1	<b>37</b> :1	Troy [1] 61:5
specter [1] 68:18	story [1] 99:13	surprise [1] 53:15	third [1] 26:22	true [5] 19:6,25 26:16 63:
speech [2] 53:2 94:25	straightforwardly [1] 12:	surprising [1] 96:11	THOMAS [17] 6:9 8:2,10	12 <b>90</b> :1
spoken [1] 99:24	9	survey [1] 20:6	<b>37</b> :7,8,12,14 <b>59</b> :23 <b>60</b> :20	try [3] 19:21 27:2 45:3
spread [1] 37:17	street [3] 62:8 94:24 112:	surveyed [1] 4:16	<b>61</b> :14 <b>78</b> :4,5,14 <b>92</b> :23 <b>93</b> :	trying [5] 27:14 29:17 79:
Square [8] 31:2,10,24 37:	11	suspect [1] 17:17	3 <b>102:</b> 23,24	21 <b>90:</b> 24 <b>122:</b> 11
17 <b>55:</b> 10 <b>88:</b> 23,24 <b>89:</b> 1	streets [4] 15:3 68:21 69:	swaths [1] 104:13	Thomas's [2] 52:10 74:24	turn [1] 100:25
stadium [5] 25:21,23 30:3,	24 111:22	swing [1] 88:25	thou [1] 11:24	turned [1] 107:14
7 <b>64:</b> 7	strenuously [1] 63:1	switch [1] 76:18	though [10] 24:11 29:17 37:	turning [1] 55:18
stadiums [1] 30:7	strict [9] 30:17 46:13 47:13	sword [1] 118:25	2 <b>47:</b> 5 <b>54:</b> 14 <b>66:</b> 10 <b>72:</b> 16	two [19] 8:12 10:5,23,24 12:
stamp [1] 9:10	<b>53:</b> 11 <b>54:</b> 5 <b>60:</b> 3 <b>108:</b> 6,10	system [7] 60:15 72:24 76:	<b>108</b> :9 <b>111</b> :13 <b>122</b> :10	5,25 <b>13:</b> 25 <b>14:</b> 3 <b>26</b> :2 <b>35</b> :
standard [4] 51:10,22 70:	114:6	22 82:3 84:22 96:12 116:	thoughts [2] 114:9 115:20	16 <b>38</b> :2,14 <b>47</b> :12 <b>48</b> :4 <b>53</b> :
17 <b>83:</b> 18	strikes [1] 74:21	24	thousands [1] 82:10	25 <b>93</b> :15 <b>100</b> :4 <b>113</b> :18
start [15] 9:22 12:7 19:23	stringent [1] 117:12	Т	threat [1] 58:21	<b>121</b> :18
<b>23</b> :13 <b>27</b> :2 <b>32</b> :8 <b>34</b> :20 <b>38</b> :	strong [3] 76:20 77:12 106:	table [1] 102:13	three [2] 28:2 100:6	two-year [1] 82:24
23 <b>46</b> :20 <b>52</b> :13,14,25 <b>95</b> :4	15 of rongor (1) <b>25</b> ,16	tailored [3] 78:18 118:17,	throughout [5] 83:18 104:	type [6] 99:17 101:21 106:
107:4 114:14	stronger [1] 25:16	18	12,13 <b>106:</b> 4,7	12 <b>109:</b> 11,24 <b>116:</b> 21
started [3] 10:12 28:18 88:	struck [4] 100:1,5,6,8	tailoring [2] 47:19 48:6	throw [1] 44:1	types [5] 6:24 19:11 105:16
6	structured [1] 55:24	talked [2] 24:2 116:22	thrust [2] 50:21 54:16	108:12 110:25
<b>starting</b> [4] <b>32</b> :13 <b>58</b> :9 <b>74</b> : 7 <b>116</b> :20	<b>studies</b> [4] <b>111:</b> 17,25 <b>112:</b> 2,23	tap [1] 25:11	Thursday [1] 67:15 ticket [1] 30:3	typical [6] 4:25 7:7 22:24 23:4 57:8 118:20
starts [1] 17:22	studying [1] 9:1	target [2] 14:12,25	tiers [1] 46:15	typicality [2] 51:9,22
STATE [47] 1:3,9 4:6 10:13,	stuff [1] 94:3	teaching [1] 56:2	tire [1] 39:16	typically [6] 6:6 7:4,10 24:
18 <b>12</b> :15,18 <b>13</b> :14,19 <b>15</b> :	subject [2] 22:5 106:1	tells [1] 38:25	today [4] 6:16 48:13 92:18	3,18 <b>39:</b> 5
10,13,15 <b>16</b> :16 <b>20</b> :8 <b>22</b> :3	submission [4] 99:18 101:	Tennessee [9] 92:7 93:10,	<b>109:</b> 25	
<b>24</b> :23 <b>27</b> :9 <b>29</b> :25 <b>30</b> :10,12	23 <b>102</b> :14 <b>109</b> :2	15 <b>97:</b> 21 <b>99:</b> 12 <b>110</b> :18	together [1] 63:24	U
<b>32:</b> 15 <b>33:</b> 8 <b>37:</b> 2 <b>42:</b> 4,24	submit [1] 39:7	<b>120:</b> 13,14,16	took [3] 9:15 84:9,23	<b>U.S</b> [1] <b>49:</b> 12
<b>49:</b> 14 <b>55:</b> 15 <b>58:</b> 6 <b>59:</b> 12,17	submitted [2] 123:9,11	tens [1] 82:9	top [3] 29:22 31:4 119:16	unanchored [1] 53:23
<b>68</b> :6 <b>75</b> :24 <b>77</b> :13,13,18 <b>78</b> :	substantial [9] 48:11 61:6	term [3] 41:2 87:13,14	total [2] 59:1 92:15	unconstitutional [1] 43:9
1 <b>79</b> :10 <b>82</b> :25 <b>83</b> :19 <b>93</b> :5	<b>69</b> :18 <b>70</b> :14 <b>86</b> :22 <b>99</b> :19	terms [4] 11:23 44:24 112:	totally [1] 38:15	under [14] 14:23 20:21 30:
<b>101</b> :14 <b>105</b> :8,8 <b>106</b> :9 <b>109</b> :	109:7 115:25 116:19	6 <b>120</b> :15	tough [1] 52:18	17 <b>32:</b> 3 <b>42:</b> 17 <b>46:</b> 15 <b>57:</b> 11,
24 <b>113</b> :5 <b>120</b> :15	substantially [1] 38:5	terribly [1] 10:8	tougher [2] 25:14 111:3	13 <b>59</b> :21 <b>79</b> :4 <b>82</b> :6 <b>92</b> :19
state-to-state [1] 77:11	substitute [1] 107:6	terrifies [1] 70:7	towards [1] 80:16	<b>94</b> :17 <b>97</b> :17
STATES [54] 1:1,18 2:8 3:	subway [6] 28:13 67:9,10	territories [1] 58:18	town [2] 15:3 38:13	underscores [1] 118:15
10 <b>6:</b> 1,2,4 <b>8:</b> 9,13 <b>17:</b> 22,25	69:21 70:6 78:20	terror [1] 87:3	towns [1] 58:19	understand [16] 10:8 27:3
18:6,14,16 19:16,17 20:1	subways [7] 27:16,19 68:	terrorize [1] 49:19	traces [1] 102:16	<b>30:</b> 4 <b>31:</b> 18 <b>50:</b> 2,3,9,13 <b>51:</b>
<b>21:</b> 19 <b>31:</b> 1 <b>35:</b> 18,21,24 <b>50:</b>	15,16,22 <b>70:</b> 3,3	terrorized [1] 48:25	tradition [46] 4:16 6:11 8:5	24 64:5 90:13 102:4 105:
11,23,25 <b>58:</b> 5,10,12,15,18,	SUCCESS [1] 74:14	test [9] 46:8 47:9,9,9 48:7	<b>9:</b> 16,21 <b>18:</b> 5,25 <b>22:</b> 18,21	20 107:2 109:2 114:13
22 <b>59</b> :2,25 <b>73</b> :5,6,20,25 <b>76</b> :		52:6 53:16 54:22 113:17	<b>25</b> :12 <b>46</b> :21 <b>47</b> :8 <b>48</b> :5 <b>53</b> :	understanding [5] 7:19 9:
4 <b>91</b> :13 <b>92</b> :12,18,24 <b>93</b> :1,	sufficiently [1] 71:15	tests [1] 53:12	3,4 <b>55:</b> 2 <b>59:</b> 7 <b>62:</b> 25 <b>91:</b> 20	2 101:13 105:2 106:14 understood <sup>[8]</sup> 31:16,19
17 98:25 99:17 102:5,11,	suggest [4] 13:19 31:9 60:	Texas [12] 92:8 93:10 97: 23 98:2 99:13 101:7,19	<b>93:</b> 4,14,20,21 <b>95:</b> 13 <b>96:</b> 24	<b>74:9 88:</b> 20 <b>105:</b> 14 <b>106:</b> 22,
15,21 <b>104</b> :12 <b>111</b> :12 <b>122</b> :	4 <b>113</b> :11	<b>103:</b> 12 <b>116:</b> 21 <b>121:</b> 1,4,9	<b>97</b> :1,20 <b>98</b> :22 <b>99</b> :19 <b>102</b> :1,	24 <b>107:</b> 7
25 <b>123</b> :3	suggested [4] 46:13 56:14	text [23] 4:13,17 6:11 46:21	19 <b>105:</b> 20 <b>108:</b> 13 <b>109:</b> 4,7	UNDERWOOD [78] 2:4 3:
States' [1] 112:6	<b>99</b> :25 <b>114</b> :6	<b>47:8 48:4 52:</b> 12,14,18,21	<b>110:</b> 13,15,17 <b>114:</b> 15 <b>115:</b> 5,	6 <b>57</b> :18,19,21 <b>59</b> :23 <b>60</b> :7
statewide [4] 78:24 82:15,	suggesting [4] 40:23 55:	<b>53</b> :1,1,7,7 <b>55</b> :2 <b>95</b> :13 <b>106</b> :	6,19,21 <b>116:</b> 1,4,11	<b>61</b> :1,19,23 <b>62</b> :22 <b>63</b> :18 <b>64</b> :
15,19	25 <b>56:</b> 1,3	20 <b>113</b> :12,21,22,25 <b>114</b> :14	traditional [1] 92:20	15,20 <b>65:</b> 2,5 <b>66:</b> 12,19 <b>67:</b>
station [1] 67:11	suggestion [2] 82:2 115:3	<b>123:</b> 5	traditionally [1] 21:4	19,25 <b>68:</b> 10,24 <b>69:</b> 2,6,10,
statistical [1] 119:17	Sullivan [6] 103:4,7,18,22	theater [1] 88:24	traditions [2] 97:3 98:24	16,22 <b>70</b> :2,22 <b>71</b> :3,23 <b>72</b> :
statistically [1] 80:21 statistics [7] 12:18 13:19	<b>104:</b> 6,23	themselves [2] 64:12 68:9	training [1] 15:12	11,14,18,21 <b>73</b> :8,18 <b>74</b> :4
	summary [1] 85:13 SUPERINTENDENT [1] 1:	theory [2] 20:2 73:24	transit [2] 68:15 70:4	<b>75:</b> 21 <b>76:</b> 25 <b>77:</b> 9 <b>78:</b> 12,22
<b>34</b> :25 <b>71</b> :2 <b>112</b> :8 <b>119</b> :18 <b>121</b> :17	8	There's [45] 11:9,17,17,21	transparency [1] 40:18 traveling [1] 39:13	<b>79:</b> 12,16,20 <b>80:</b> 1,3,7,11 <b>81:</b>
statute [14] 42:10,11,17,17	8 support [4] 26:11 89:23	<b>12</b> :9 <b>19</b> :15,21 <b>23</b> :6,14 <b>26</b> :	treat [3] 46:14 89:24 91:3	3,6,10,19 <b>82:</b> 11,14,17,23
<b>43:8 48:</b> 12,17 <b>49:</b> 14 <b>58:</b> 1	<b>103:</b> 20 <b>122:</b> 7	1 <b>35</b> :1 <b>52</b> :5 <b>53</b> :4,13 <b>54</b> :6,	treated [1] 90:9	83:6,20,24 84:6,15,21 85:
<b>60:8 90:9 91:</b> 25 <b>94:2 120:</b>	supporting [3] 2:8 3:11 91:	10 <b>57</b> :7 <b>60</b> :9,10 <b>67</b> :20 <b>69</b> :	treating [2] 26:12 53:4	16,20 <b>86:</b> 14,16,19 <b>87:</b> 15,
24	14	17 70:14 85:2,2 87:16 91:	treatises [1] 48:19	24 <b>88:</b> 1,14 <b>89:</b> 20,22 <b>90:</b> 16
statutes [5] 79:24 85:9 90:	supports [1] 49:2	1,1,21 <b>97:</b> 4,5 <b>99:</b> 18 <b>102:</b> 4	trend [1] 101:3	<b>111</b> :10 <b>112</b> :5
12 104:20 107:3	suppose [6] 6:20 8:11 20:	103:16,16,19 104:3 109:15,	trial [3] 11:17 81:12 98:7	unguided [1] 84:12
still [4] 51:10 76:18 83:9 94:		16,25 <b>118:</b> 23,25 <b>119:</b> 5,19	trials [1] 12:25	uniform [1] 81:15
7	supposed [9] 11:8,19,22	<b>121</b> :15 <b>122</b> :4	trickier [1] 30:16	uniformity [1] 79:22
stock [1] 56:5	<b>12:</b> 4 <b>15:</b> 2,5,6 <b>35:</b> 3,11	they've [4] 36:9 46:7 54:24	tried [4] 21:20 42:2 72:22	unions [1] 103:24
L				

		Official	
UNITED [8] 1:1,18 2:8 3:10	virtue [1] 60:15	wide [1] 75:22	Z
<b>19</b> :17 <b>91</b> :13 <b>111</b> :12 <b>112</b> :6	vision [1] 122:20	widespread [1] 70:15	
universities [1] 28:19	W	will [17] 4:5 8:19 35:13 43:	zones [1] 31:20
university [3] 24:6,8 61:5	walk [3] 29:1 67:11 72:13	17 <b>46</b> :9 <b>52</b> :2,18 <b>65</b> :6 <b>73</b> :	
unlawfully [1] 122:17	walking [1] 69:23	15,16,18 <b>85:</b> 1,4 <b>86:</b> 16 <b>99:</b> 6	
unless [3] 45:3 47:3 113: 24	wand [1] 51:8	106:17 115:23 willing [2] 85:11 121:21	
unlike [1] 47:21	wanted [9] 21:2 40:17 47:4,	within [5] 22:17 59:6 75:25	
unlikely [1] 62:6	5 <b>48</b> :14 <b>51</b> :4 <b>88</b> :8 <b>100</b> :23	<b>77:</b> 15,18	
unnecessary [1] 60:3	<b>103:</b> 25	without [9] 19:14 26:9 30:	
unrestricted [8] 12:12,13	wants [4] 30:9 39:16,17 95:	3 58:13,16 71:18 73:12 97:	
<b>15</b> :9 <b>18</b> :1 <b>20</b> :21 <b>60</b> :11 <b>61</b> :	24	16 <b>110:</b> 20	
19,21	War [4] 11:2 17:24 18:15	witnesses [2] 13:2 94:16	
unsuccessful [1] 89:9	22:7	wonder [1] 94:13	
untailored [1] 48:1	warrants [1] 77:20 washes [1] 67:5	wonderful [1] 11:10	
until [3] 18:2 46:10 113:24	Washington [3] 1:13 2:2,7	wondering [1] 111:15	
unusual [3] 48:24 96:16	watered [1] 114:4	woods [3] 62:5 64:14,16	
105:19 up [17] 19:15 20:4 35:2 40:	wavelength [1] 38:23	word [6] 79:24 86:12,18,22 120:1,10	
21 <b>41</b> :19 <b>48</b> :8 <b>51</b> :7,21 <b>52</b> :	wavelengths [1] 41:2	words [2] 24:22 40:16	
10 <b>53</b> :9 <b>70</b> :18 <b>81</b> :20 <b>89</b> :3	waves [1] 66:3	work [7] 5:21 14:24 16:12	
<b>99</b> :1 <b>103</b> :15 <b>119</b> :22 <b>123</b> :2	way [52] 7:2 10:21 11:6,7	<b>35</b> :5 67:1,8 78:23	
upheld [2] 100:15 101:10	<b>13:</b> 9,9,24 <b>14:</b> 17 <b>16:</b> 11,12	worked [2] 26:6,6	
uphold [1] 59:22	20:22 21:5,16 23:9,21 25:	Workman [1] 101:9	
upholding [1] 101:4	6 <b>26</b> :5,24 <b>28</b> :6 <b>31</b> :9 <b>32</b> :25	works [3] 7:3 59:18 60:8	
upper [1] 79:10	<b>33</b> :3,22 <b>43</b> :23 <b>44</b> :10 <b>45</b> :2 <b>46</b> :22 <b>47</b> :6 <b>48</b> :8,25 <b>49</b> :17	world [4] 27:15 41:24 42:3	
upstate [10] 34:1 35:5 60:	<b>56</b> :15,21 <b>57</b> :7 <b>60</b> :8 <b>72</b> :22,	<b>66:</b> 17	
13,25 <b>75</b> :6 <b>78</b> :9,21 <b>82</b> :7 <b>83</b> :23 <b>84</b> :3	22,24 <b>77</b> :23,25 <b>82</b> :9 <b>90</b> :6,8,	world's [1] 36:15 worry [3] 13:2 33:7 63:16	
urban [1] 75:23	10 <b>94:</b> 11 <b>96:</b> 13,25 <b>107:</b> 10	worse [1] 35:23	
useful [4] 26:24 56:2,17 81:	<b>111</b> :19 <b>112</b> :18 <b>114</b> :16 <b>115</b> :	worst [1] 36:16	
12	4	worth [2] 31:23 119:24	
using [1] 48:25	ways [3] 36:8 87:2 122:15	write [1] 84:24	
V	weapon [13] 6:25 12:1,2 15:19 16:24 17:3 31:20 34:	written [2] 13:9,10	
vacated [1] 42:16	24 <b>35</b> :7 <b>87</b> :16 <b>92</b> :5 <b>95</b> :23	Wyoming [1] 75:4	
vacuum [1] 52:13	<b>109</b> :19	<u> </u>	
valid [2] 83:9 114:17	weapons [26] 5:10 11:15	Yankee [2] 30:3,6	
validated [2] 107:20 108:	<b>16:</b> 1 <b>18:</b> 6,10,23,23 <b>26:</b> 19,	year [3] 57:6 69:14 82:8	
12	21,21 <b>27:</b> 5 <b>34:</b> 19 <b>48:</b> 24,25	Year's [4] 31:3,10 55:10 88:	
variations [1] 46:12	<b>56</b> :21 <b>73</b> :14 <b>80</b> :22 <b>86</b> :24	23	
varied [1] 77:7 variety [1] 25:18	87:3,6,8,11,19,24 109:9 110:20	years [7] 54:21 55:1 56:7 74:7 83:9 92:9 93:16	
various [3] 28:9 80:13 104:	website [1] 37:16	YORK [79] 1:3,9 2:4,5 4:6,	
21	Wednesday [1] 1:14	21 <b>5</b> :9 <b>6</b> :4 <b>7</b> :9 <b>11</b> :13,14,14,	
versa <sup>[1]</sup> 20:9	welcome [1] 92:21	24 12:23 14:9 15:7,25 16:	
version [1] 114:4	well-developed [1] 53:14	9 <b>20:</b> 21 <b>21:</b> 2,10 <b>27:</b> 16 <b>29:</b>	
versus [4] 4:7 40:23 75:1	west [5] 93:1,11 98:2 101:9	7 <b>33</b> :22,24,24 <b>34</b> :1,4,18 <b>35</b> :	
<b>115:</b> 25	<b>116:</b> 21	6,25 <b>37</b> :11 <b>40</b> :5 <b>41</b> :18 <b>43</b> :	
vice [1] 20:9 victims [1] 119:23	western [2] 78:10 92:25 whatever [7] 15:12,15 16:2	19 <b>44:</b> 3 <b>51:</b> 2 <b>52:</b> 7 <b>59:</b> 11, 17 <b>60:</b> 1,8,25 <b>68:</b> 21 <b>69:</b> 13	
view [11] 7:15 10:11,19 51:	<b>17:2 54:</b> 17,20 <b>94:</b> 24	<b>71:6 74:</b> 15 <b>75:</b> 1,3,5 <b>77:</b> 15	
17 <b>54:</b> 23 <b>103:</b> 11 <b>108:</b> 18,19	whatsoever [3] 47:21 109:	<b>78:</b> 10,10,19,21 <b>79:</b> 10,11,	
<b>110</b> :12 <b>114</b> :11 <b>121</b> :13	17 <b>120:</b> 4	13 <b>82:</b> 7,8 <b>83:</b> 22,23 <b>92:</b> 14	
views [1] 46:17	wheel [1] 93:25	93:12 98:1,17 101:24 102:	
violating [1] 98:5	Whereupon [1] 123:10	2,15 <b>104:</b> 4,11 <b>110:</b> 22 <b>112:</b>	
violation [1] 49:9	whether [16] 12:22 22:15	10,16,20 <b>113:</b> 12 <b>117:</b> 3 <b>121:</b>	
violence [4] 31:6 36:16 74: 16 108:5	<b>26</b> :20 <b>27</b> :18 <b>29</b> :11 <b>32</b> :15 <b>66</b> :3 <b>71</b> :24 <b>73</b> :1 <b>87</b> :1 <b>96</b> :3	21 <b>122</b> :16 <b>York's</b> [10] <b>4</b> :24 <b>5</b> :12 <b>14</b> :16	
violent [6] 23:21 70:20 71:	<b>112</b> :19,20 <b>115</b> :9 <b>117</b> :2	<b>59:6 91:</b> 17 <b>92:</b> 14 <b>98:</b> 10	
5 72:9 122:10 123:3	122:22	<b>109</b> :11 <b>111</b> :5 <b>120</b> :8	
Virginia [4] 93:11 98:2 101:	who's [2] 62:7 70:11	Yorker [4] 5:1 22:24 57:8	
10 <b>116:</b> 21	whole [4] 33:21 75:24 90:	<b>118:</b> 21	
virtually [1] 93:17	25 <b>96:</b> 3		